

It’s here to stay.

Y’all have a nice day.

The direct path of honor – stating one’s true feelings – was blocked when our media fell to the enemy in the 1960s.

We travel circuitous routes today, policed by systemites who can’t admit whom they serve. To receive the next 12 monthly issues of this right-wing “extremist” newspaper anywhere in the C.S.A. or U.S., send \$25 Federal Reserve Notes, check, money order or one real silver dollar to TFF, P. O. Box 385, Silverhill, Alabama 36576.



The First Freedom[®]



P. O. Box 385 Silverhill, Alabama 36576

Self-government begins at home

SURGEON GENERAL’S WARNING: First-time readers of alternate news, especially boob tube babies, may experience severe loss of confidence in the Establishment press and TV.

Volume 12 Number 11 November 2010

Inviting the Zionist-controlled media cracy to meet a rising free South

www.firstfreedom.net

\$2.00

High Noon in America

By Giordano Bruno

neithercorp.us/npress

Time is running out in the little western town of Hadleyville. Frank Miller, the leader of a violent gang that terrorized the hapless settlement years ago, was sent to the gallows by Will Kane, the local marshal, but the justice system failed, and now after so long, Frank Miller is free, heading back to Hadleyville on the noon train to meet his men, and take revenge...

Will Kane is just married, just retired, and just about to leave town when he gets the news that the tyrant he had deposed is about to waltz back into the parish with impunity and begin killing the people who sent him away. Everyone is afraid of reverting back to the terrible past they had left behind them. Kane decides to stay in town until the matter is resolved, and he reaches out to the local community for assistance in stopping Miller’s band of thugs. There’s just one problem; no one will help him...

And so begins “High Noon,” a film I had seen when I was younger and loved, but did not fully appreciate until today. Being a kind of “cinema scholar,” I had always been struck with how different High Noon was from almost every other western I had ever seen. Sure, “The Good, The Bad, And The Ugly” was fantastic, and “The Wild Bunch” was genius, but these movies were about “anti-heroes” who only did the right thing by accident, or because fate pressured them into following their consciences. In High Noon, Will Kane (played by Gary Cooper) is trying desperately to follow his conscience and do what he knows is right, but everyone, including the people he is trying to save, attempts to stop him! I found so many parallels between the story in High Noon and our American society today, the liberty movement and the global elites trying to ransack our country at this very moment, that I just had to write an article exploring what it all means.

Here are some of the lessons I learned from High Noon...

Never turn your back on your own conscience: Will Kane could leave Hadleyville and Miller’s gang in the dust. In fact, Kane’s friend, the local judge, escapes the second he hears that Miller is on the loose, despite Kane’s pleading to stay and take responsibility for the safety of the townspeople. Everyone questions why Kane doesn’t just run, even his new wife (played by Grace Kelly), a Quaker and a pacifist. They treat him like an idiot or a lunatic for wanting to stand and fight. Kane stays anyway.

His character never makes any long boastful speeches about why he won’t run. He even admits he is afraid of what will happen when Miller’s gang arrives (as opposed to numerous John Wayne-style western characters that never show or admit fear). When asked why he doesn’t “play it smart” and hightail it out of harms way, Kane responds that “he just can’t, it wouldn’t be right.”

I can’t recall how many times I’ve heard remarks by people, even close friends, asking why we liberty movement folks don’t fly off to Fiji or some other tropical paradise and stay there until everything in the U. S. “blows over.” We are smart enough to see the enormous discrepancies in the economy and are fully aware that a historical collapse is near. We are completely cognizant of a plan by globalists in our own government to use chaos in the U. S. as a backdrop for imposition of martial law and eventually the institution

of global economy and global government. We know full well what is coming. Why do we stay in harms way?

Like the character of Will Kane, liberty movement proponents also listen to their inner voices. They understand that there are greater concerns at stake than their own safety. That there are ideals under threat of extinction. That the future stands at the



edge of a knife. If we don’t do what is right, then who will? All other concerns are either secondary, or irrelevant. When has leaving the problem for others to deal with ever led to anything good? History is filled with examples of cowards who chose to pass the buck rather than take responsibility, letting the rest of the world rot while they defer the pain until tomorrow. Such an act requires a considerable deficiency of moral character. The liberty movement is different. Under no circumstances, no matter how foreboding, do we ever compromise our consciences.

Never expect to have time on your side: Through every moment of High Noon, time is against Will Kane. Disaster strikes often with little warning and without mercy. Kane is given only a few hours to devise some kind of a plan to stop the dreaded Frank Miller, and it’s simply not enough. The town has been putting off preparations for defense and safety, leaving Kane little to work with, and now their lack of decisiveness is coming back to haunt them.

In our society, we have an extremely bad habit of not preparing for unforeseen difficulties. The average American home, for instance, has less than a week’s worth of food in the pantry, and some millions of Americans have absolutely no training in self defense in the event that their life or their family’s lives are threatened. We have come to expect, even demand, that government entities “save us” from any adversity. So much so that when we are faced with catastrophe we *refuse* to save ourselves in the assumption that some faceless bureaucracy will come to the rescue in the nick of time. In the real world (and in High Noon), this faith in collective and governmental safety is a fantasy. Katrina should have shown Americans that much, but there are still many out there who ignorantly cling to the nanny State, apron strings and all.

When doing what is right, never expect the masses to help you: After working in

the liberty movement for a while, it sometimes feels like you are trying to turn back a herd of mentally deficient cattle stampeding towards the door of a slaughterhouse. You could put up a twelve foot neon sign flashing “*Danger, you raging idiots!*” and people would bumble right through it while complaining that you’re in their way.

Ever sit in a theater during a run-of-the-

mill horror flick and watch the people around you? Every time the yuppie couple in the movie heads straight for the creepy haunted mansion of doom after their car breaks down, everyone in the audience mumbles, “How could they be so stupid?” Well, that’s what it’s like being in the liberty movement. Every day you have to watch as people wander blindly into the jaws of monsters; the only difference is, that the monsters in our world wear \$10,000 suits and drink cognac instead of blood. (But don’t quote me on that...)

High Noon depicts this unfortunate quality of an unbalanced society, and does so with eye opening precision. The town of Hadleyville is filled with weaklings, excuse makers, people who could help themselves but weasel out of their responsibilities. Everyone Will Kane knows has turned on him. Many are his friends, but so afraid or self centered they can’t see that doing nothing carries far worse consequences than doing something.

Some people in the town actually *want* Frank Miller’s gang back, because they would personally benefit from the resulting crime and dysfunction. In one saddening scene, Kane has been abandoned by his most reliable deputy. Afterwards, a moon-faced fourteen-year-old boy offers to take the man’s place and fight. Kane is at the same time appreciative and crushed by the boy’s offer.

Great and honorable deeds are not achieved by collectives. They are achieved by individuals. High Noon faces a cold hard fact that many Americans today will not; action starts with you. It starts with each man alone. It never starts with groups acting in concert. Individuals standing in the face of evil cannot wait around for the rest of society to back them up. Sometimes, you have to make a stand at an incredible disadvantage.

During the American Revolution, only 3% to 5% of citizens actually participated in the defense of the country at any given time. Many others remained neutral, or

even loyal to the British crown. Tyranny almost always starts out with the edge. What is the point? The “odds” of success are irrelevant. All that matters is what is true, and what is right.

Never underestimate fear, or bravery: Towards the end of High Noon, the citizens of Will Kane’s town are so afraid of what might happen if a fight ensues over the return of the Miller Gang that they begin to blame Kane himself for their troubles, instead of Miller. They attempt to pressure him to leave, even to the point of violence. They are so gripped with dread that they would rather be willingly ruled by their tormentors than face the unknown consequences of open struggle. Even though Kane’s decision is his own, the “idea” of a person diverging from the group to act of his own accord is sometimes enough to induce panic.

We see this all the time in our world. There are a million and one rationalizations people will use to not participate in the solution to a problem, but almost to a man, the real reason is fear. Fear of death, of loss, fear of disappointment, fear of struggle, fear of failure. This fear can become so overpowering that some in the community begin to distrust and hate those who are not controlled by their anxieties. Those who have the will to fight back expose the rampant shortcomings of others, and this draws resentment. There are those that think “if I can’t do it, then certainly no one else can, or should....” This is insanity, of course, the kind of insanity that leads to large scale nightmares like those seen in Communist Russia.

Will Kane is as afraid as anyone else, but he also has courage. Bravery is not the ability to “erase” fear, but the ability to act in spite of it. To do what must be done no matter how it makes us tremble. In our times, there is a lot of over-rationalizing, and a lot of fear. Bravery seems in short supply. But, as High Noon teaches us, the bravery of others is not our concern. We have only to worry about our own.

All it takes is one: Kane has passed through a gauntlet of doubt and dismay, the clock strikes twelve, and he enters the deserted streets of Hadleyville to face the Miller Gang unaided. At this point, he’s not fighting for the town, he’s not even fighting for his own life. He’s fighting on principle. He’s fighting to honor a greater purpose, a philosophy of freedom that we take for granted today. If Kane does not fight, then all is without a doubt lost. Tyranny wins without lifting a finger.

The only gun fight in the entire movie occurs in this scene, which is probably my favorite aspect of the film. Throughout history, the downtrodden tend to put so much energy into worrying about facing their oppressors that the actual fight seems so fleeting in comparison. Building up the will to take action is often a battle in itself. Kane, using some guerilla tactics I have never seen in any other Western from that era, engages the Miller Gang. Kane’s wife (the Quaker), watching the carnage unfold, finally decides her love is more important than her pacifism, and picks up a gun to help him (perhaps the message here is that behind every good man there is a good woman). In the end, and to the surprise of the townspeople who were half an hour ago building a pine box for him, Will Kane prevails, throws down his tin star, and rides out of Hadleyville.

Our own clock is ticking away, the train is nearing the station. Do we hide, do we run, or do we stand and face our fears?

HERE’S WHAT WAS IGNORED OR MISREPORTED ELSEWHERE:

The Fedguv’s multicultural society has failed

ARIZONA! A recent Rasmussen poll found that 62 percent (up six points from October 2009) of likely U. S. voters feel federal policies are actually encouraging illegal immigration, 23 percent disagreeing and 16 percent clueless. That same poll found that 63 percent (up four points from July 2010) of voters favored passage of an immigration law similar to Arizona’s SB1070 in their own States, while only 26 percent would oppose such a law.

EXTREMIST Jews ripped branches off and cut the roots of Palestinian olive trees October 9 at the West Bank village of Burin, residents said, as the yearly harvest began. The attack came at the start of the 45-day olive harvest, always a time of heightened Israeli violence as radical Jews try to provoke Palestinians.

A NO NO. Newscaster Rich Sanchez is gone. This came one day after he appeared on Pete Dominick’s Sirius radio show, where he called The Daily Show’s John Stewart a “bigot” and said “everybody who runs CNN” was Jewish. On Oct. 1, CNN released a statement noting that “Rick Sanchez is no longer with the company. We thank Rick for his years of service and we wish him well.”

SOME VOTERS in Boulder City, Nevada, complained on October 25 of their ballots having already been cast before they went to the polls, raising questions about Clark County’s new electronic voting machines.



Speech and religion were already ours back when FDR named “four freedoms.” But he would whip hunger, fear and oppression, abstaining forever from Europe’s wars.
When politicians lie, the State bites off what only individuals can chew.
It’s time we recovered those powers not delegated.

The First Freedom Self-government begins at home

P.O. Box 385, Silverhill, Alabama 36576
www.firstfreedom.net
Editor: Olaf Childress
editor@firstfreedom.net
Correspondents: Lloyd Caperton, Chris DeHuff, Nancy Hitt, Bill Ivy, Greg Kay, Christine Miller, Kurt Nimmo, John Peeples, Donald Sullivan, Steve Watson, Ingrid Rimland Zündel.

TO SUBSCRIBE: Send \$25 in FRNs, check, money order or one silver dollar for the next 12 monthly issues by mail anywhere in C.S.A. or U.S.
Self-government begins at home. Let patriotism, in obedience to God, emancipate our imprisoned heritage! Join this nonprofit newspaper and help de-program the brainwashed population. Except for copyrighted articles, anyone may reprint or quote **The First Freedom** in whole or part; just give full credit and address.
We carefully edit submitted manuscripts to fit space and style sheet without changing meaning, prefer email, publish only the writer’s name, city and State but need address and phone verification.

The First Freedom
P.O. Box 385, Silverhill, Alabama 36576

FULL NAME

MAIL ADDRESS

CITYSTATEZIP

REMARKS

☐ Here’s \$25 for a one-year subscription

☐ \$35 for same, but in 1st class envelope

☐ \$30 for 100 extra copies of current issue

☐ \$25 for 100 back issues; prefer _____

☐ \$15 for 22 extra copies of current issue

☐ \$10 for 10 extra copies of current issue

☐ \$_____ as a patron. Keep it going!

FROM RUSSIA with love, sympathy, that is. U. S. special envoy to Pakistan and Afghanistan Richard Holbrooke is now convinced that “We cannot win this war militarily…” as he downplays news reports of American “peace talks” with Taliban leaders, but acknowledges that a “pure military victory” in Afghanistan “is not possible.”

AUDITS performed by the Los Angeles controller indicate that city having recently spent a huge amount of its \$594 million in received “stimulus” funds on projects that created or saved just a handful of jobs. The audits examined L. A.’s Department of Transportation and Department of Public Works, discovering \$111 million of their spending went to projects that created or retained just 54 jobs – which works out to roughly \$2 million per job.

CBS insists on calling our economic woes “the Great Recession.” However, things are quickly starting to look worse than the first Great Depression. At least CBS admits unemployment is more than the measly 9.5 percent the government claims, putting it at 17%. Actually, it’s closer to 23% and will soon eclipse the first engineered depression.

LEARNING that council heads of some Israeli settlements in the West Bank would participate, the Netherlands stopped a tour of its country by an Israeli delegation set to take place last month. The Netherlands, like the European Union, publicly rejects Israeli settlement activity in the Palestinian territories. Naturally the Israeli authorities were, as usual, quite outraged by any such effrontery coming from mere Gentiles.



Governor, you’re it!

And the winner of our prestidigitatious award izzz...

The qualities that have distinguished our Nation of Aryans Against Communist Putrefaction must not wither under fire. Virginia Governor Bob McDonnell, you did well proclaiming April as Confederate History Month, along with the other Chief Executives, and for that gesture we offer thanks. But now you’ve turned around and “apologized.” Ask Trent Lott the meaning of this word. He’ll tell you “surrendered.” And capitulation to those in charge of the attacking mediacracy brings only increased snarling with ever greater fury.

Note how a Typical Media Flunky (TMF) – Ray Rahman – in the following diatribe puts quotation marks around SCV Commander Brag Bowling’s title, as if he suggestively desires to hear his own TMF self named “mr.” Rahman. (Under fire we give it back to ’em.) And you’ll find dozens, nay, scores, of such media flunkies snapping at Bob McConnell’s heels now, without even a “Sic ’im!” from the local J.I.C. But we only have space here for mr. Rahman, viz:
“After controversially declaring last April as Confederate History Month Virginia’s Republican governor Bob McDonnell has decided to cancel the event for next April.

“McDonnell made his announcement at a conference titled ‘Race, Slavery, and the Civil War,’ which was being held at Norfolk State University.

“ ‘Slavery was an evil and inhumane practice that reduced people to property,’ the first-term governor informed his

BAGRAM, the U. S. military’s air base prison in Afghanistan, could vanish into oblivion thanks to a federal court decision last month allowing officials to keep secret most information relating to these captives. Parwan prison there reportedly holds up to 800 prisoners of America’s terror war and is subject to repeated accusations of torture and abuse, ever since established shortly after the U. S. invasion. In spite of denials, this federal court decision indicates we are still torturing people at that prison.

DRUG THUGS just supplying those goods Americans won’t produce have a tough enough time meeting the demand on our side of the border for their trade without unwanted competition. Mexico’s solution is to lop it off at the head, which they’re doing with increasing frequency.

COMING SOON to your town? At least thirteen young people were shot dead and fifteen wounded when two vehicles pulled up at a birthday party in Juarez, Mexico, across from El Paso, Texas, opening fire on about four dozen partygoers gathered for a 15-year-old boy’s birthday party.

INJECTING the “standard” dosage just isn’t working lately, so the vaccine industry has decided to increase those viral fragments 400% in one toxic shot. The target for this quadruple injection? Senior citizens, the very people most likely to suffer serious side effects from a vaccine overdose. The FDA reportedly approved the new vaccine in April even without any scientific evidence that it reduces flu symptoms. Then again, since when did vaccines have anything to do with real science in the first place?

audience at the historically black college. ‘It left a stain on the soul of this nation.’

“These comments echo similar ones he made in April, during the thick of the Confederate History Month controversy. A week into the month, he issued a written statement in which he apologized to ‘any fellow Virginian who has been offended or disappointed.’



Scalawag McDonnell

division. He added:

“ ‘Nobody’s ever been able to reason with me and tell me why we’re honoring Yankees in Virginia. The only northerners in Virginia were the ones that came to Virginia and killed thousands of Virginia citizens when they invaded.”

Thus spake the Typical Media Flunky. If he and our Scalawag of the Month call themselves Southerners, they should be ashamed! The article has “the few States” as recognizing Confederate History Month. Recently all, or nearly all, of the former Confederate States have done so. If that is considered “few,” perhaps this writer was expecting some of the Northern States to

“Be assured that if this new provision [the 14th Amendment] be engrafted in the Constitution, it will, in time, change the entire structure and texture of our government, and sweep away all the guarantees of safety devised and provided by our patriotic Sires of the Revolution.” – Orville Browning
Sec. of the Interior (1867)

WIKILEAKS. “It seems that these [revelations] are made upon the order of the U. S.,” said the Secretary-General of Iran’s High Council for Human Rights Mohammad-Javad Larijani on October 25 at the summit for reviewing human rights violations. “The message of Wikileaks documents is that the Iraqi people have been tortured by Iraq’s security forces, and the only wrongdoing of Americans is that they witnessed the incidents and remained silent,” IRNA quoted Larijani as saying. “This is while the U. S. had the main role in these incidents and is the defendant,” the Iranian diplomat added.


MASSIVE AMOUNTS of foreign aid to Israel is a special case, insists Rep. Eric Cantor (R-VA), and he wants to call it something else (no, not ransom), apart from the giveaway of America’s wealth by the State Department. Cantor would turn that welfare program over to the U. S. military. Why? Because he wishes to head up a Republican opposition to Middle East nations receiving foreign aid which “do not operate according to American interest.”

“FOX 5 reporter Charles Leaf is making news of the wrong kind after being charged with sexually assaulting a four-year-old girl inside his Wyckoff, N. J., home.”– *The New York Daily News*. And such creeps are the ones always flaunting their insufferable moral superiority over the rest of us?

Scalawag of the Month Bob McDonnell

proclaim Confederate History Month?
Governor McConnell’s timing could not have been worse, as we enter the four-year sesquicentennial observance of the War Between the States, which is no celebration of slavery, but a time to study the period and bring out the genuine causes of the War, to remember the courage and valor of those who fought the battles, as well as those who remained at home to meet the many challenges and dangers there. The Governor’s heart should be with Virginians who met the Yankees toe to toe resisting a cruel invasion not sanctioned by any of our founding documents.

“Virginia Governor Cancels ‘Confederate History Month’ ”? Shame!



Seriously, now

After closing time at the bar, a drunk was proudly showing off his new apartment to a couple of his friends. He led the way to his bedroom where there was a big brass gong and a mallet.

“What’s up with the big brass gong?” one of his guests asked.

“It’s not a gong. It’s a talking clock,” the drunk replies.

“A talking clock? Seriously?” asked his astonished friend.

“Yup,” replied the drunk.
“How’s it work?” the friend asked, squinting at it.

“Watch,” the drunk replied.
He picked up the mallet, gave the gong an ear-shattering pound and stepped back. The three stood looking at one another for a moment. Abruptly someone on the other side of the wall screamed, “You inconsiderate moron! It’s three-fifteen in the morning!”

Merkel says German multicultural society has failed

While most politicians still talk out both sides of their mouths, read eternal truth between these lines.

bbc.co.uk

Attempts to build a multicultural society in Germany have “utterly failed,” Chancellor Angela Merkel says.

She said the so-called “multikulti” concept – where people would “live side-by-side” happily – did not work, and immigrants needed to do more to integrate – including learning German.

The comments come amid rising anti-



Thilo Sarrazin

welfare State and crime.” Mr. Sarrazin has since resigned.



The German Chancellor, Angela Merkel: “Immigrants should learn to speak German.”

immigration feeling in Germany.

A recent survey suggested more than 30% of people believed the country was “overrun by foreigners.”

The study – by the Friedrich Ebert Foundation think-tank – also showed that roughly the same number thought that some 16 million of Germany’s immigrants or people with foreign origins had come to the country for its social benefits.

Mrs. Merkel told a gathering of younger members of her conservative Christian Democratic Union (CDU) party that at “the beginning of the 60s our country called the foreign workers to come to Germany and now they live in our country.”

She added: “We kidded ourselves a while, we said: ‘They won’t stay, sometime they will be gone,’ but this isn’t reality.”

“And of course, the approach [to build] a multicultural [society] and to live side-by-side and to enjoy each other... has failed, utterly failed.”

In her speech in Potsdam, however, the chancellor made clear that immigrants were welcome in Germany.

She specifically referred to recent comments by German President Christian Wulff who said that Islam was “part of Germany,” like Christianity and Judaism.

Mrs. Merkel said: “We should not be a country either which gives the impression to the outside world that those who don’t speak German immediately or who were not raised speaking German are not welcome here.”

There has been intense debate about multiculturalism in Germany in recent months.

Correspondents say Mrs. Merkel faces pressure from within her CDU and its allies to take a tougher stance and require immigrants to do more to adapt to German society.

Horst Seehofer, the leader of the CDU’s Bavarian sister party, the CSU, said it was “obvious that immigrants from different cultures like Turkey and Arab countries, all in all, find it harder” to integrate.

“‘Multikulti’ is dead,” Mr. Seehofer said.

Earlier last month the chancellor held talks with Turkish Prime Minister Recep Tayyip Erdogan, in which the two leaders pledged to do more to improve the often poor integration record of Germany’s estimated 2.5 million-strong Turkish community.

The debate first heated up in August when Thilo Sarrazin, a senior official at Germany’s central bank, said that “no immigrant group other than Muslims is so strongly connected with claims on the

No gay gene

By Kev Boyle

kevboyle.blogspot.com

This story is a year old but it’s new to me. From the article:

“Although there is no mention of the research that influenced this new position (from The American Psychological Association), it is clear that efforts to ‘prove’ that homosexuality is simply a biological *fait accompli* have failed. The activist researchers themselves have reluctantly reached that conclusion. There is no gay gene. There is no simple biological pathway to homosexuality.”

Perhaps someone should tell Peter Thatchell. For years the media have been whispering in our ears that homosexuality is a universal and massive reality in our midst. Wikipedia suggests that 20% of the population have “some homosexual feelings.” It also states that, “Gay and lesbian people often live healthy, well adjusted, happy lives” and that “many gay and lesbian people are in a committed same-sex relationship.”

While this may be true for some gay people, more usually, for all kinds of reasons, the lives of compulsively “gay” people is anything but “happy and well adjusted.” It is also well known that male homosexual behavior is notoriously promiscuous, casual sex with complete strangers being not uncommon behavior. Without wishing any harm to self-identified “gays,” should we not revert to thinking of homosexuality as a developmental disorder, like any other?

We should harbor no ill-will towards people trying to come to terms with such difficulties but we should not allow a “gay agenda” (which has been adopted by our liberal secular humanist political classes) to dictate moral principles to society at large.

Homosexual relationships should not be equated with heterosexual relationships. The plumbing speaks for itself.


Marriage and the family, which have been seriously damaged by modern sexual mores, need to be vigorously defended from the social engineers who have been working tirelessly to destroy everything that binds us.

Gay “marriage” is not marriage at all. It is an offense against marriage, a sacred commitment not only to relationship but also children produced in that relationship. Traditional marriage between a man and a woman should, and must, be a rock in society.

Such recent strong anti-immigration feelings from mainstream politicians come amid an anger in Germany about high unemployment, even if the economy is growing faster than those of its rivals, our

correspondent says.

He adds that there also seems to be a new strident tone in the country, perhaps leading to less reticence about no-go-areas of the past.



Your “representatives” – forbidden by the Southern Puberty Lechery Center, a federal Affirmative Action Apparatchik and those new voting machines to display such reminders in a building full of judges, lawyers and politicians – have banished the Ten Commandments from the Alabama Supreme Court now for **86** months.

Today we know it is anything but.

Henry Makow has written extensively about the determination of the powerful to gain increased control over societies by undermining all that unites us, including normal sexual and familial relations.

There is a very telling moment in Alex Jones’ interview with Aaron Russo about his relationship with one of the Rockefellers when Russo tells of being let in on the secret that the Rockefeller Foundation was responsible for the global promotion of feminism in the media, precisely to undermine society and exercise increased control over it.

Last month the Office For National Statistics in the U. K. released figures that revealed that only 1.5% of Britons say they are gay or bisexual.

Not 20%. Not the more commonly stated figure of “about 10%” (which is roughly the figure for soap-opera gays).

88 precepts

By David Lane

(P.O.W.)

“We must secure the existence of our people and a future for White children.

“Because the beauty of the White Aryan woman must not perish from the earth.”



The third eight

17. Discernment includes the ability to recognize the difference between belief and demonstrable reality.

18. There exists no such thing as rights or privileges under the Laws of Nature. The deer being stalked by a hungry lion has no right to life. However, he may purchase life by obedience to nature-ordained instincts for vigilance and flight. Similarly, men have no rights to life, liberty or happiness. These circumstances may be purchased by oneself, by one’s family, by one’s tribe or by one’s ancestors, but they are nonetheless purchases and are not rights. Furthermore, the value of these purchases can only be maintained through vigilance and obedience to Natural Law.

19. A people who are not convinced of their uniqueness and value will perish.

20. The White race has suffered invasions and brutality from Africa and Asia for thousands of years. For example, Attila and the Asiatic Huns who invaded Europe

Not the “more careful” estimate bandied about in “serious” articles of 5%... but only one and a half percent.

Our minds have been under assault for a long time on such issues of sexual norms and sexual rights, and the price paid by society at large and children in particular has been huge.

Let us hope that the gay juggernaut will be driven into reverse and that eventually we will return to more natural and healthy societal norms.

It is not a matter of wanting to damage or even reject homosexual people. It is simply that we need to turn back the tide of evidence-free “gay” propaganda in order to create a society that is good for the majority and their children.

Not good for the corrupt elite who want to control us, no matter what... nor for a disordered small minority, some of whom cannot believe their luck.

in the 5th century, raping, plundering and killing from the Alps to the Baltic and the Caspian Seas. This scenario was repeated by the Mongols of Genghis Khan 800 years later. (Note here that the American Indians are not “Native Americans,” but are racially Mongolians.) In the 8th century, hundreds of years before Negroes were brought to America, the North African Moors of mixed racial background invaded and conquered Portugal, Spain and part of France. So, the attempted guilt-trip placed on the White race by civilization’s executioners is invalid under both historical circumstance and the Natural Law which denies inter-specie compassion. The fact is, all races have benefited immeasurably from the creative genius of the Aryan People.

21. People who allow others not of their race to live among them will perish, because the inevitable result of a racial integration is racial inter-breeding which destroys the characteristics and existence of a race. Forced integration is deliberate and malicious genocide, particularly for a People like the White race, who are now a small minority in the world.

22. In the final analysis, a race or specie is not judged superior or inferior by its accomplishments, but by its will and ability to survive.

23. Political, economic and religious systems may be destroyed and resurrected by men, but the death of a race is eternal.

24. No race of People can indefinitely continue their existence without territorial imperatives in which to propagate, protect and promote their own kind.

Helen Thomas stands up to Israel

By Jeff Davis
whitecivilrights.com

One little old lady isn’t going to grovel before the Jewish power structure. Helen Thomas, a reporter who has been firing gutsy questions at presidents of the United States for longer than most of us have been alive, recently got in trouble for suggesting the Jews should leave Palestine.

The newspaper *Ha’aretz* reports: “Former White House reporter Helen Thomas acknowledges she touched a nerve with remarks about Israel that led to her retirement. But she says the comments were ‘exactly what I



thought,’ even though she realized soon afterward that it was the end of her job. ‘I hit the third rail. You cannot criticize Israel in this country and survive,’ Thomas told Ohio station WMRN-AM in a sometimes emotional 35-minute interview that aired Tuesday. It was recorded a week earlier by WMRN reporter Scott Spears at Thomas’ Washington, D. C., condominium.”

I thought we had Free Speech in America. Why then do so many people lose their jobs for telling the truth about the Jews and other minorities? You can’t fire someone because he is Black, but you can sure as heck fire someone for an offhand remark that an employer doesn’t approve of. Maybe free speech should be protected. That seems like a much more important “civil right” for a free people.

Ha’aretz goes on: “Thomas, 90, stepped down from her job as a columnist for

Hearst News Service after a rabbi and independent filmmaker videotaped her outside the White House calling on Israelis to get out of Palestine. She gave up her front row seat in the White House press room, where she had aimed often pointed questions at ten presidents, going back to Eisenhower. ‘It was very hard for the first two weeks. After that, I came out of my coma,’ said Thomas, whose parents came to the U. S. from Lebanon. Rabbi David Nesenoff, who runs website rabbilive.com, said he approached Thomas after he’d been at the White House for Jewish Heritage Day on May 27. He asked whether she had any comments on Israel. ‘Tell them to get the hell out of Palestine,’ she replied. ‘Remember, these people are occupied and it’s their land. It’s not Germany, it’s not Poland,’ she continued. Asked where they should go, she answered, ‘They should go

home.’ ‘Where’s home?’ Nesenoff asked. ‘Poland, Germany and America and everywhere else,’ Thomas replied. ‘I told him exactly what I thought,’ she told Spears...”

A 60-year career went right down the tubes for speaking out loud two or three sentences containing a forbidden truth. Maybe Helen Thomas thought after all those years in the very seat of power that she was immune from the Unwritten Rules. She found out different. The Jews had to make an example of her, specifically to show that no one, no one, in The System may utter a single critical word about Israel and expect to “survive” in the professional sense. Ironically, incidents like these prove the very point some of these celebrities are trying to make about the power of the Jews.

There’s a growing rebellion in America, and the Tea party is only the start of it. Just wait until we get to the Jewish problem.

The myth of equality

By Pat Buchanan
townhall.com

In 21st century America, institutional racism and sexism remain great twin evils to be eradicated on our long journey to the wonderful world where, at last, all are equal.

What are we to make, then, of a profession that rewards workers with fame and fortune, yet discriminates ruthlessly against women; an institution where Hispanics and Asians, 20 percent of the U. S. population, are neither sought after nor widely seen.



In this profession, White males, a third of the population, retain a third of the jobs. But Black males, 6.5 percent of the U. S. population, have 67 percent of the coveted positions – ten times their fair share.

We are talking of the NFL.

for what we ultimately seek is excellence, not equality.

Why, then, cannot our elites accept that, be it by nature, nurture, attitude or aptitude, we are not all equal in academic ability?

What raises this issue is the anguish evident in New York over the latest State test scores of public school students, which reveal that the ballyhooed progress in closing the racial achievement gap never happened.

That gap approached closure only by lowering the pass-fail score and by using similar tests, year-after-year, so teachers could prepare the kids to take them.

while failing us again and again.

Perhaps it is time to abandon the goal of educational equality as utopian – *i.e.*, unattainable – and to focus, as we do in sports and art, on excellence.

Teach all kids to the limit of their ability, while recognizing that all are not equal in their ability to read, write, learn, compute or debate, any more than they are equally able to play in a band or excel on a ball field. For an indeterminate future, Mexican kids are not going to match Asian kids in math.

The beginning of wisdom is to recognize this world as it is, not as what we would

wish it to be.

Pat Buchanan is a founding editor of *The American Conservative* magazine, and author of such books as *State of Emergency: The Third World Invasion and Conquest of America*. Creators Syndicate



After a new, tougher State test was used in 2010, where 51 correct answers, not 37, meant achieving the desired grade, the old gaps between Hispanics, Blacks, Whites and Asians reappeared as wide as they were when Mayor Michael Bloomberg and city schools chief Joel Klein set out to close them.

“We are closing the shameful achievement gap faster than ever,” blared Bloomberg in 2009, in the euphoria of what *The New York Times* now calls “the test score bubble.”

“Among the students in the city’s third through eighth grades, 40 percent of Black students and 46 percent of Hispanic students met State standards in math, compared with 75 percent of White students and 83 percent of Asian students. In English, 33 percent of Black students and 34 percent of Hispanic students are now proficient, compared with 64 percent of Whites and Asians.”

Appalling, when one considers New York City usually ranks first or second in the nation in per-pupil expenditures.



Neighborhood schools now!

Two Kentucky senators are sponsoring a bill that would bring Kentucky students back to neighborhood schools, instead of busing them far away in an experiment to make all zombies exactly alike. Senators Dan Seum, below left, and David Williams, the bill’s architects, seen here with Nancy

Hitt, attended the Oct. 10 Tea Party shown above at Jefferson Square in Louisville. Opponents of the bill say it would return us to segregated schools. And sanity perhaps?

Long bus trips pollute the environment, harm children, cost time and money better spent on reducing the teacher/pupil ratio.



Order extra copies of

The First Freedom

Help your friends shed the scales from their eyes!
See coupon at bottom of page 2

“The principle for which we contend is bound to reassert itself, though it may be at another time and in another form.”
— President Jefferson Davis

District of Corruption

mikecrane@tds.net

Washington – an organized criminal enterprise

23 October 2010 – In the wake of the League’s 17th annual national conference, we believe it is time to restate the obvious for those who still don’t “get it”: the U. S. government in DC is an organized criminal enterprise. Despite being founded on the “principle” of limited government, it has its tentacles in virtually every aspect of our lives all over our beloved Southland. It has become Godless and is rapidly approaching bankruptcy. Something must be done quickly to free us from this monster.

Don’t tell us to wait until the November elections – the GOP is not our savior. It is the obverse of a counterfeit coin.

After all, who started this corrupt bailout business in September 2008 in the first place? Obama was merely a candidate at the time, though he has certainly continued to advance the Bush policies of allowing the banksters to keep up their crime spree unabated.

The “Tea Party,” while having shown some promise in the beginning, is now being predictably absorbed by status quo Republican politicians. These are people who think reducing government spending by a meager 1-3% is acceptable, but they aren’t even serious about accomplishing that much. It is all a ruse to get re-elected. Unfortunately, the bulk of “conservative” America will fall for it yet again.

The Republican Party’s “Pledge to America” is another fraud that is carefully written not to set measurable goals or bind Republicans to any sort of consequences if (or, more accurately, *when*) they fail to fulfill their promises. A major GOP victory in November will be a devastating setback because conservative voters will think they have “won.” Consequently, they will continue to serve the Federal regime while they wait in vain for their anointed Republicans to bring some kind of meaningful reform to Washington, D. C. Even if today’s Republicans were to faithfully deliver on every single principle mentioned in their “pledge,” that would only perform a fraction of what needs to be done.

It would take many decades of sweeping electoral victories in both houses of Congress and the presidency to undo the monstrosity that has been created over a period of 150 years in D. C. Oh, and let’s not forget that all of this will also require the blessing of the U. S. Supreme Court. These are events, of course, that will never occur in the current political system.

Finally, the mainstream Republican Party has no interest whatsoever in curbing the insatiable appetite of the United States government to occupy and control other nations. Irrational fear of the Islamic terror threat has prompted far too many Americans to endorse a perpetual military occupation of Iraq and Afghanistan, plus the prospect of war with Iran and possibly Pakistan on the horizon as well.

The magnitude of resources consumed by the war machine that is the U. S. military will continue to drag down our economy; yet, among all the outrage within the Tea Party and its supporters about government spending, we hear very little on reducing the U. S. military presence in foreign lands.

We at the League of the South believe all peoples are entitled to the right of self-determination – even those who do not share our Southern cultural values. The people of Iraq and Afghanistan should be free to decide for themselves what kind of government they will have, just as the people in Dixie have the same God-given

Mike Crane summarizes at left those sentiments that best describe where the League of the South is coming from, as expressed at last month’s national convention. Now it’s up to the pivotal few who count.



We commend Deacon and T-Reb along with Alabama’s Confederate Mechanized Cavalry for again roaring in on all those cycles to set up another Fall Muster on October 2. Seen here on the slope below Cemetery Hill at Confederate Memorial Park near Marbury is the big flag that flies alongside I-65 just north of Montgomery, and some of those paying their respects to the veterans buried not far behind where they stand. That’s your editor sitting cross-legged at right.

right. This is why we advocate peaceful separation from the United States government.

In a free and independent South, although we would pity other Americans who remained subjects of the United States government, we would not set out to make enemies of them.

If we don’t begin thinking and acting “outside the box,” the establishment elite will continue to loot us with impunity and violate our rights at every turn. Indeed, their greed (assisted by our inaction

and even complicity) has essentially destroyed our economy. Instead of once again trying to reform that which cannot be reformed (those who most need reforming control all meaningful avenues of reform), we

need simply to leave D. C. behind. There is even less reason to “save the Union” today than there was 150 years ago when our ancestors fought to be free from it.

Yes, we’re talking about Southern independence *now!* The sooner we get off this sinking ship, the better. The policies conducted by the ruling elite in Washington, D. C., can only end in utter collapse, chaos and extreme hardship on future generations. Independence achieved now, although sure to be challenging and not without sacrifices, is infinitely preferable to the chaos that will ensue as the U. S. inevitably self-destructs.

We are confident that another bid for Southern independence in our own day will be more widely supported by other nations than it was in 1861. This international support will make it much more difficult for the United States to use military force to thwart our independence.

The League of the South does not seek to exact revenge on the United States government in any way, and we seek independence that is free of bloodshed. Just like President Jefferson Davis said in 1861, “All we ask is to be let alone.”

If Southern independence sounds like a good idea to you, then help us. You can start by going to our website dixienet.org

“If I ever disown, repudiate, or apologize for the Cause for which Lee fought and Jackson died, let the lightnings of heaven rend me, and the scorn of all good men and true women be my portion. Sun, Moon, and stars, all fall on me when I cease to love the Confederacy. ’Tis the Cause, not the fate of the Cause, that is glorious!”

– Maj. R. E. Wilson, C.S.A.



and signing the online petition entitled

“States Rights, Secession, and Big Government.”

Leave your address so we can contact you. Also, we are preparing another petition that will be presented to the people of the Southern States face-to-face. It calls for immediate secession and independence by our various State governments. We will have it available soon, and it will be announced on the website. We will need your help in acquiring signatures.

Moreover, we need you to join the League of the South. If you’re reading this and are not already a member, please join

SWEET HOME ALABAMA:

Never think surrender

When President Jefferson Davis said it thus, he spoke for the South.

“The Government of the United States adopted a fiction when it declared that the execution of the laws in certain States was impeded by insurrection. The people whom it designated insurrectionists were the organized people of the States. Every public act of theirs was originated with State authority and was conducted under the supervision of the State officers; the results were reported to State Government and put in operation by the State executives. Why, then, did an intelligent and powerful Government so outrage the understanding of mankind as to adopt a fiction on which to base the authority and justification of its hostile action? The United States Government was the result of a compact between the States – a written Constitution. It owed its existence simply to a delegation of certain powers by the respective States, which it was authorized to exercise for their common welfare. One of these powers was to ‘suppress insurrections’ but no power was delegated to subjugate States – the authors of its existence – or to make war on any of the States. If, then, without any delegated power or lawful authority for its proceedings, the Government of the United States commenced war upon some of the States of the Union, how could it be justified before the world? It became the aggressor – the Attila of the continent. Its action inflicted a wound on the principles of constitutional liberty, a crushing blow to the hopes that men had begun to repose in their latest efforts for self-government, which its friends should neither forgive nor forget. To palliate the enormity of such an offence, its authors resorted to a vehement denial that their hostile action was a war against the States, and persistently asserted the fiction that these immense armies and

today. If you were once a member but let your membership slide, please re-join. If you are a member in good standing, then recruit your family and friends into our organization. We need numbers along with hardworking hands and minds.

We also need people who are not afraid to hear the truth and to proclaim it; that Southern independence is the only way short of a direct miracle from the Lord that we can save the South for future generations. I want my children and grandchildren to live free and prosperous in a free South. I’ll bet you feel the same way about yours. Let’s make it happen together right now! Don’t let anyone tell you it can’t be done.

fleets were merely a police authority to put down insurrection. They hoped to conceal from the observation of the American people that the contest on the part of the central Government was for empire – for its absolute supremacy over the State Governments; that the Constitution was rolled up and laid away among the old archives; and that the conditions of their liberty in the future were to be decided by the sword or by ‘national’ control of the ballot-box.”

– *A short history of the Confederate States of America*, President Jefferson Finis Davis; Pages 260-261.

Who’s to apologize?

It’s a shame that those who are outraged at today’s leviathan government (the Tea Party folks) yet don’t see that *the South was right!* We all know, however, that *no one* within the federal powers will ever admit that the South was *right*...because that would mean...over 600,000 died as a result of this *aggression* inflicted upon the citizenry of the South and therefore, would constitute *war crimes!* In Glenn Beck’s daily program of history, he will *not* go back any further than FDR, Hoover and Truman...he will *not* admit that Lincoln destroyed the Constitution and republic! And until that *truth* is finally *admitted*, acknowledged and announced...we will continue to spit into the wind!



But, be that as it may... I’ll continue to save my spit... so I can spit some more!

Confederately yours,
Pat Godwin
Selma, Alabama
Heart of Dixie

The war on terror

By Paul Craig Roberts
Does anyone remember the “cakewalk war” that would last six weeks, cost \$50-\$60 billion, and be paid for out of Iraqi oil revenues?
Does anyone remember that White House economist Lawrence Lindsey was fired by Dubya because Lindsey estimated that the Iraq war could cost as much as \$200 billion?

Lindsey was fired for over-estimating the cost of a war that, according to Joseph Stiglitz and Linda Bilmes, has cost 15 times more than Lindsey estimated. And the U. S. still has 50,000 troops in Iraq.

Does anyone remember that just prior to the U. S. invasion of Iraq, the U. S. government declared victory over the Taliban in Afghanistan?

Does anyone remember that the reason Dubya gave for invading Iraq was Saddam Hussein’s weapons of mass destruction, weapons that the U. S. government knew did not exist?

Are Americans aware that the same neoconservatives who made these fantastic mistakes, or told these fabulous lies, are still in control of the government in Washington?

The “war on terror” is now in its tenth year. What is it really all about?

The bottom line answer is that the “war on terror” is about creating real terrorists. The U. S. government desperately needs real terrorists in order to justify its expansion of its wars against Muslim countries and to keep the American people sufficiently fearful that they continue to accept the Police State that provides “security from terrorists,” but not from the government that has discarded civil liberties.

The U. S. government creates terrorists by invading Muslim countries, wrecking infrastructure and killing vast numbers of civilians. The U. S. also creates terrorists by installing puppet governments to rule over Muslims and by using the puppet governments to murder and persecute citizens as is occurring on a vast scale in Pakistan today.

Neoconservatives used 9/11 to launch their plan for U. S. world hegemony. Their plan fit with the interests of America’s ruling oligarchies. Wars are good for the profits of the military/security complex, about which President Eisenhower warned us in vain a half century ago. American hegemony is good for the oil industry’s control over resources and resource flows. The transformation of the Middle East into a vast American puppet State serves well the Israel Lobby’s Zionist aspirations for Israeli territorial expansion.

Most Americans cannot see what is happening because of their conditioning. Most Americans believe that their government is the best on earth, that it is morally motivated to help others and to do good, that it rushes aid to countries where there is famine and natural catastrophes. Most believe that their presidents tell the truth, except about their sexual affairs.

The persistence of these delusions is extraordinary in the face of daily headlines that report U. S. government bullying of, and interference with, virtually every country on earth. The U. S. policy is to buy off, overthrow, or make war on leaders of other countries who represent their peoples’ interests instead of American interests. A recent victim was the president of Honduras who had the wild idea that the Honduran government should serve the Honduran people.

The American government was able to have the Honduran president discarded, because the Honduran military is trained and supplied by the U. S. military. It is the same case in Pakistan, where the U. S. government has the Pakistani government making war on its own people by invading

tribal areas that the Americans consider to be friendly to the Taliban, al Qaeda, “militants” and “terrorists.”

Earlier this year a deputy U. S. Treasury secretary ordered Pakistan to raise taxes so that the Pakistani government could more effectively make war on its own citizens for the Americans. On October 14, U. S. Secretary of State Hillary Clinton ordered Pakistan to again raise taxes or the U. S. would withhold flood aid. Clinton pressured America’s European puppet States to do the same, expressing in the same breath that the U. S. government was worried by British cuts in the military budget. God forbid that the hard-pressed British, still reeling from American financial fraud, don’t allocate enough money to fight America’s wars.

On Washington’s orders, the Pakistani government launched a military offensive against Pakistani citizens in the Swat Valley that killed large numbers of Pakistanis and drove millions of civilians from their homes. Last July the U. S. instructed Pakistan to send its troops against the Pakistani residents of North Waziristan. On July 6 Jason Ditz reported on antiwar.com that “at America’s behest, Pakistan has launched offensives against [the Pakistani provinces of] Swat Valley, Bajaur, South Waziristan, Orakzai and Khyber.”

A week later Israel’s U. S. Senator Carl Levin (D-MI) called for escalating the Obama Administration’s policies of U. S. air strikes against Pakistan’s tribal areas. On September 30, Pakistani newspaper *The Frontier Post* wrote that the American air strikes “are, plain and simple, a naked aggression against Pakistan.”

The U. S. claims that its forces in Afghanistan have the right to cross into Pakistan in pursuit of “militants.” Recently U. S. helicopter gunships killed three

Iran, Iraq set to boost ties

therebel.org
Iran’s President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad and his Iraqi counterpart Jalal Talabani



Pakistani soldiers whom they mistook for Taliban. Pakistan closed the main U. S. supply route to Afghanistan until the Americans apologized.

Pakistan warned Washington against future attacks. However, U. S. military officials, under pressure from Obama to show progress in the endless Afghan war, responded to Pakistan’s warning by calling for expanding the Afghan war into Pakistan. On October 5 the Canadian journalist Eric Margolis wrote that “the U. S. edges closer to invading Pakistan.”

In his book, *Obama’s Wars*, Bob Woodward reports that America’s puppet president of Pakistan, Asif Ali Zardari, believes that terrorist bombing attacks inside Pakistan for which the Taliban are blamed are in fact CIA operations designed to destabilize Pakistan and allow Washington to seize Pakistan’s nuclear weapons.

To keep Pakistan in line, the U. S. government changed its position that the “Times Square Bombing” was the work of a “lone wolf.” Attorney General Eric Holder switched the blame to the “Pakistani Taliban,” and Secretary of State Clinton threatened Pakistan with “very serious consequences” for the unsuccessful Times Square bombing, which likely was a false flag operation aimed at Pakistan.

To further heighten tensions, on September 1 the eight members of a high-ranking Pakistani military delegation in route to a meeting in Tampa, Florida, with U. S. Central Command, were rudely treated and detained as terrorist suspects at Washington DC’s Dulles Airport.

For decades the U. S. government has enabled repeated Israeli military aggression against Lebanon and now appears to be getting into gear for another Israeli assault on the former American protectorate of

have stressed the need to further boost the already flourishing Tehran-Baghdad ties.

In a late Oct. 24 phone conversation,

Lebanon. On October 14 the U. S. government expressed its “outrage” that the Lebanese government had permitted a visit by Iranian President Ahmadinejad, who is the focus of Washington’s intense demonization efforts. Israel’s representatives in the U. S. Congress threatened to stop U. S. military aid to Lebanon, forgetting that U. S. Rep. Howard Berman (D-CA) has had aid to Lebanon blocked since last August to punish Lebanon for a border clash with Israel.

Perhaps the most telling headline of all is the October 14 report, “Somalia’s New American Prime Minister.” An American has been installed as the Prime Minister of Somalia, an American puppet government in Mogadishu backed up by thousands of Ugandan troops paid by Washington.

This barely scratches the surface of Washington’s benevolence toward other countries and respect for their rights, borders and lives of their citizens.

Meanwhile, to silence Wikileaks and to prevent any more revelations of American war crimes, the “freedom and democracy” government in D. C. has closed down Wikileaks’ donations by placing the organization on its “watch list” and by having the Australian puppet government blacklist Wikileaks.

Wikileaks is now akin to a terrorist organization. The American government’s practice of silencing critics will spread across the internet.

Remember, they hate us because we have freedom and democracy, First Amendment rights, *habeas corpus*, respect for human rights, and show justice and mercy to all.

Paul Craig Roberts was an editor of the *Wall Street Journal* and an Assistant Secretary of the U. S. Treasury. His latest book, *How The Economy Was Lost*, has just been published by CounterPunch/AK Press. He can be reached at: PaulCraigRoberts@yahoo.com

Ahmadinejad and Talabani discussed issues of mutual interest, calling for the further promotion of bilateral ties.

Ahmadinejad described Tehran-Baghdad relations as “very good,” expressing hope that the establishment of an Iraqi government would result in the all-out expansion of bilateral ties, particularly in political and economic areas.

Making reference to his meeting with Talabani on the sidelines of the UN General Assembly in New York, the Iranian president said, “Good issues were brought up in that meeting, and I hope such meetings will continue.”

Talabani, for his part, said Iraq is keen on bolstering relations with its eastern neighbor.

“I hope I will pay a visit to Tehran after the Iraqi government is formed,” the Iraqi leader said.

“With all my devotion to the union, and the feeling of loyalty and duty of an American citizen, I have not been able to make up my mind to raise my hand against my relatives, my children and my home.” – Robert E. Lee

Bob Schulz responds to *Time* magazine

We The People Chairman Bob Schulz was featured in the cover story of the Oct. 11, 2010, issue of *Time*, “**Locked and Loaded: The Secret World of Extreme Militias.**” *This article is volatile and highly misleading. Here’s Bob’s response.*

Dear Editor:

I am featured in your cover article, written by Barton Gellman, though I can not understand why. You have wholly mischaracterized me and the works of my Foundation, in order to shoehorn me into a piece that may work for *Time*, but has no relevance to what Gellman and I discussed. The information I provided to Gellman, through more than six hours of face-to-face interviews, plus emails and written documentation, has been twisted and wholly taken out of context, rendering it

inaccurate, misleading and libelous.

There are so many falsehoods in what was attributed to me that I cannot address them all in this letter. I will take them up with *Time* management.

For the record, I have never advocated violence, I do not and have never belonged to any militia, nor do I communicate with militias or influence militias in any way that I am aware of. However, I do fully support the Constitution’s guarantee of well-regulated militias in every State. To quote the mandate of the second Amendment, “A well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the Right of the People to keep and bear arms shall not be infringed.” Therefore, the absence of well-



regulated Militias in every State is repugnant to the constitution.

This article serves neither the truth nor the People of this nation. It wastes thousands of words regurgitating the intricate details of militia activities, their confrontations with government officials, and a handful of blatantly criminal acts, but the article fails to address the essential

“secret” re-invigorating the Patriot consciousness of Americans across the land.

The “secret” that the mainstream media appear to have an extreme aversion against putting forward or openly discussing is that, over many administrations, with the support of both Parties, the federal government has all but abandoned our Constitution.

Pilot to TSA: “No groping me and no naked photos”

By Michael Roberts
FedUpFlyers@nonpartisan.com
LewRockwell.com

October 15, 2010 – My name is Michael Roberts, and I am a pilot for ExpressJet Airlines, Inc., based in Houston (that is, I still am for the time being). This morning as I attempted to pass through the security line for my commute to work I was denied access to the secured area of the terminal building at Memphis International Airport. I have passed through the same line roughly once per week for the past four and a half years without incident. Today, however, the Transportation Security Administration (TSA) agents at this checkpoint were using one of the new Advanced Imaging Technology (AIT) systems that are currently being deployed at airports across the nation. These are the controversial devices featured by the media in recent months, albeit sparingly, which enable screeners to see beneath people’s clothing to an extremely graphic and intrusive level of detail (virtual strip searching). Travelers refusing this indignity may instead be physically frisked by a government security agent until the agent is satisfied to release them on their way in what is being touted as an “alternative option” to AIT. The following is a somewhat hastily drafted account of my experience this morning.

As I loaded my bags onto the X-ray scanner belt, an agent told me to remove my shoes and send them through as well, which I’ve not normally been required to do when passing through the standard metal detectors in uniform. When I questioned her, she said it was necessary to remove my shoes for the AIT scanner. I explained that I did not wish to participate in the AIT program, so she told me I could keep my shoes and directed me through the metal detector that had been roped off. She then called somewhat urgently to the agents on the other side: “We got an opt-out!” and also reported the “opt-out” into her handheld radio. On the other side I was stopped by another agent and informed that because I had “opted out” of AIT screening, I would have to go through secondary screening. I asked for clarification to be sure he was talking about frisking me, which he confirmed, and I declined. At this point he and another agent explained the TSA’s latest decree, saying I would not be permitted to pass without showing them my naked body, and how my refusal to do so had now given them cause to put their hands on me as I evidently posed a threat to air transportation security (this, of course, is my nutshell synopsis of the exchange). I asked whether they did in fact suspect I was concealing something after I had passed through the metal detector, or whether they believed that I had made any threats or given other indications of malicious designs to warrant treating me, a law-abiding fellow citizen, so rudely. None of that was relevant, I was told. They were just doing their jobs.

Eventually the airport police were summoned. Several officers showed up and we essentially repeated the conversation above. When it became clear that we had reached an impasse, one of the more sensible officers and I agreed that any further conversation would be pointless at this time. I then asked whether I was free to go. I was not. Another officer wanted to see my driver’s license. When I asked why, he said they needed information for their report on this “incident” – my name, address, phone number, etc. I recited my information for him, until he asked for my supervisor’s name and number at the airline. Why did he need that, I asked. For the report, he answered. I had already given him the primary phone number at my company’s headquarters. When I asked him what the Chief Pilot in Houston had to do with any of this, he either refused or was simply unable to provide a meaningful explanation. I chose not to divulge my

supervisor’s name as I preferred to be the first to inform him of the situation myself. In any event, after a brief huddle with several other officers, my interrogator told me I was free to go.

As I approached the airport exit, however, I was stopped again by a man whom I believe to be the airport police chief, though I can’t say for sure. He said I still needed to speak with an investigator who was on his way over. I asked what sort of investigator. A TSA investigator, he said. As I was by this time looking eagerly forward to leaving the airport, I had little patience for the additional vexation. I’d been denied access to my workplace and had no other business keeping me there.

“Am I under arrest?” I asked.
“No, he just needs to ask you some more questions.”

“But I was told I’m free to go. So... am I being detained now, or what?”

“We just need to hold you here so he can...”

“Hold me in what capacity?” I insisted.
“Detain you while we...”

Okay, so now they were detaining me as I was leaving the airport facility.

We stood there awkwardly, waiting for the investigator while he kept an eye on me. Being chatty by nature, I asked his opinion of what new procedures might be implemented if someday someone were to smuggle an explosive device in his or her rectum or a similar orifice. Ever since would-be terrorist Richard Reid set his shoes on fire, travelers have been required to remove their footwear in the security line. And the TSA has repeatedly attempted to justify these latest measures by citing Northwest flight 253, on which Umar Farouk Abdulmutallab scorched his genitalia. Where, then, would the evolution

of these policies lead next?

“Do you want them to board your plane?” he asked.

“No, but I understand there are other, better ways to keep them off. Besides, at this point I’m more concerned with the greater threat to our rights and liberties as a free society.”

“Yeah, I know,” he said. And then, to my amazement, he continued, “But somebody’s already taken those away.”

“Maybe they have,” I conceded, watching the throng of passengers waiting their turn to get virtually naked for the federal security guards.

As a side note, I cannot refrain here from expressing my dismay and heartbreak over a civil servant’s personal resignation to the loss of civil liberty among the people by whom he is employed to protect and serve. If he no longer affirms the rights and freedom of his fellow citizens, one can only wonder exactly what he has in view as the purpose of his profession.

The TSA investigator arrived and asked for my account of the situation. I explained that the agents weren’t allowing me to pass through the checkpoint. He told me he had been advised that I was refusing security screening, to which I replied that I had willingly walked through the metal detector with no alarms, the same way I always do when commuting to work. He then briefed me on the recent screening policy changes and, apparently confused, asked whether they would be a problem for me. I stated that I did indeed have a problem with the infringement of my civil rights and liberty.

His reply: “That’s irrelevant.”
It wasn’t irrelevant to me. We continued briefly in the conversation until I recognized that we were essentially repeating the same discussion I’d already had with the other

officers and agents standing by. With that realization, I told him I did not wish to keep going around and around with them and asked whether he had anything else to say to me. Yes, he said he did, marching indignantly over to a table nearby with an air as though he were about to do something drastic.

“I need to get your information for my report,” he demanded.

“The officer over there just took my information for his report. I’m sure you could just get it from him.”

“No, I have to document everything separately and send it to TSOC. That’s the Transportation Security Operations Center where we report...”

“I’m familiar with TSOC,” I assured him. “In fact, I’ve actually taught the TSA mandated security portion of our training program at the airline.”

“Well, if you’re an instructor, then you should know better,” he barked.

“Really? What do you mean I ‘should know better’? Are you scolding me? Have I done something wrong?”

“I’m not saying you’ve done something wrong. But you have to go through security screening if you want to enter the facility.”

“Understood. I’ve been going through security screening right here in this line for five years and never blown up an airplane, broken any laws, made any threats, or had a government agent call my boss in Houston. And you guys have never tried to touch me or see me naked that whole time. But, if that’s what it’s come to now, I don’t want to enter the facility that badly.”

Finishing up, he asked me to confirm that I had been offered secondary screening as an alternative “option” to ATS, and that I had refused it. I confirmed. Then he asked whether I’d “had words” with any of the agents. I asked what he meant by that and he said he wanted to know whether there had been “any exchange of words.” I told him that yes, we spoke. He then turned to the crowd of officers and asked whether I had been abusive toward any of them when they wanted to create images of my naked body and touch me in an unwelcome manner. I didn’t hear what they said in reply, but he returned and finally told me I was free to leave the airport.

As it turned out, they did reach the chief pilot’s office in Houston before I was able to. Shortly after I got home, my boss called and said they had been contacted by the TSA. I suppose my employment status at this point can best be described as on hold.

It’s probably fairly obvious here that I am outraged. This took place today (now yesterday, when I wrote all this down), 15 October 2010. Anyone who reads this is welcome to contact me for confirmation of the details or any additional information I can provide. The dialog above is quoted according to my best recollection, without embellishment or significant alteration except for the sake of clarity. I would greatly appreciate any recommendations for legal counsel – preferably a firm with a libertarian bent and experience resisting this kind of tyrannical madness. This is not a left or right, red or blue State issue. The very bedrock of our way of life in this country is under attack from within. Please don’t let it be taken from us without a fight.

Michael S. Roberts
3794 Douglass Ave.
Memphis, TN 38111
901-237-6308
FedUpFlyers@nonpartisan.com
October 18, 2010

Copyright 2010 by LewRockwell.com



Kentuckians keep and bear

KNOB CREEK, KY. – The annual machine gun shoot held here October 8-10 drew thousands of visitors, as reported by Nancy hitt, shown above at the entrance. Its military gun show drew dealers from across the country, to buy, sell and trade rifles, pistols, shotguns and machine guns. They also hawked ammo, military surplus, hard to find gun parts and much more. The show was set up in a large lighted pole barn

adjacent to the firing line and club house, with security on patrol at all times, as Knob Creek continued its support for the Second Amendment and our right to keep and bear arms.

Visitors and participants on the firing range walked great distances just to enter, for parked vehicles – one stretch of them seen below – occupied both sides of the way into the event for miles.



The First Freedom
isn't for everybody. But you know someone who needs it.

On the road with Ahmadinejad in Lebanon

Isn’t this one fine view?”
By Franklin Lamb
opinion-maker.org
Qana.
“If President Ahmadinejad asks my opinion, I shall tell him: A stone? My dear brother, you are capable of throwing much more than a stone.”
– Hassan Nasrallah (AFP 10/12/10)
▪ **He came, he saw, he conquered.**

WATCHING the Iranian President blow kisses to cleaning workers at Beirut’s airport during his departure for Iran early that morning, a Lebanese Christian historian commented “This Persian’s glory at the moment is arguably greater than Caesar’s following Rome’s second conquest of Britain.”
And the Iranian president did indeed throw much more than a stone at U. S.-Israel projects for Lebanon, perhaps energized by the adoring public he encountered.

A grateful nation extended to Mahmoud Ahmadinejad what one bishop claimed was the greatest outpouring of popular support on the streets, all along this country’s sectarian divide, that the Republic of Lebanon has ever witnessed including the May 10, 1997, visit of Pope John Paul II.
An important reason for the outpouring of popular support was the quarter century of Iranian assistance to Lebanon for social projects, and for rebuilding much of Lebanon following the 1993, 1996 and 2006 Israeli aggressions. Massive aid that was detailed by Hezbollah’s Secretary-General in a recent speech and the cost of which is estimated to be in excess of one billion dollars.

Iran’s President is widely believed in the diplomatic community here to have promoted sectarian unity in Lebanon, calmed the current political atmosphere, and delivered on offers of more desperately needed economic projects via 17 bilateral agreements. A particularly appreciated offer throughout Lebanon is Iran’s major pledge of an electrical complex that will deliver seven times Lebanon’s current power supply, which in 2010 still sees power cuts throughout Lebanon. The current deficiencies range from three hours to 12 hours daily power cuts everywhere in Lebanon plus total blackouts for days at a time in some areas. Iran’s President is widely believed to have achieved a major advancement for Lebanese stability, sovereignty and independence.

The throngs were cheering, waving, and shouting their admiration. Local media used descriptive words like “rock star, rapturous, massive affection,” to describe his reception.
Wretched Palestinian refugees, tightly shoe horned into Lebanon’s squalid UN camps, denied even the most elementary civil rights by an apathetic international community and some of the local sects, could be seen along the route. Many with eyes moistened, perhaps by Nakba memories and tears of hope for the early liberation of their sacred Palestine and the full exercise of their internationally mandated and inalienable Right of Return to their homes.
Refugees, plenty of them illegal, Iraqis, Afghans, Kurds and others, urging the expulsion of occupation forces from their countries and the restoration of their former lives waved and blew kisses. Lebanese domestic “guest/slave workers” from Sri Lanka, Ethiopia, Sudan, Philippines, Bangladesh and other countries could be seen in the crowds along with Syrian construction workers. Also a sprinkling of Stendhal “*Le Rouge et le Noir*” characters who, seeking secure advancement in life, have fixed themselves to one or the other, both requiring that they be seen publicly at such an important event.

Close to 750,000 people, or approximately one quarter of the total population of Lebanon, of all ages and stations in life, appeared at the main road from Beirut’s airport and at other events during an intense two day frenetic series of appearances. Red, green and yellow rose petals, the colors of



Lebanon – Public is to a leader like water is to fish

Iran’s flag, greeted Lebanon’s guest. Due to time constraints, some events for which much preparation had been made were “postponed,” including an “American Town Hall Meeting with President Ahmadinejad.” It was to include 15 Americans currently in Lebanon as academics, business people, students, housewives and NGO’s, in a much anticipated U. S. political campaign type format with Iran’s President joining an informal dialogue with his interlocutors.

At Al Raya Athletic field in South Beirut, often used for popular Hezbollah events, an estimated 150,000 people crowded onto just the main field boundaries, one Hezbollah source reporting that it was the largest gathering inside the field ever seen. Thousands of other attendees spilled onto the side streets where huge TV screens had been set up and vendors hocked roasted ears of corn, boiled balila beans, *kaak asrounye* (baked bread with filling)) various treats, including chips, cotton candy and soft drinks. Driving around the area on the mercifully cool autumn evening by motorbike, one could see thousands more gathered at several dozen Dahiyeh outdoor cafes and store front shops where families and friends gathered to watch on the proprietors’ outdoor TV screens. Some of the adults smoked arguila water pipes and little kids played, happy to be allowed to stay up late while teenagers appeared contented to get a day off from school and an evening without homework.

Lebanese and Iranian flags were fluttering everywhere, without huge numbers of Hezbollah flags displayed, in keeping with the message that this was an official State visit. President Ahmadinejad of Iran was invited by President Michel Suleiman of Lebanon on behalf of every Lebanese, including the majority Lebanese who live in the Diaspora. Deployments of Suleiman’s Presidential guards were the ones seen to be providing security for Iran’s president, with Hezbollah security largely out of sight except for occasional fleeting glimpse of Hezbollah sharpshooters in windows throughout the assembly area. They also surfaced quickly if a dispute or argument flared up in the packed crowds.



A Lebanese, throwing an Iranian newspaper over the fence into Israeli held territory

not favor attacking Iran unless Iran attacks Israel first. This is very good news because I am sure Iran, unlike Israel’s record, will never be the first to start a war. Iran will retaliate naturally and that could mean World War III, but there will be no war involving Iran unless Iran, Syria or Lebanon is attacked. We in the Resistance Alliance are ‘one for all and all for one,’ but we really want to be friends with the American people.” And she offered the woman a small ribbon-tied, party wrapped, cellophane pouch with Iranian pistachios and candy attached to a small Iranian flag. “No thanks,” the American answered as she walked away.

The American Embassy warned Americans to avoid Ahmadinejad’s “provocative and potentially dangerous visit because the Lebanese government cannot protect U. S. Citizens.” Jeffrey Feltman, the assistant secretary of State for Near Eastern affairs, complained to the pan-Arab Al-Hayat on 10/13/10: “Why is the Iranian president organizing activities that might spark tension? We are taking steps to lower tension while Ahmadinejad is doing the opposite.”

Nevertheless, there were plenty of Yanks in attendance at all of Ahmadinejad’s appearances.
During his Qana visit, the Hezbollah Parliamentary delegation, friends with many Americans here, must have tipped off the Iranian President that Americans were sitting near them. The reason for this hunch is that he could not have been more gracious, making frequently eye contact and touching his forehead as a greeting and salute and thanking them for coming. He assured the American guests that eventually Iran and America will be good friends and perhaps allies.

Shortly before the Iranian President’s 35 car convoy carrying his delegation and various Lebanese officials arrived at Qana, his fourth largest gathering, an Israeli Air Force MRPV circled lazily yet provocatively above the site of the 1996 Qana massacre. Some in the more than 15,000 person crowd pointed skyward, some kids squealing, “Israel!” From their experience, “Qanains” – as Ali, who grew up in this village explained some locals call themselves – were able to give foreigners fairly precise details of the MRPV’s specs and capabilities. This Israeli provocation ended, according to a Hezbollah security source, when the MRPV’s controllers realized a Resistance laser guided missile had locked on to the uninvited intruder. The same source divulged that Hezbollah did not intend to shoot it down and would only monitor the threat. This was because the Resistance did not want to mar the Iranian President’s tour. In addition, he explained that Lebanon’s resistance wanted to maintain “tactical and strategic ambiguity” concerning its array of anti-aircraft weapons until the moment war comes.

Lebanon’s people, army and resistance ignored provocations from this country’s southern enemy, including assassination threats like the one made by Nakba-denying Knesset member Aryeh Eldad, more blustering from Shimon Peres, Ehud Barak, and PM Netanyahu, the beefing up of Israeli forces along the blue line, efforts to crack Hezbollah communications and send SMS threats via hacked mobile phones, conducting a chorus of U. S. officials in childish criticisms of the visit, and Israeli spokesmen like Mark Regev and political extremists in Congress issuing threats.

Israeli warplanes on Friday carried out intensive, mock air raids over south Lebanon as if to send the message, “He is gone but we are still here!” The State-run National News Agency said Israeli jets staged mock air raids at medium altitude over Nabatiyeh, Iqlim al-Tuffah, Marjayoun, Khiam and Arqoub.

Another signature Israeli taunt during

Iran’s President’s visit was the launching of hundreds of blue and white balloons to catch the air current north to Bint Jbeil when Ahmadinejad was appearing. Some with insults written on them by children with magic markers and others allegedly smeared with human feces, the spreading of the latter being an IDF insult employed over the past 45 years of incursions into Lebanon and Palestine when during occupations of Lebanese and Palestinian homes some Israeli soldiers create what they call “poop art” on walls, mattresses and other surfaces.

For many Lebanese, from all of 17 non-Shia sects, despite a nearly unprecedented five year U. S.-Israel vilification campaign against his person and his country, Iran’s President appears to be underestimated in Washington and Tel Aviv and is increasingly perceived in Lebanon as he is in his own country.

Members of his entourage describe him as a simple, devoutly religious uncomplicated man who personifies universal aspirations for justice, resistance to occupation and privilege, and who is committed to the liberation of Palestine. According to a few of his aides who work with him daily, as well as some tough and experienced Iranian journalists, many of them critics of their President, he is much respected and loved by Iran’s seniors for his work over the years on their behalf. His other main base of political support comes from working families who appreciate his advocacy and funding for nutritious lunch programs in restricting excessive juke food availability

ZIONIST CROWING:

Forbidden territory

Elderly German woman fined for Holocaust denial

jta.org
October 7, 2010, BERLIN (JTA) – An 81-year-old German woman was convicted of Holocaust denial and fined.

The woman, identified as Ursula H., on Wednesday was given a suspended sentence of six months and fined nearly \$1,400 by a Munich judge.

Ursula, the former president of a banned right-wing extremist group, admitted to distributing a text among schoolchildren that she had written in which she denied the Holocaust. Her 91-year-old co-defendant had allowed his name to be used on the pamphlet and was fined more than \$800, the German news agency DPA reported.

In Germany, it is illegal to openly deny the Holocaust or disseminate Nazi propaganda. A jail sentence of up to five years is possible.

Judge Norbert Riedmann said he doubted that Ursula H. would change her views and that she had to be punished for

at schools. According to UNICEF it is among the most progressive child nutrition programs anywhere.

Iranian journalists explained during deep background sessions how much that is reported about him in the West in not accurate. They cite the claimed 10/25/05 statement by President Ahmadinejad that Israel must be wiped off the map, as well as his alleged statement that he denied, rather than merely calling for more study of, some aspects of the Holocaust; which were themselves disinformation projects by the Nakba-denying Zionist lobby, amplified in the compliant U. S. and western media and still, unfortunately, repeated this week by Reuters in Beirut. Examining the transcript of Ahmadinejad’s speech at the 2005 Tehran Conference reveals that his exact words were, “This regime occupying Jerusalem must vanish from the page of time.”

That view is widely held throughout the world, as serial Zionist crimes increasingly erode support for the idea of a Jewish fascist theocracy violently implanted into the Middle East. The word “map” was never used. As writer Narash Norouzi has documented, The Persian word for map, “nagsheh,” is not contained anywhere in his original Farsi quote, or, for that matter, anywhere in his entire speech. Nor was the western phrase “wipe out” ever said. Yet we are led to believe that Iran’s President threatened to “wipe Israel off the map,” despite never having uttered the words “map,” “wipe out” or even “Israel.” What Iran’s President appeared to call for was

expressing them.

Some right-wing groups have tested the denial ban by openly doubting the numbers of Jews murdered, or by stating that German civilians suffered as much as victims of the Holocaust.

Several Holocaust deniers in recent years have been put on trial in Germany and Austria and served jail time.



Ursula Haverbeck-Wetzel

Israel takes center stage in Illinois campaign

In this fight for the Congress seat in Illinois – a struggle between two Jewish candidates – Israel tops the political agenda and question: Who does more for the Jewish interest?

By Yitzhak Benhorin
ynetnews.com

FORGET the financial crisis; in the Ninth Congressional District of Illinois, it is Israel that has taken center stage in the election campaign. A Jewish candidate has been trying to convince the mostly Jewish voters that his Jewish opponent has not done enough to protect the Jewish interest.

The Ninth Congressional District, which



Pollak: Vows he will protect Israel on Capitol Hill

covers the suburbs of northern Chicago, is an area with a large Jewish population. Predictably, the two candidates are Jewish. The Democratic candidate is incumbent Congresswoman Jan Schakowsky, 67, a moderate whose spot in Congress seems safe. Running against her is Republican Joel Pollak, 33, an Orthodox Jew with conservative views who has taken it upon himself to undermine his opponent by raising the Israel issue to the top of the campaign agenda.

“My focus: Israel”

Pollak tends to carry a map of the Middle East with him on the campaign trail, and to present it to the voters. Last month he visited the reform synagogue of B’nai Jehoshua Beth Elohim in Deerfield, Illinois, which hosted a debate between the two candidates. He spread the copy of the Google map in his possession and announced to the audience: “My focus

regime change, a popular concept in Washington, D. C. for the past couple of decades.

This reminds one of the distortion of Ahmadinejad’s call for an impartial international inquiry into the event of 9/11 and the over reaction by President Obama and his comments that the Iranian analysis was “hurtful.” Increasingly American citizens are calling for the same thing as Ahmadinejad: to answer the scores of key questions not adequately considered by the rushed U. S. inquiry. On the subject of charges by the ADL and Israel lobby that Iran and its President are anti-Semitic, one Jewish Iranian sociologist pointed during his visit to Lebanese University that Iran’s 25,000 Jews have been shown to have a far better life, without discrimination, than many Sephardim Jews, and virtually all Arabs, in Israel.

Analysts will write about Iran’s Mahmoud Ahmadinejad’s historic visit for months to come and what the visit means for the two countries, for the question of Palestine, strategic alignments in the region and consequences for China, Russia and the wider international community.

Lebanon’s guest departed Beirut airport to return to his country at midnight after a visit at the Iranian Embassy with Hassan Nassrallah, during which the Hezbollah Secretary-General gave Iranian President Ahmadinejad an Israeli rifle taken from an Israeli soldier during the July 2006 war.

His visit achieved more than a symbolic consecration of a new local and regional reality that encompasses a third way,

Strictly between terrorists

Do you think the U. S. will have courage to attack Iran? Defeated in Iraq, Afghanistan, even Pakistan, and financially hit, will Obama oblige the Zionists? We need your answer. – V. T. Rajshekar

By Olaf Childress
firstfreedom@gulftel.com

Will the U. S. have the “courage” to attack Iran? Let’s make that “stupidity” and you’ve got yourself a whole new stage setting, *Dalit Voice* Editor Rajshekar. The CIA isn’t doing so hot “changing regimes” as they once did with the Shah of Iran, for people are waking up.

Obama is a puppet of the Jews; has been since day one. His “advisers” (controllers) are and have been from the start Zionist Jews. As I write this in October the internet is flooded with “exposures” and constant jokes attacking their current front man for international Jewish Bolshevism because mid-term elections for “representatives” (of Israel, not America) are in two weeks. What’s that got to do with it, you ask? The whole charade of “democratic elections” hangs by a single thread worldwide, and the Jewish mediacracy has to maintain this

tonight will be Israel.”

It was a significant debate that got national media coverage, even from major outlets like the *New York Times*. Pollak asked the attendees to point out if they notice the border between Israel and the territories of the Palestinian Authority. He claimed that voters must understand the problem and send a representative to Capitol Hill that can protect the Jewish State. In his opinion, the incumbent congresswoman does not do enough for Israel.

Pollak’s main obstacle is that Schakowsky was labeled “kosher” by the pro-Israel community, and the American Israel Public Affairs Committee – a prominent lobby group – has praised her work.

The fact that she is known to support U. S. President Barak Obama’s policy on the Middle East has yet to endanger her popularity among the Jewish voters.

The Pollak-Schakowsky struggle is not

separate from the U. S.-Israel-Saudi or Syrian path. Some think we are witnessing here a new era of growing, uncompromising Resistance to Israel’s brutal occupation and ethnic cleansing of Palestine as well as America’s occupation and exploitation of Arab natural resources. Some analysts are speaking about a six member Axis of Resistance led by Iran and Turkey and including Iraq, Afghanistan, Syria and Lebanon that is the rising regional power.

What seems quite evident is that Iran’s President and the large delegation of business people comprising his entourage have opened a new era of bilateral relations between the two countries.

His positive personal and political connections with virtually all of Lebanon’s leaders, including such rightist Christian politicians as Samir Geagea, will likely lead to some big joint economic projects, the Iranian arming of the Lebanese Armed Forces and strategic political cooperation starting now.



Franklin Lamb volunteers with the Palestine Civil Rights Campaign as the mission of his life. He blogs at PalestinianCivilRightsCampaign.org. Presently, Dr Lamb is doing research in Lebanon.

fiction of ordinary citizens participating in who runs their government. So they must own *both* political parties; that is, all the contenders for public office capable of winning or participating in any kind of coalition government.

Here in the JuSA it’s always Democrats versus Republicans, and the prostituted media must keep alive this fiction that no third party has a chance. So they hint that we should go to the polls and vote the Democrat “representative” out and put his Republican challenger back in.

It’s on average an eight-year cycle, two Democan presidencies alternating with a pair of Republocrat terms. You think your people are the only ones falling for this?

The media is where we lost it. We’re spitting against the wind until regaining sufficiently honest news by recovering that forum. It’s a constant battle between good and evil, and nobody should expect to truly come alive while cowardly avoiding the sacrifices called for by nature and intrinsic duty; that is, when refusing to join the fight.

Let us dare those eternal jokesters to meet us on a level field. They’ll scurry for cover like cockroaches. But they crow a lot up in their safe mansions.

the only political clash with Israel at the center of attention; in the adjacent 10th District in northern Chicago, Israel is an important issue in the race between Republican congressional candidate Robert Dold and his democratic opponent Dan Seals.

Israel also comes up often in the fight for the senate seat that was occupied by Obama before he was elected president; Republican Mark Kirk, who has an impressive record of supporting Israel, constantly pounds his democratic opponent Alexi Giannoulias by trying to present him as anti-Israel. Giannoulias, on his end, squirms and denies this charge.



American Socialization and the Fourteenth Amendment

By Bill Ivy
firstfreedom@gulftel.com

If a court, any court, can present documented evidence, *not by the presumption of facts*, but the facts, *that due process of law was rendered* to the ten States of the South, *before* Congress acted upon the overthrow of their State governments and *before* the implication of the *Reconstruction Acts* placed upon the citizens of those States, then the 14th Amendment is a valid amendment to the U. S. Constitution. *If it cannot be shown* that due process of law had been rendered, this amendment exists through *violations of the law of the land*, and is thus fraudulent, *null and void*.

“I cannot believe that any court, in full possession of its faculties, could honestly hold that the amendment (14th) was properly approved and adopted.” – *State v Phillips*, 540 P. 2d 936, 941, Supreme Court of Utah, September 15, 1975.

- Violence to Article V**
- I). *Congress rejected* the lawful votes of ten Southern States (violence) for rejecting the 14th Amendment.
 - II). *Congress forced* adoption by these ten States (violence).
 - III). *Congress denied* New Jersey and Oregon their right to rescind prior votes on the amendment.
 - IV). *Congress forced* some States to change their votes, while denying others the right to change theirs. *Double standard*.

Failure of due process of law (Reconstruction)

- I). Congress declared (*charged*) Southern States to be without lawful government, not being republican in form (Article IV), without law and order – and then, *without due process of law*, overthrew the same governments, placing those States under martial law (punishment without a trial).
- II). Military establishes *new governments*, with new constitutions, not allowing the majority of the citizens to vote upon their system of government – only those “loyal” Whites and Blacks (a *non-republican* established government).
- III). Whether or not law and order was re-established, if a State adopts the 14th Amendment, the illegal punishment, without its due process of law, that State ceases. Reconstruction was totally *about adoption of a rejected proposed amendment*, having nothing to do with that republican form of government which *existed prior to Reconstruction*.

Article V of the U. S. Constitution is *not the mother* of the 14th Amendment. Reconstruction, being a bill of attainder *without* due process of law, is *this amendment’s birthmother*. The 14th Amendment established *the foundation for centralization into a national system of government* with Washington acting as “supreme authority” over the States and was *the outcome of fraud, not law*. Fraud has no statute of limitations. With the court having jurisdiction and authority to *guarantee the fulfillment of due process of law*, the 14th Amendment can be brought before the court “*in question to its validity*” grounded upon *the failure of due process of law* (Reconstruction) and the means for acquiring adoption illegally.

The socialization of America’s system of government *requires the foundation* of centralized national government; that is, a centralized authority, with all other powers subordinated to the centralized authority (U. S. government power over State power). *Without the centralization of authority there can be no socialization*. The answer to crumble all acts of American socialization, such as the abolition of private property in land (property tax, first plank of the

Communist Manifesto), the 16th Amendment (progressive direct income tax, 2nd plank of the *Communist Manifesto*), the Federal Reserve (central bank system, 5th plank of the *Communist Manifesto*), and the new socialization of health care is to be found in *the validity of proper adoption and ratification of the 14th Amendment*. Was it adopted in accordance to the law of the land?

Expose this truth and there will be the restored system of American government *as established at the 1787 Constitutional Convention*. No other answer exists for the American people! How was this amendment to have its birth? Through the failure of due process of law and *not* through the mandates of law under Article V of the U. S. Constitution’s amending process.

Allow the Senator to tell you himself how this amendment was to be adopted, laying the foundation for a government system *rejected at the Constitutional Convention*, the required base for America’s socialization of government:

“The people of the South have rejected the Constitutional Amendment (*their right by law under Article V*) and therefore we will march upon them and force them to adopt it at the point of the bayonet and establish military power over them (*Reconstruction years, punishment without due process*) until they do adopt it.”

– Senator Doolittle, *Congressional Globe*, 2d. ses, 1644 (1867).

To crumble the socialization of America is to expose the fraud of the 14th Amendment. It’s *a single battlefront* we’re up against, not the many. Awaken to it, as it is the path to *take back America*.

Contact **rorie8th@bellsouth.net** for “Fall of Constitutional Government,” sent by email only.

Where exactly is the point of origin that the people lost their America and true heritage of government/citizenship?

The 14th Amendment!

It changed the citizenship status of Americans, a status foreign to that held by our Founders. Yeah, that’s a big deal, a real big deal! It changed the established system of government to one that was rejected at the 1789 Constitutional Convention. This new system was needed to open the door for American socialization. Order and read *Red Republicans and Lincoln’s Marxists* (www.olesouthbooks.net). This beast does have its Achilles’ Heel. That’s its adoption procedures, directly violating the mandates of law in the U. S. Constitution! Truly, do you desire to take back America? Then be willing to do the required homework towards that goal. *This knowledge is foreign to your public education teachings*. You must do the homework to learn the

truth.

“The philosophy of the schoolroom is, one generation will be the philosophy of government in the next.”

– Abraham Lincoln.

You say you *don’t trust government?* Education is *a part of government*. The classroom has taught us that we are *U. S. citizens* and that our system of government is *national*, with Washington as the *supreme power*. Though true as it does stand today, such is *foreign* to our Founders’ citizenship and form of government. Doubting Thomas? *Do the homework*.

True and falsified definitions

Definitions relate to our understanding. Has a deception made us misunderstand America’s established system of government through *the power* of falsified definitions? The answer:

Articles of Confederation, the Union’s *first Constitution*...

Article I: The style of this *Confederacy* shall be “*The United States of America*.”

Article II: *Each State retains its sovereignty, freedom and independence*, and every power, jurisdiction and right which is not by this *Confederation* expressly delegated to the United States in Congress assembled.

The U. S. Constitution, the Union’s *second constitution*, was to retain the above. James Madison, in Federalist Paper #39, paragraph 11, last 2 sentences, relates as much.

“*Each State*, in ratifying the Constitution, is considered as a *sovereign body, independent of all others*, and *only* to be *bound by its own voluntary act* (the right to secede). In this relation, then, the new constitution *will*, if established, *be a federal and not a national* constitution.”

Using the understood definitions for the words federal and national of our founders, Madison describes the meaning to each in Federalist Paper #39, paragraph 7, second sentence. He elaborates:

“**Federal:** regards the Union as a *Confederacy* of sovereign States.

“**National:** regards the Union as a *Consolidation* of the States.”

It is clear to see that the Founders

OLD SOUTH

GENERAL STORE AND PAWN SHOP

Weogufka, AL CSA 35183 (256)249-9100

Cash Loans
Pawn Buy
Sell Trade

Guns Tools
Electronics
Jewelry

Knives Ammo
Bumper Stickers
Beverages Tobacco

Building erected in 1853

LLOYD CAPERTON, Owner – CapeCSA1@aol.com
Treasurer, Alabama League of the South

\$1.75 lb.
Farm Raised
“You Catch”
Catfish
Confederate
Shirts, Caps
Belt Buckles
All 6 flags

and Framers of the U. S. Constitution understood that the *federal system* meant a *Confederacy* and that each State *held to its sovereignty*, whereas the *national system* meant *the consolidation* of the States to form a one-nation government with States *surrendering their sovereignties*.

When it was seen that the Southern States were losing the war, Webster’s Dictionary, *in 1864*, made a change in political word meanings for what was on America’s horizon. *In 1865*, the U. S. government adopted Webster’s as *official dictionary for the U. S. Government*. Here is Webster’s altered definition of the word “federal” *in my on-hand 1978 edition*, to compare with Madison’s description above.

“**Federal:** formed by a compact between political units *that surrender individual sovereignty* to a *central authority*, but *retain certain limited powers*.”

Is this not the definition that Madison attributed to *national*?! The creators are

natvan.com/who-rules-america

subordinate to their creation?

Surely this has aroused some questions. Then bring them to the Article V Group at (843) 875-3597 or rorie8th@bellsouth.net.

Both “original editions” of the 1863 and 1864 Webster’s Dictionaries are possessed by the Article V Group.

Robert E. Lee, in an 1866 letter to Lord Acton, the year Congress proposed the 14th Amendment, stated his forebodings:

“The *consolidation* of the States (*true* intent of the 14th) into *one vast republic* (centralized, Washington Supreme, *foreign* to our established form of government as presented by Madison above), sure to be *aggressive* abroad and *despotic* at home, will be the certain precursor *of that ruin* which has overwhelmed *all those that have preceded*.”

And Thomas Jefferson, on Washington being the *supreme* power under centralized national government:

“When all governments, *domestic and foreign*, in little as in great things, shall be drawn to Washington, *as the center of all power*, it will render powerless the checks provided of one government on another (relationship between Federal and State governments), and *will become* as venial and oppressive as the government from which we separated.”

The 14th Amendment *created* the American citizenship status of today, doing away with that of the founders’ citizenship status. It made the federal government supreme over the States. The amendment, though, is illegal. *It failed to ratify!*

Bill Ivy writes from Johns Island, South Carolina. He proclaims himself a citizen of that State, and not the District of Corruption.

not a theory. History is full of conspiracies. One of the biggest conspiracies in world history is *The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion*. It talks about the Jews using their control of the media to control White nations. The ADL should know about this particular conspiracy; they’re an active part of it.

The ADL whines on, “In the summer of 2009 a variety of anti-government protests and disruptions occurred at town hall meetings organized by senators and representatives across the country to discuss health-care reform... These events became a fertile ground for anti-Obama protests and stunts, with some individuals angrily launching verbal attacks against the president and other office holders...”

It’s called democracy, something the Jews complain about whenever it goes against their interests.

And those people speaking out at town hall meetings are using their freedom of speech – something the Jews don’t think we should have.

Are the Jews getting nervous?

By Jeff Davis
whitecivilrights.com

World Jewry has always been keenly aware of the potential of White Americans rebelling against this sham two-party government that the Jews have controlled for decades.

An ADL press release claims “Rumors about gun confiscations. Angry protests about the government’s tax policies, replete with Nazi comparisons. A resurgent militia movement. Rage at the election of a president deemed to be illegitimate and threatening. Distrust and anger toward the government fueled by paranoia and conspiracy theories... In the year since we marked the historic election of the nation’s first African-American president we have seen a tremendous amount of anger and hostility...There is a toxic atmosphere of rage in America being witnessed at many levels, and it raises fundamental questions for our society.”

What the ADL sees as an alarming development is a positive development in

the eyes of White patriots. The ADL wants the illegal alien invasion of America to continue without a peep of protest from angry White Americans. And why does the ADL want this? The result of a limitless brown invasion of America will be the extermination of the White race. Seems like the Jews are trying to commit genocide against us. A real genocide, not a phony one like that ridiculous Holocaust story of theirs.

The ADL gibbers on, “One of the most disturbing trends in the rise of anti-government sentiment has been the resurrection and proliferation of conspiracy theories alleging dark, violent designs on the part of the federal government to declare martial law and end democratic government, to confiscate firearms from American citizens and to build hundreds of concentration camps to house dissidents. These theories have spread far and wide on social-networking sites.”

Of course, if the government is in fact planning to do this, it is a conspiracy, and

LOST RIGHTS, PART 9:

Right to a grand jury (the fourth branch of government)

By Lt. Colonel Donald Sullivan (Ret)
aloe910@aol.com

The Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution says, in part, “No person shall be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a Grand Jury...”

Although this amendment was originally written to restrict only the federal government, its mandates have today been imposed upon the several States as well by the “incorporation” doctrine of the arguably never properly ratified 14th Amendment. Whether we agree or not, this incorporation can be used in our favor when it comes to the grand jury and other “civil” rights.

The right to a “grand jury” – like most, if not all, of our other rights – has been “cunningly coerced” away from us. It has been lost to (and by) ourselves.

Like a thief in the night, the corporate government administratively “amended” the Constitution, stole our independent grand jury from us and replaced it with a new grand jury completely controlled by the judicial branch.

Whereas in the past the true grand jury was a sovereign body accessible to both the people (for presentments) and the State (for indictments), today only the U. S. Attorney, an AG or a DA may seek an indictment from the grand jury. We must receive permission from a government agent to get a complaint brought before the grand jury. Therefore, in a case where we perceive the State as being in violation of the law, we must ask the State to charge itself with a crime. This I have heard from them: “*What?*” You expect us to investigate *ourselves?*”

The grand juries of today are a mere shadow of what the grand jury is supposed to be. Like the legitimate French king who was kept in a tower and forced to wear a mask so his illegitimate twin brother could act as king, today’s usurpers are hiding the real power of the people behind a lie and presenting that deceit as “king.” Our true power is wasting in the tower of London, so to speak, in a mask, abducted from the hapless American public since shortly after World War II when taken by stealth. The corporate government stole that power of the people having resided in a grand jury from us right under our noses. The true grand jury is a birthright, an independent body not under the control of lying district attorneys and criminal judges in black dresses.

To this day, through our historical ignorance, we allow corporate employees masquerading as legitimate government agents to continue exercising oppression, power and control over us.

We may all one day serve as grand jurors in a federal or State court, in which instance this article intends to educate the reader regarding his true power as granted by the Constitution. That glory still exists in the Fifth Amendment despite its having remained incarcerated so many years by legislative fraud. The U. S. Supreme Court has confirmed and reinforced this power more than once.

The Constitutional power of “we the people” anytime sitting as grand jurors has remained subverted by a deceptive play on words since 1946 when the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure were enacted. Repeat a lie often enough, and the lie becomes truth. Regarding the Fifth Amendment, a grand jury’s power to return “presentments” at its own initiative without reliance upon any U. S. Attorney, Attorney General or District Attorney to concur in such criminal

charges need only reassert itself.

As nothing more than a small sleight of pen trick back in 1946 hid our power, just a few words can take it back. For those who are unfamiliar with the issue at hand, a proper overview is first necessary. So let me reintroduce that history, then you will grasp what went wrong and begin to understand how we go about correcting it.

Here, then, is the true history of a federal grand jury’s power.

Quoting from an article in the *Creighton Law Review*, Vol. 33, No. 4, 1999-2000, 821, “If It’s Not A Runaway, It’s Not A Real Grand Jury,” by Roger Roots, J. D:

“In addition to its traditional role of screening criminal cases for prosecution, common law grand juries had the power to exclude prosecutors from their presence at any time and to investigate public officials without governmental influence. These fundamental powers allowed grand juries to serve a vital function of oversight upon the government. The function of a grand jury to ferret out government corruption was the primary purpose of the grand jury system in ages past.”

An article appearing in *American Juror*, newsletter of the American Jury Institute and the Fully Informed Jury Association, cites famed American jurist Joseph Story:

“An indictment is a written accusation of an offence preferred to, and presented, upon oath, as true, by a grand jury, at the suit of the government. An indictment is framed by the officers of the government, and laid before the grand jury. Presentments, on the other hand, are the result of a jury’s independent action.

“A presentment, properly speaking, is an accusation, made by a grand jury of its own mere motion, of an offence upon its own observation and knowledge, or upon evidence before it, and without any bill of indictment laid before it at the suit of the government. Upon a presentment, the proper officer of the court must frame an indictment, before the party accused can be put to answer it.”

Back to the *Creighton Law Review*:

“A ‘runaway’ grand jury, loosely defined as a grand jury which resists the accusatory choices of a government prosecutor, has been virtually eliminated by modern criminal procedure. Today’s ‘runaway’ grand jury is in fact the common law grand jury of the past. Prior to the emergence of governmental prosecution as the standard model of American criminal justice, all grand juries were in fact ‘runaways,’ according to the definition of modern times; they operated as completely independent, self-directing bodies of

inquisitors, with power to pursue unlawful conduct to its very source, including the government itself.”

So it’s clear that the Constitution gives the grand jury power to instigate criminal charges, especially when its focus targets government oversight.

But something strange happened on our way from constitutional government to the present. A lie enacted by the legislative branch has eroded that power. The Fifth Amendment to the Constitution contains those exact same words quoted above, but, should you sit on a grand jury returning a “presentment” today, the prosecutor must sign it or the judge probably won’t allow those criminal charges you’ve brought to the court’s attention to stand, which sweeps them away. We can see that this is based on a legislative lie of epic proportions.

Mr. Roots weighs in again:

“In 1946, the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure were adopted, codifying what had previously been a vastly divergent set of common law procedural rules and regional customs. In general, an effort was made to conform the rules to the contemporary state of federal criminal practice. In the area of federal grand jury practice, however, a remarkable exception was allowed. The drafters of Rules 6 and 7, which loosely govern federal grand juries, denied future generations of what had been the well-recognized powers of common law grand juries: powers of unrestrained investigation and of independent declaration of findings. The committee that drafted the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure provided no outlet for any document other than a prosecutor-signed indictment. In so doing, the drafters at least tacitly, if not affirmatively, opted to ignore explicit constitutional language.”

Quoting Rule 7 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure (FRCP):

“An offense which may be punished by death shall be prosecuted by indictment. An offense which may be punished by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year or at hard labor shall be prosecuted by indictment.”

No mention of “presentments” can be found in Rule 7. But they are mentioned in Note 4 of the Advisory Committee Notes on the Rules:

“Presentment is not included as an additional type of formal accusation, since presentments as a method of instituting prosecutions are obsolete, at least as concerns the Federal courts.”

The *American Juror* published the following commentary with regards to Note 4:

“[W]hile the writers of the federal rules made provisions for indictments, they

made none for presentments. This was no oversight. According to Professor Lester B. Orfield, a member of the Advisory Committee on Rules of Criminal Procedure, the drafters of Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure Rule 6 decided the term presentment should not be used, even though it appears in the Constitution. Orfield states [22 F.R.D. 343, 346]:

“ ‘There was an annotation by the reporter on the term *presentment* as used in the Fifth Amendment. It was his conclusion that the term should not be used in the new rules of criminal procedure. Retention might encourage the use of the run-away grand jury as the grand jury could act from their own knowledge or observation and not only from charges made by the United States attorney. It has become the practice for the United States Attorney to attend grand jury hearings, hence the use of presentments have been abandoned.’ ”

That’s a fascinating remark: “Retention might encourage the grand jury [to] act from their own knowledge or observation.” *God forbid!* They have the nerve to put on the record that *they intended to usurp* our Constitutional power, a right the founding fathers in their incredible wisdom intended for us to keep: vigilance against tyrannical government.

Looking for a spin term that would cast aspersions on this powerful right, the one they adopted was “runaway grand jury,” which simply indicates a Constitutionally mandated grand jury, aware of and legally exercising its power to closely monitor the federal beast, as in *checks and balances*.

Unable to insert that bald-faced lie into the Constitution, they created their statute and then repeated it. Scholars went on to echo them; and today, as it stands, they’ve lied the grand jury effectively into the role of a submissive puppet serving the U. S. Attorney, States’ Attorney Generals and local DAs.

The *American Juror* publication gives a very relevant commentary:

“Of course, no statute or rule can alter the provisions of the Constitution, since it is the supreme law of the land. But that didn’t prevent the federal courts from publishing a body of case law affirming the fallacy that presentments were abolished. A particularly egregious example:

“ ‘A rule that would permit anyone to communicate with a grand jury without the supervision or screening of the prosecutor or the court would compromise, if not utterly subvert, both of the historic functions of the grand jury, for it would facilitate the pursuit of vendettas and the gratification of private malice. A rule that would open the grand jury to the public without judicial or prosecutorial intervention is an invitation to anyone interested in trying to persuade a majority of the grand jury, by hook or by crook, to conduct investigations that a prosecutor has determined to be inappropriate or unavailing.’ ”

What is the result? That prosecutor may simply declare investigating seditious acts of government officials “inappropriate” or “unavailing,” the judge then dismissing any grand jurors pursuing such investigations. Consequently, corrupt government officials see few natural enemies and go about their seditious business unimpeded.

By the way, they *made a rule* to take care of runaways, too, in 1946; Rule 6 (g):

“At any time for cause shown the court may excuse a juror either temporarily or permanently, and in the latter event the court may impanel another person in place of the juror excused.” Now judges can throw anyone off a grand jury, or even dis-impanel a grand jury entirely, merely for exercising its discretion.

Most of the discussion about Note 4 to Rule 7 of the FRCP takes for granted that the common law use of “presentments” (as codified in the Fifth Amendment) became “illegal” in 1946 through this act. That is (See “Fourth branch,” page 19)



Cannon fodder for the New World Order

By Deanna Spingola
NewsWithViews.com

International Communism, adapted for expediency, was a means of brutal seizure of control, a perfect political mechanism for the international bankers. If people objected to tyranny, they were sent to slave labor camps or were quickly killed and silenced. Lenin and Trotsky (Lev Davidovich Bronstein) were divided on one issue: Lenin supported violent revolution while Trotsky favored a non-violent, intellectual approach to total government control.⁶ Lenin maintained, “The best way to control the opposition is to lead it ourselves.” In America, the bankers control the left and the right paradigm, the conservatives and the liberals, the Democrats and the Republicans. American Trotskyites, who prefer intellectual infiltration, seized the “conservative” label and continue to promote their agenda through numerous “conservative” groups. They manipulate and publicly promote certain religious leaders who then endorse that same agenda. The Trotskyites fund influential, noble named think tanks and regularly appear in the media.

Americans are aghast when they hear about the millions of Chinese and Russian citizens who have been slaughtered by their Communist masters. Yet, under the federally sanctioned abortion laws, approximately fifty million people have been eliminated under the intellectual guise of “freedom of choice.” The ultimate abortion decision was fueled by the CIA-funded women’s movement. Steinem and the others were well paid to pretend concern for the rights of women while ignoring the rights of infants. So rather than Lenin’s depopulation method, the U. S. used Trotsky’s more subtle process. The results are the same.

Contemporary Neo-cons William “Bill” Kristol and Robert Kagan established the Project for a New American Century, which called for a “new Pearl Harbor” in order to implement momentous change and to conduct Middle East warfare. Kristol’s late father, Irving Kristol who was often funded by the CIA, is known as the “godfather of neo-conservatism.” His organization promotes warfare (revolution), first in Iraq and now in Iran. Charles Krauthammer and Kristol, editor of the Rupert Murdoch-funded *The Weekly Standard*, the official voice of this cabal,⁷ and their Neo-con cronies provide opposition to the left agenda, as suggested by Lenin. On September 18, 2002, Kristol claimed that a war in Iraq “could have terrifically good effects throughout the Middle East.”⁸ Krauthammer and Kristol regularly appear on pro-Israel Murdoch’s “fair and balanced” Fox News where they allege that Iran is building nuclear weapons, a subtle form of their previous allegations about the Iraqi’s weapons of mass destruction. Murdoch has always been a Rothschild family asset.

On February 17, 2003, Krauthammer, a prominent *Washington Post* columnist writing in *Time* admitted that America’s imminent war against Iraq “is not just to disarm Saddam. It is to reform a whole part of the world...What the U. S. needs in the Arab world is not an exit strategy but an entry strategy. Iraq is the beckoning door...” Krauthammer further targeted “Iran, Saudi Arabia, Syria and beyond” as part of the neo-conservative war policy. On February 18, 2003, as reported in the Israeli newspaper *Ha’aretz*, Prime Minister

6. *The Iron Curtain Over America*, by John Beaty, Chestnut Mountain Book, Barboursville, Virginia, 1968, pp. 26-27

7. *The High Priests of War*, The Secret History of How America’s “Neo-Conservative” Trotskyites Came to Power and Orchestrated the War Against Iraq as the First Step in their Drive for Global Empire, by Michael Collins Piper, *American Free Press*, Washington, DC, 2005, p. 39

8. *Kristol Clear at Time*, by David Corn, *The Nation*, January 2, 2007

Ariel Sharon suggested that the U. S. wage war on Iran, Libya and Syria after “the successful destruction of Iraq.”⁹ Krauthammer, a former psychiatrist has called for an all-out U.S. war against the Muslim world.¹⁰ War is the most effective way of bankrupting a nation and to set it up for total collapse and a tyrannical takeover. The warfare in the Middle East is not in the best interests of America – it only serves the international bankers.

On March 17, 2003, just before the U. S. invaded Iraq, Kristol, in his editorial in *The Weekly Standard*, wrote, “obviously, we are gratified that the Iraq strategy we have long advocated . . . has become the policy of the U. S. government.” On March 18, *The Washington Post* clarified his obvious influence when their columnist, Richard Cohen, referred to the impending conflict as “Kristol’s War” and prior to the “shock and awe” bombing of Baghdad, “this would seem to be Kristol’s moment.”¹¹

Millionaires and billionaires buy up politicians. Those who defy the bankers suffer dire consequences, like Charles A. Lindbergh, whose grandson was kidnapped and murdered. Lindbergh had written articles of impeachment for high crimes and misdemeanors against five members of the Federal Reserve Board, W. P. G. Harding, Paul M. Warburg, Frederick Delano, Adolf C. Miller and Charles S. Hamlin. Louis T. McFadden, another defiant Congressman, died as a result of poisoning, their third attempt to eliminate him. Other non-compliant politicians are run out of office, assassinated, arrested, die in airplane crashes, are impeached or publicly humiliated. U. S. politicians understandably fear the international bankers more than they fear the wrath of their disgruntled constituents.

Author R. Duane Willing, in his book *Money, the 12th & Final Religion*, claims that Richard M. Nixon, once compliant, fell from grace when he attempted to alter the nation’s money system and develop an interest-free Greenback-style currency

9. *The High Priests of War*, The Secret History of How America’s “Neo-Conservative” Trotskyites Came to Power and Orchestrated the War Against Iraq as the First Step in their Drive for Global Empire, by Michael Collins Piper, *American Free Press*, Washington, DC, 2005, p. 8

10. *Ibid*, p. 117

11. *Ibid*, p. 39

Twice told tales

The AP version
Alessandra Rizzo and Ian Deitch
Associated Press

Sunday, October 24, 2010
VATICAN CITY – Israel said Sunday that a meeting of Middle East bishops was hijacked by enemies of the Jewish state, after the gathering at the Vatican largely blamed Israel for conflict in the region.

In a communique at the end of their two-week meeting, the bishops demanded that Israel accept U.N. resolutions calling for an end to its occupation of Arab lands, and told Israel it shouldn’t use the Bible to justify “injustices” against the Palestinians.

Defending the holohoax

Claudio Moffo – an avid supporter of Ahmadinejad and an Italian professor of political science at Teramo University –



which would have immobilized the national debt and obliterated the curse of usury. In 1969, Nixon appointed Dr. Preston Martin as Chairman and Chief Operating Officer of the Federal Home Loan Bank Board. Martin allegedly masterminded Nixon’s currency plan which would have converted 4,950 Savings and Loans into full commercial banks with the capacity of issuing checking accounts. This would have created a nationwide banking network outside of the auspices of the Federal Reserve network.¹²

Austrian-born Arthur F. Burns (Burnseig), chairman of the Federal Reserve (1970-1978), had offices on the 8th floor of the Watergate Apartment Hotel. On June 17, 1972, five husky Watergate burglars were apprehended on the 6th floor after they had carried heavy files from Burns’ office down to the 6th floor for photographing.¹³ Allegedly, the burglars had broken into the Democratic National Committee headquarters, a convenient cover story. However, Martin was apparently promised the future chairmanship of the Federal Reserve if he abandoned Nixon and the populist currency plan that would have ended the power of the Federal Reserve. Nixon was purged from the presidency by a media-driven frenzy and forced to resign on August 9, 1974.¹⁴ He was later pardoned by Ford, probably on the basis of his continued silence about the real details surrounding the Watergate break-in.

Martin didn’t replace Paul A. Volcker (CFR, TC, and BB) as chairman (1979-1987). Instead, Alan Greenspan was appointed as Chairman of the Federal Reserve. Burns (CFR) suggested that a review board be established to evaluate wage and price freezes.¹⁵ Nixon’s handlers created a comprehensive New Deal, Democratic-style strategy called the New Economic Policy after clandestine consultations at Camp David with George P. Shultz (CFR), Burns, Paul W. McCracken (CFR), Caspar W. Weinberger (CFR, TC), H. R. Haldeman, John Ehrlichman, Peter G. Peterson (CFR), Volcker, John Connally

12. *Money, the 12th & Final Religion*, by R. Duane Willing, *The Barnes Review*, Washington, DC, 2008, pp. 8-9

13. *Ibid*, pp. 8-9

14. *Ibid*, pp. 8-9

15. Arthur Burns



“We express our disappointment that this important synod has become a forum for political attacks on Israel in the best history of Arab propaganda,” Deputy

teaches a special course, named “Not exactly a holocaust – the lies and inaccuracies.” The professor teaches his students information that explains how, in his opinion and according to his research, the Jewish people has rewritten history. According to Moffa, whose controversial story was published in Italian newspaper *La Repubblica*, the gas chambers and crematoria never existed. He also questions the number of victims murdered by the Nazi regime. “We never found any documents signed by Hitler concerning Jewish annihilation,” claims the professor.

Part 2 of 2

and Speechwriter Bill Safire. Volcker initiated the collapse of the U. S. economy. He has, since 1952, as an economist for the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, influenced U. S. economic policies. In 1962 he became the director of financial analysis at the Treasury Department and in 1963 he was appointed as deputy under-secretary for monetary affairs. He returned to the Treasury as under-secretary (1969-1974) where he promoted international solutions to monetary problems.

The House of Rothschild continues to influence the U. S. economy and domestic and foreign policies. Volcker, after having served as the Federal Reserve Chairman under Presidents Carter and Reagan, went to work for the Rothschilds as chairman of the European investment-banking firm, J. Rothschild, Wolfensohn and Co. in March 1992. Since February 2009, he has been the Chairman of the Economic Recovery Advisory Board under obedient President Barack Obama who continues to decimate the economy at behest of the international bankers. Richard N. Goodwin, former speechwriter for President John F. Kennedy (assassinated in 1963), said, “The principal power in Washington is no longer the government or the people it represents. It is the Money Power. Under the deceptive cloak of campaign contributions, access and influence, votes and amendments are bought and sold. Money establishes priorities of action, holds down federal revenues, revises federal legislation, and shifts income from the middle class to the very rich.”¹⁶

“For we wrestle not against flesh and blood, but against principalities, against powers, against the rulers of the darkness of this world, against spiritual wickedness in high places.”¹⁷

Deanna Spingola has been a quilt designer and is the author of two books. She has traveled extensively teaching and lecturing on her unique methods. She has always been an avid reader of non-fiction works designed to educate rather than entertain. She is active in family history research and lectures on that topic. Currently she is the director of the local Family History Center. She has a great interest in politics and the direction of current government policies, particularly as they relate to the Constitution.

web site: www.spingola.com
email: deanna@spingola.com
© 2010 Deanna Spingola – All Rights Reserved

16. *Quotes of Interest*

17. King James, Ephesians 6:12. See other translations of this verse

Foreign Minister Danny Ayalon of Israel said in a statement Sunday.

“The synod was hijacked by an anti-Israel majority,” he said.

A second opinion

Editor’s Note: The actual title to this AP story is “Israel critical over Mideast synod conclusions” – which is quite telling given the way we’ve reworded it to state the true newsworthy aspect of this story: “Vatican synod puts blame ‘squarely on Israel’ for Mideast conflict.” Israel claims the synod was “hijacked by an anti-Israel majority” and they have based their conclusions on “Arab propaganda,” which is the typical response to criticism of bulldozing humans to expand settlements – titled to them by God. That’s hardly news...

The Italian Jewish community is in outrage. They claim that Moffa’s political views concerning Middle Eastern events have cost him his sanity, and have driven him to “betray his profession as a researcher.” As evidence, they display some of Moffa’s writings linking Jewish interests in financial prosperity and the establishment of the State of Israel and the “exaggeration” of the holocaust tragedy.

The Italian professor wonders how “the Jewish nation,” one of the strongest and most influential nations in the world, has now monopolized the “victim status.”



Xenophobia takes root in German mainstream

thelocal.de/national

The acrid immigration debate sparked this summer by former Bundesbank board member Thilo Sarrazin has apparently had an effect on the German public. A poll released on Oct. 13 showed that one-tenth want a “Führer,” while one-quarter admitted to strong xenophobic attitudes – up from one-fifth in 2008.

The poll, presented in Berlin by the Friedrich Ebert foundation for political education (FES), showed that xenophobic views are taking a greater hold among the German public than previously.

The ten percent who wanted a “Führer” said that this person should “govern with a hard hand for the good of Germany” and believed a dictatorship to be a “better form of government.”

One quarter of the people questioned said they longed for a “strong party” that “embodies German society.”

More than 30 percent agreed with the statement, “foreigners come to abuse the welfare State,” said the FES backed by the center-left Social Democrats.

Even more people – 31.7 percent – said that in a limited job market “one should send foreigners back home,” and that too many immigrants put Germany in danger of being “overrun” (35.6 percent).

Anti-Islam views were particularly strong in the FES poll, which surveyed 2,400 Germans aged between 14 and 90.

Just over 58 percent said that “religious practice for Muslims in Germany should be seriously limited,” and that number rose to 75.7 percent for people from former East



Germany.

Leipzig-based study authors Oliver Decker and Elmar Brähler called their findings an “alarm signal for politics and society,” saying the right-wing extremist views had multiplied during the financial crisis. People who promote such views could use the situation to “gain political capital,” they warned.

The study, entitled *Die Mitte in der Krise – Rechtsextreme Einstellungen in Deutschland 2010*, or “The mainstream in the crisis – Right-wing extremist attitudes in Germany,” also showed that older and less-educated Germans were most likely to be intolerant.

Still, the phenomenon is not confined to the “fringe” of society, FES spokeswoman Nora Langenbacher said. Instead right-wing

extremist views are found in “worrisome amounts within mainstream society,” be it eastern or western Germany, men or women, young or old, members of democratic parties, or churchgoers.

“This development is most likely linked to the effects of the economic crisis,” the study said, adding that this meant not only an ongoing increase in the acceptance of right-wing extremist views, but also a measurable change in Germans’ relationship to the economy.

“Beliefs in an ethnic community with a common destiny are making themselves apparent, for example in distinguishing between ‘foreigners’ who bring ‘us’ something, and those who present a burden for the ‘common good,’” the study concluded.

The study results come as the debate

EDITOR’S NOTE

Um, so “...older and less-educated Germans” are those “most likely to be intolerant,” is it? The opposite side of that propaganda coin should read: “Wiser and less-indoctrinated heads aren’t all that hot for racial suicide.” Curiously, the tribe in charge of such a story kept itself out of their survey.

over immigration and integration reaches a fever pitch in Germany. Since June, Thilo Sarrazin has made a number of anti-immigrant statements aimed mainly at Turks and Arabs, coinciding with the publication of his controversial book *Deutschland schafft sich ab – Wie wir unser Land aufs Spiel setzen*, or “Abolishing Germany – How we’re putting our country at jeopardy.”

While many politicians have condemned Sarrazin’s position, polls have shown that public support of his views is growing. In early September a poll conducted by Emnid for daily *Bild* revealed that 18 percent would vote for a party headed by Sarrazin, who was forced to resign from his Bundesbank position over his hot-button views.

But, since then, several conservative politicians have been emboldened to make similar remarks, the latest being Bavarian State premier Horst Seehofer, who over the weekend suggested a ban on immigration for Turks and Arabs because of their “difficulties” with integration.

There’s no business like Shoah business

Amidst the economic ruins of the Obama Depression, there is at least one bright spot, a new growth industry in America... Holocaust Museums!

By Jeff Davis
whitecivilrights.com

According to the Jewish daily *Forward*, “The numbers speak for themselves: There are now sixteen Holocaust museums in the United States, from Albuquerque, N. M., to Houston, to Richmond, Va. And these are just the biggest of nearly 150 Holocaust centers all over the country. The proliferation of museums detailing the story of what [supposedly] happened to European Jewry during World War II has been largely a phenomenon of the 1990s, part of the general increase in Holocaust awareness in the culture at large. But it has by no means slowed: The most recent museum, in Skokie, Ill., opened last spring, while construction continued on a second Los Angeles museum, opening in the summer of 2010. With a substantial, federally-backed [of course] national museum in Washington, critics are increasingly wondering about the need for so many local museums. Even more important, the question of whether these institutions will be able to financially sustain themselves into the future – given the heavy costs of maintaining collections, and the dying off of the Holocaust survivors who founded them – is of great concern to museum directors.”

As long as the liberal public school system is in existence, young school children will be dragged into these damned temples of lies so there’s no shortage of forced attendance. Never mind the fact that a wealth of evidence has been uncovered by the Institute of Historical Review which casts tremendous doubt on the Holocaust story. With the economy collapsing, the Jews are no doubt eager to instill a guilt complex into the heads of all White people.

Increasingly in patriot circles, brave White people are pointing out that the Jews are responsible for changing the U. S. immigration laws so that an endless flood of Third World people could enter the U. S. Today America has over 100 million

Blacks, Latinos and other Third World people, and this gigantic burden on the White man’s back is crushing him.

Not only do White people have to pay increasingly high taxes to give a First World lifestyle to millions of Third World people, White people and their children are second in line for jobs thanks to racial

quotas, which the Jews were a key force behind. Life in America is getting to be increasingly insufferable for Whites, and the Jews have been behind all the liberal policies from sanctuary cities to Affirmative Action. The Jews are hoping to brainwash the next generation so that the White people of America don’t start demanding

Plaques at Auschwitz



Before: Four Million



After: One and a half Million

that Jews be expelled – just like they were from every nation in Europe for their thieving practices and corrupting influence in previous centuries.

The article continues: “Those who defend the existence of the regional museums do so on the grounds that they serve populations that cannot visit the nation’s capital. William Shulman is president of the association, which was founded in 1985 with 25 members and now has 282 affiliated Holocaust centers worldwide, the majority of which are in the United States. He denied that there are any serious, long-term financial concerns for these institutions, and emphasized instead that the museums are playing a critical role in Holocaust and genocide education.”

And if there were any problem with funding these Holocaust museums, does anyone think our spineless government would refuse demands by the Israeli lobby for the Feds to pick up the bill? The article itself sounds like an initial “hint” the Jews want the Feds to step in and pay for the upkeep of these museums, regardless of the Depression.

So the Jews want a Holocaust museum in every major city so that the Jews can continue to cram this sick and evil lie down our throats for generation after generation.

What happens when a majority of White people wake up to the Holocaust lie? Will the federal government help build anti-Holocaust museums in all our major cities? Didn’t think so. Well, the truth is going to prevail. White people pay more attention to politics and history when they’re stuck out of work during a depression. And this time we have the internet to wake up the masses.

Wanted
Thinkers
Survivors

The First Freedom is spreading “hate!” whine those who misapprehend us survivors. It’s really out of pity that we try so hard to de-program the brainwashed. Order a box of 100 extra copies for \$25 or \$30 on page 24 and help distribute the truth.

Fed extends a helping hand to Hilton Hotels

The Federal Reserve’s stealthy bailout of a \$3 trillion commercial real estate industry is taking over malls across the country, as south Florida apartment building prices plunge 52 percent from their peak.

mybudget360.com


If you think residential real estate is having problems, you should shift your gaze to the mammoth issues confronting commercial real estate. Little is mentioned about commercial real estate (CRE) in the mainstream media yet this is a \$3 trillion market (or twice the annual GDP of Texas). Many of the profound problems in CRE pose systemic risks to the banking sector. While current attention is on fraudulent paperwork for residential housing, the biggest and most hushed bailout of commercial real estate is occurring. The Fed’s directly buying up of questionable mortgages from banks is an indirect form of bailing out CRE. Yet in some instances, the Fed has gone ahead and directly taken on the role as owner for places such as a mall in Oklahoma. While U. S. residential property prices have fallen approximately 30 percent, CRE has fallen by 42 percent.

Take a look and see where prices stand today on the chart at right (Source: MIT). Nothing is remotely good for the economy with the data in this chart. The CRE market has completely collapsed. Yet banks are simply rolling over loans even though values have collapsed and are merely keeping inflated assets on their balance sheet instead of recognizing losses. If we break out the above data into markets (right), we see the same coastal States with deep housing problems emerging again.

This is interesting data. South Florida apartments prices have collapsed a stunning 52 percent from their peak. Yet in Southern California, apartment prices have fallen by 22 percent. The difference here is that residential home prices are still inflated in California while the Florida housing market has corrected fiercely. In many places it is nearly the same price to own as to rent (this is not the case in California). The above chart measures the actual price of the apartment building, not rents by the way. Yet these areas are not the only two suffering. New York office building property values are down nearly 40 percent from their peak. In other words, many banks would fail today if they had to mark to market the value of their CRE debt.

While the residential real estate market peaked in 2006, CRE values peaked in late 2007 and collapsed in 2008. Take a look at South Florida (below) for example.

The dramatic price changes occurred in 2008. Many of the commercial and smaller banks are failing because of commercial and industrial loans. Contrary to market






liquidity, these loans have been contracting slowly over the last few years but they are contracting because of smaller bank failures and the Fed taking assets off the books, as seen on that shady chart at bottom right.

“So much for increasing liquidity.”

The problem with the Federal Reserve is that we aren’t allowed to do a full audit to see what they own. It is a fallacy to believe that what is occurring right now is typical of a central bank. Look at the larger chart

MSA Index:	2Q2010	Last 4 qtrs	Peak	Pk-Bottom	Bottom
S FL Apts	15.98%	10.81%	2Q06	-52.05%	3Q09%
S CA Apts	1.91%	2.94%	3Q07	-22.61%	3Q09
S CA Ind	13.50%	-7.92%	4Q07	-37.86%	1Q10
S CA Ret	2.65%	-14.98%	2Q08	-37.20%	1Q10
S CA Off	7.37%	1.07%	1Q08	-35.13%	4Q09
SF Off	11.45%	6.10%	2Q08	-32.40%	4Q09
NY Off	2.78%	-7.60%	3Q07	-39.27%	3Q09
DC Off	18.68%	6.45%	3Q07	-34.44%	4Q09
Average	9.29%	-0.39%		-36.37%	



Why this house of double standards?

The Culture of Critique

Reviewed by Stanley Hornbeck
(Continued from last month)

The intellectual movements Professor MacDonald discusses in this volume are Marxism, Freudian psychoanalysis, the Frankfurt school of sociology, and Boasian anthropology. Perhaps most relevant from a racial perspective, he also traces the role of Jews in promoting multi-culturalism and Third World immigration. Throughout his analysis Prof. MacDonald reiterates his view that Jews have promoted these movements as Jews and in the interests of Jews, though they have often tried to give the impression that they had no distinctive interests of their own. Therefore Professor MacDonald’s most profound charge against Jews is not ethnocentrism but dishonesty – that while claiming to be working for the good of mankind they have often worked for their own good and to the detriment of others. While attempting to promote the brotherhood of man by dissolving the ethnic identification of gentiles, Jews have maintained precisely the kind of intense group solidarity they decry as immoral in others.

Celebrating diversity

Professor MacDonald claims that one of the most consistent ways in which Jews have advanced their interests has been to promote pluralism and diversity – but only for others. Ever since the 19th century, they have led movements that tried to discredit the traditional foundations of gentile society: patriotism, racial loyalty, the Christian basis for morality, social homogeneity and sexual restraint. At the same time, within their own communities, and with regard to the State of Israel, they have often supported the very institutions they attack in gentile society.

Why is this in the interests of Jews? Because the parochial group loyalty characteristic of Jews attracts far less attention in a society that does not have a cohesive racial and cultural core. The Jewish determination not to assimilate fully, which accounts for their survival as a people for thousands for years – even without a country – has invariably attracted unpleasant and even murderous scrutiny in nations with well-defined national identities. In Prof. MacDonald’s view it is therefore in the interest of Jews to dilute and weaken the identity of any people among whom they live. Jewish identity can flower in safety only when gentile identity is weak:

Prof. MacDonald quotes a remarkable passage from Charles Silberman: “American Jews are committed to cultural tolerance because of their belief – one firmly rooted in history – that Jews are safe only in a society acceptant of a wide range of attitudes and behaviors, as well as a diversity of religious and ethnic groups. It is this belief, for example, not approval of homosexuality, that leads an overwhelming majority of American Jews to endorse ‘gay rights’ and to take a liberal stance on most other so-called ‘social’ issues.”

He is saying, in effect, that when Jews make the diversity-is-our-strength argument it is in support of their real goal of diluting a society’s homogeneity so that Jews will feel safe. They are couching a Jewish agenda in terms they think gentiles will accept. Likewise, as the second part of the Silberman quotation suggests, Jews may support deviant movements, not because they think it is good for the country but

because it is good for the Jews.

Professor Silberman also provides an illuminating quote from a Jewish economist who thought that republicans had more sensible economic policies but who voted for the Democratic presidential candidate anyway. His reason? “I’d rather live in a country governed by the faces I saw at the Democratic convention than those I saw at the Republican convention.”

This man apparently distrusts White gentiles and voted for a racially mixed party even if its economic policies were wrong. What is good for Jews appears to come before what is good for the country.

Earl Raab, former president of heavily Jewish Brandeis University makes the diversity argument in a slightly different way. Expressing his satisfaction with the prediction that by the middle of [this] century Whites will become a minority, he writes, “We have tipped beyond the point where a Nazi-Aryan party will be able to prevail in this country.” He is apparently prepared to displace the people and culture of the founding stock in order to prevent the theoretical rise of an anti-Jewish regime. Prof. Raab appears to see Whites mainly as potential Nazis, and is willing to sacrifice their culture and national continuity in order to defuse an imagined threat to Jews. This passage takes for granted the continued future existence of Jews as a distinct community even as gentile Whites decline in numbers and influence.


In the same passage, Professor Raab continues by noting that, “We [Jews] have been nourishing the American climate of opposition to bigotry for about half a century. That climate has not yet been perfected, but the heterogeneous nature of our population tends to make it irreversible...” – just as it tends to make the ultimate displacement of European culture also irreversible.

Prof. MacDonald traces the development of this diversity strategy to several sources. It is widely recognized that the German-Jewish immigrant Franz Boas (1858-1942) almost single-handedly established the current contours of anthropology, ridding it of all biological explanations for differences in human culture or behavior. Professor MacDonald reports that he and his followers – with the notable exceptions of Margaret Mead and Ruth Benedict – were all Jews with strong Jewish identities: “Jewish identification and the pursuit of perceived Jewish interests, particularly in advocating an ideology of cultural pluralism as a model for Western societies, has been the ‘invisible subject’ of American anthropology.”

By 1915, Boas and his students controlled the American Anthropological Association and by 1926 they headed every major American university anthropology department. From this position of dominance they promoted the idea that race and biology are trivial matters, and that environment counts for everything. They completely recast anthropology so as to provide intellectual support for open immigration, integration and miscegenation. They also laid the foundation for the idea that because all races have the same potential, the failures of non-Whites must be blamed exclusively on White oppression. The ultimate conclusion of Boasian anthropology was that since environment accounts for all human differences, every inequality in achievement can be eliminated by changing the environment. This has been the



Professor Kevin MacDonald



justification for enormous and wasteful government intervention programs.

The entire “civil rights” movement can be seen as a natural consequence of the triumph of Boasian thinking. Since all races were equivalent, separation was immoral. The color line also sharpened White self-consciousness in ways that might make Whites more aware of Jewish parochialism. Thus it was, according to Professor MacDonald, that Jews almost single-handedly launched the desegregation movement. Without the leadership of Jews, the NAACP might never have been established, and until 1975 every one of its presidents was a Jew. Prof. MacDonald reports that in 1917, when the Black separatist Marcus Garvey visited NAACP headquarters, he saw so many White faces that he stormed out, complaining that it was a White organization.

Prof. MacDonald concludes that the efforts of Jews were crucial to the “civil rights” transformation of America. He quotes a lawyer for the American Jewish Congress who claims that “many of these [civil rights] laws were actually written in the offices of Jewish agencies by Jewish staff people, introduced by Jewish legislators and pressured into being by Jewish voters.”

While the Boas school was promoting integration and racial equivalence, it was also critical of, in Prof. MacDonald’s words, “American culture as overly homogeneous, hypocritical, emotionally and aesthetically repressive (especially with regard to sexuality). Central to this program was creating ethnographies of idyllic [Third-World] cultures that were free of the negatively perceived traits that were attributed to Western culture.”

The role of the anthropologist became one of criticizing everything about Western society while glorifying everything primitive. Professor MacDonald notes that Boasian portrayals of non-Western peoples deliberately ignored barbarism and cruelty or simply attributed it to contamination from the West. He sees this as a deliberate attempt to undermine the confidence of



Western societies and to make them permeable to Third World influences and people. Today, this view is enshrined in the dogma that America must remain open to immigration because immigrants bring spirit and energy that natives somehow lack.

Authoritarian personalities

In order to open European-derived societies to the immigration that would transform them, it was necessary to discredit racial solidarity and commitment to tradition. Prof. MacDonald argues that this was the basic purpose of a group of intellectuals known as the Frankfurt School. What is properly known as the Institute of Social Research was founded in Frankfurt, Germany, during the Weimar period by a Jewish millionaire but was closed down by the Nazis shortly after they took power. Most of its staff emigrated to the United States and the institute reconstituted itself at UC Berkeley. The organization was headed by Max Horkheimer, and its most influential members were T. W. Adorno, Erich Fromm and Herbert Marcuse, all of whom had strong Jewish identities. Horkheimer made no secret of the partisan nature of the institute’s activities: “Research would be able here to transform itself *directly* into propaganda,” he wrote. (Italics in the original.)

Professor MacDonald devotes many pages to an analysis of *The Authoritarian Personality*, which was written by Adorno and appeared in 1950. It was part of a series called *Studies in Prejudice*, produced by the Frankfurt school, which included titles like *Anti-Semitism and Emotional Disorder*. *The Authoritarian Personality* was particularly influential because, according to Prof. MacDonald, the American Jewish Committee heavily funded its promotion and because Jewish academics took up its message so enthusiastically.

The book’s purpose is to make every group affiliation sound as if it were a sign of mental disorder. Everything from patriotism to religion to family – and race – loyalty are signs of a dangerous and defective “authoritarian personality.” Because drawing distinctions between different groups is illegitimate, all group loyalties – even close family ties! – are “prejudice.” As Christopher Lasch has written, the book leads to the conclusion that prejudice “could be eradicated only by subjecting the American people to what amounted to collective psychotherapy – by treating them as inmates of an insane asylum.”

(Continued next month)

Stanley Hornbeck is the pen name of a Washington, D. C., businessman. This review originally appeared in *American Renaissance*, June 1999, issue 54 entitled “Cherchez le Juif.” *The Culture of Critique: An Evolutionary Analysis of Jewish Involvement in Twentieth-Century Intellectual and Political Movements*, Praeger (1998) \$65.00, 379 pp.

“[T]he contest is not over; the strife is not ended. It has only entered upon a new and enlarged arena.”
– Pres. Davis to the Mississippi Legislature in 1881

Order extra copies of

The First Freedom

Help your friends shed the scales from their eyes!
See coupon on page 24

Jews use the peace conference to bind Poland

Back when this inventor/writer warned America to beware of the Jews, they hadn’t yet bought most of “our” so-called representatives.



By Henry Ford
The Dearborn Independent

6 November 1920 – There is one difference between the Polish report of Sir Stuart Samuel and those of the others, which illustrates a difference between the Jewish mind and the general mind. The type of mind represented by the other investigators, Captain Wright, Brigadier General Jadwin, Sir H. Rumbold and even Henry Morgenthau is the type of mind which looks behind events for causes.

Here is, for illustration, trouble between the Jews and other people. It is a continuous situation. There is always trouble between the two. We seldom hear of it, however, until the Jew begins to get the worst of it. As long as the Jew remains on top, making the Gentile serve the Jewish plan, there is no publicity whatever. The Gentiles may complain as much as they like, may protest and rebel – no international commissions arrive to investigate the matter.

Trouble between the Jews and other people is designated as trouble only when it begins to grow inconvenient for the Jew. It is then that he sends the cry of “persecution” around the earth, though the plain fact may be that he is only being nipped at his own game. The Poles saw how the Jews clung together in the most admirable teamwork, a minority absolutely controlling the majority because the minority formed a close corporation and the majority did not. So the Poles said: “We will take a leaf out of the Jews’ own book. They work co-operatively among themselves; we, therefore, will work co-operatively among ourselves.” Which they did, and at once the cry of “persecution” resounded loud and long; propaganda was begun against the good name of the Poles, more resentment followed, regrettable violence ensued, and the dispute still continues.

Jewish reports of these disturbances rarely go beyond the fact that Jews are suffering from certain acts of the Polish populace. Incident after incident is given with full detail, and with a very apparent journalistic appreciation of horror. Names, dates, places, circumstances are all in order.

Very well. It is no part of this article to deny or minimize the suffering of Jews wherever or for whatever cause it may occur. There is nothing whatever to be said in extenuation of injustice inflicted on the humblest human being. The murder of even one person, the terrorizing of even one family, is a very terrible thing to contemplate. It is a great pity that the world has become so accustomed to the piled-up tales of horror that it no longer has any sensibilities left to feel the shame and degradation of these things. From the days of Belgium onward, all races in Europe have suffered, and by sympathy all races in America have suffered with them, though it is a fact that we hear more, far more, about the sufferings of the Jews than of any other people.

There is, however, this reaction of the practical mind: Why do these things occur? Grant that robberies, assaults and murders described in the complaint, have occurred, *why should they occur?*

Are the Polish people naturally given to perpetrating such acts? Have such acts marked the residence of the Jews in Poland for the last 800 years? And if the Polish people are not naturally abusive, if the story of the Jews’ residence in Poland has been mostly pleasant, what causes the change now? – that is the way the practical mind works. It seeks to know the

background.

Mr. Morgenthau, apparently, put in too much of this background, though at that he put in very much less than the other investigators, except Mr. Samuel. Therefore, Mr. Morgenthau’s report was pigeonholed by American Jewry, because the facts make very poor material for the kind of propaganda which American Jewish leaders had in mind. Apparently they did not dare publicly to criticize or renounce his report; they simply passed it over. Captain Wright, who endeavored to put in all the background he could find to make Polish conditions comprehensible to the British people, has been handled insultingly by the Jewish press. They don’t want investigation. They want sympathy for themselves and denunciation for the Poles.

In America, we are inclined to believe that every condition is explainable; it may be reprehensible, but it is intelligible; we believe that the explanation is the first step toward the remedy.

Mr. Morgenthau does not speak of “pogroms” at all. In this he sets an example that certain hysterical American Jews ought to follow. The present series of articles in *The Dearborn Independent* is a “pogrom” (some Jewish spokesmen speak as if each separate article were a “pogrom”) in the hectic but uninstructional oratory of Hebrew lodge meetings. But Mr. Morgenthau exercises more precision in the use of words. He says:

“The mission has purposely avoided the use of the word ‘pogrom,’ as the word is applied to everything from petty outrages to premeditated and carefully organized massacres...”

On one point all the reports agree, namely, that the unjust killing of Jews has been on a scale so much smaller than that alleged by the propagandists that there is no comparison. In that part of Poland where war disorder was less common, 18 persons were unjustly deprived of their lives. For the whole territory during the entire period when it was being overrun by various elements, Sir Stuart Samuel admits, apparently with reluctance, that he can count only 348. Captain Wright says: “I estimate that not more than 200 or 300 have been unjustly killed. One would be too many, but, taking these casualties as a standard with which to measure the excesses committed against them, I am more astonished at their smallness than their greatness.” Sir H. Rumbold says: “If the excesses had been encouraged or organized by the civil and military authorities, the number of victims would probably have been much greater.”

That the reader may see how the various reports run with reference to specific charges of brutality, the agreements and divergences are set down. Look at the reports concerning what happened at Lemberg.

1. The excesses occurred November 21-23, 1918. The city was taken by Ukrainian troops, formerly in the Austrian service. (Samuel, Morgenthau, Wright, Jadwin.)

2. “General Monczyunski raised a Polish army, about 1,500 in number, consisting of men, women, boys, some of them criminals, and, after a severe struggle, succeeded in capturing half the city, the other half of which remained in the occupation of the Ukrainians.” (Samuel.) “A few hundred Polish boys, combined with numerous volunteers of doubtful character, recaptured about half the city and held it until the arrival of Polish reinforcements on November 21.” (Morgenthau.) “When the German troops revolted all over Poland at the time of the armistice, and the whole edifice of German organization fell to the ground in a day, a few Polish officers raised a small volunteer force in Lemberg, numbering between 1,000 and 2,000, which was composed of boys, roughs and criminals, and even women in uniform. For nearly a fortnight

they fought in the streets against the Ukrainians and on the arrival of a similar force... drove the Ukrainians out of town. This was really a splendid feat of arms.” (Captain Wright.)

3. “The Jewish part of the population of Lemberg declared itself to be neutral.” (Samuel.)

“The Jewish population declared themselves neutral, but the fact that the Jewish quarter lay within the section occupied by the Ukrainians, and that the Jews had organized their own militia and, further, the rumor that some of the Jewish population had fired upon the soldiery, stimulated among the Polish volunteers an anti-Semitic bias that readily communicated itself to the relieving troops.” (Morgenthau.)

“During the struggle the Jews proclaimed themselves neutral; but, though I do not think they gave any armed assistance to the Ukrainians, their neutrality was highly benevolent to the Ukrainians and probably helpful. They thought the Ukrainians would win.” (Captain Wright.)

4. “In the result *none of the military commanders* responsible for these events *has been punished.*” (Samuel.) “As early as December 24, 1918, the Polish Government, through the ministry of justice, began a strict investigation of the events of November 21 and 23... In spite of the crowded dockets of the local courts, where over 7,000 cases are now pending, 164 persons, ten of them Jews, have been tried for complicity in the November disorders, and numerous similar cases await disposal. Forty-four persons are under sentences ranging from 10 days to 18 months. Aside from the civil courts, *the local court-martial has sentenced military persons to confinement for as long as three years* for lawlessness during the period in question.” (Morgenthau.) Speaking of the general subject of punishment, Captain Wright says: “The Government has inflicted a good deal, though an insufficient amount of punishment; these punishments it has never published, for fear of Polish public opinion.” And Brigadier General Jadwin of the United States Mission says: “If complaints as to slowness and uncertainty of military and government punishments and relief were heard, as they were, it seemed nevertheless to indicate that orderly process of government was in operation.”

5. “*No compensation has been paid* for the damage done.” (Samuel.)

“This mission is advised that on the basis of official investigations the government *has begun the payment of claims* for damages resulting from these events.” (Morgenthau.)

“*Payments had begun to be made* in Wilna, Pinsk and Lemberg before our departure from Poland.” (General Jadwin.)

The occurrences in Lemberg were bad enough, to be sure. But Sir Stuart Samuel let it be understood that all the blame rested with the Poles. The other investigators gave reports that explain the matter, although no report could excuse it. And all but Samuel agreed that the Polish Government did what it could to repair what had occurred and to prevent recurrences. This from the American report is worth considering: “General Jadwin was present at the taking of Minsk and a personal witness of the strenuous efforts of the military authorities toward preventing acts of violence.” The fact seems to be that as soon as any sort of order could be brought out of the chaos of war, the disorder ceased. And yet we read even today in our newspapers, of “thousands and tens of thousands of Jews being slaughtered in Poland.”

Further, to indicate that these events did not occur without Jewish provocation to a certain extent, there is the case in Pinsk. This was on April 5, 1919.

1. Pinsk had been recaptured from the Bolsheviks a short time before. The population was overwhelmingly Jewish, only 25 per cent being Polish. (General

Jadwin, Captain Wright.) The Polish officer had only a very small detachment of men, and the Bolshevik lines were quite close. The Polish officer was treated with coldness by the Jews, and he suspected them of friendly relations with the Bolsheviks; he was very anxious. He had posted notices that any unauthorized meeting would be punishable by death. (Captain Wright.)

2. The Government Organizer of Co-operative societies had given permission for the Jewish co-operatives to meet for discussion of the plan to join other co-operatives. (Samuel, Morgenthau, Wright.)

3. “It seems that *two Polish soldiers*... and another soldier... informed the military authorities that they had information that the Jews intended to hold a Bolshevik meeting on Saturday in what is known as the People’s House, being the headquarters of the Zionists.” (Samuel.) “This meeting took place in the offices of the Zionist organization, which is very anti-Polish.” (Wright.)

“...it is recognized that information of Bolshevik activities in Pinsk had been received by *two Jewish soldiers*...” (Morgenthau.)

“The town commander with judgement unbalanced by fear of the Bolshevik uprising of which he had been forewarned by *two Jewish soldier informers*...” (General Jadwin.)

“After the meeting had ended and been formally closed, a great many members of the co-operative association remained in the same room talking together; other members of the Zionist organization, including ladies, were in the room at the same time. This collection of people must have presented the appearance of a meeting, and I think the members remaining in one room were numerous enough technically to constitute a meeting. *There was some insolence in this and the previous behavior of the Jews: Sir Stuart Samuel pointed out to the witnesses that their authorized meeting itself had been a breach of the Sabbath*, and therefore a grave religious offense.” (Captain Wright.)

All of the investigators agree in denouncing what followed. Captain Wright says the Polish officer would hardly have acted with such promptitude if the prisoners had been others than Jews.

General Jadwin sums it up thus: “The Pinsk outrage... was a purely military affair. The town commander with judgement unbalanced by fear of a Bolshevik uprising of which he had been forewarned by *two Jewish soldier informers* sought to terrorize the Jewish population (about 75 per cent of the whole) by the execution of 35 Jewish citizens without investigation or trial, by imprisoning and beating others and by wholesale threats against all Jews. No share in this action can be attributed to any military official higher up, to any of the Polish civil officials, nor to the few Poles resident in that district of White Russia.”

Sir Stuart says: “Under the present local administration Pinsk is once more peaceful, and the relations between the Christian and non-Christian inhabitants have become normal.”

It is sometimes forgotten here in the United States that for Poland the war is not yet over. Poland is now a free nation – on paper – but her freedom seems to be a day-by-day tenure, dependent on fighting. Bolshevism made serious inroads on her. Wherever the Bolshevik Red armies swept across Poland, the Jews met them with welcomes. This is no longer denied, even in the United States: it is explained by the statement that the Bolsheviks are more friendly to the Jews than are the Poles – a statement which readers of our recent articles on the Jewish character of Sovietism can well understand.

When the Poles beat back the Reds, they commonly found that the Jews had already set up Sovietism, as if they had long awaited it and were well prepared. It is

scarcely strange, therefore, that the Poles still retain their suspicions.

The Jews do not want to become Poles. That is the root of the present difficulty between the two peoples. Sir Stuart Samuel barely touches it – “On several occasions the resentment of the soldiery and civil population was aroused by the Zionists’ claim to *Jewish nationality as opposed to Polish nationality*.” Mr. Morgenthau goes a step further – “This had led to a conflict with the nationalist declarations of some of the *Jewish organizations which desire to establish cultural autonomy financially supported by the State*.” Mr. Morgenthau, you will observe, gives a wider peep into conditions.

But the best description of the situation is given in the report of Captain Wright: “Their (the Jews’) party program in Poland is to have *all Jews on a separate register*. The Jews thus registered are *to elect a representative body of Jews*, with extensive powers of legislation and *taxation; e. g.* it could tax for purposes of emigration. This body to be *handed over by the Polish State a proportionate amount of money* to spend on Jewish charitable and financial institutions. Besides this separate organization, a number of seats proportionate to their numbers to be set aside in every local and in the national legislature. A sixth or seventh of the Polish Diet to be *occupied only by Jews to be elected only by Jews*. Some Jews also demand separate law courts, or at least the right to use Yiddish as well as Polish in legal proceedings. This is the practical program, but the ambition of the advanced section is *national personal autonomy* granted in the Ukraine by one of the ephemeral governments of the Ukraine, the Ukrainian Central Rada, on January 9, 1918, and called the Statute of National Personal Autonomy, of which I have a copy. It organizes Jews as a nation with full sovereign powers; the Ukrainian *bank notes were printed in Yiddish* as well as in Ukrainian.”

People sometimes ask, where is proof of the program of the Protocols? It is everywhere the Jewish leaders have attained power, and everywhere they are striving for power. The Protocols can be written out of Jewish rabbinical writings; they can be written out of Jewish tendencies in the United States; they can be written out of Jewish demands in the Balkans; they can be written out of Jewish achievements in Russia. They represent the Jewish program, ideal and real, at every stage of modern history.

Do you ever hear of this Jewish program in Poland when you are invited to sympathize with 250,000 Jews who are being brought from Poland to the United States? Will these people leave their ideas outside New York harbor?

Incidentally, Captain Wright’s full investigation of the Jewish program may throw some light on the refusal of the American Jews to circulate his report, although it was attached to the report of Sir Stuart Samuel, which is being so widely circulated.

However, that his government at home might fully understand the situation, Captain Wright draws an illustrative parallel:

“If the Jews in England – after multiplying their numbers by twenty or thirty – demanded that the Jewish Board of Guardians should have extensive powers, including the right to tax for purposes of emigration, and that a separate number of seats should be set aside in the London County Council, the Manchester Town Council, the House of Commons, and the House of Lords, to be occupied only by Jews chosen by Jews; that the president of the board of education should hand over yearly to the Jews sums proportionate to

their numbers; if some were to demand the right to have separate Jewish law courts, or at least to be allowed to use Yiddish as well as English in the King’s Bench and Chancery Division; if the most advanced even looked forward to a time when the Bank of England notes were to be printed in Yiddish as well as in English, then they might well find public opinion, even in England, less well disposed to them...”

In view of this state of affairs, it cannot be regarded as a fact of minor significance that the Jewish investigators who must have known all this virtually concealed it, and that the other investigators brought it forth to general knowledge. Neither is it of minor significance that the Jewish press has absolutely suppressed these facts even while pretending to give the results of the British Mission’s investigations. Insulting references have been made to Captain Wright’s report in a Jewish publication of the better class, because he made references to certain practices which are common among the Jews in Poland. It may be said, however, that the references made by Captain Wright are in great restraint compared with the number given in the recent book by Arthur Goodhart. Whether Mr. Goodhart is a Jew or not, the present writer cannot now say. He is a Fellow of Corpus Christi College, Cambridge. He is “lately Captain, United States Army.” He was transferred from the army at the suggestion of Mr. Morgenthau, to act as counsel for the Mission. And he says on page 161, “After dinner Mr. Morgenthau attended a meeting of the B’nai B’rith Lodge, the only chapter of this Jewish organization in Poland. No branches had been permitted in Russia before the war, as it was a secret society and therefore illegal in the Czar’s Empire. Major Otto and I, not being members, walked round the town.” Mr. Goodhart, as counsel of the American mission, makes an excellent witness as to the kind of people who are coming in such large numbers to this country. But their sense of their own political importance and power is the principal point for Americans to consider.

The Peace Conference did not tend to bring unity in Poland; it rather established the disunity for as long a period as the treaty of Versailles remains to rule the world. The reader has just seen Captain Wright’s description of what the Jews demanded. Let the reader now understand what the Peace Conference decreed.

Poland is prohibited from having an election on Saturday. Poland is prohibited

from having a registration on Saturday. The Jewish Sabbath is established by law, and government and courts must govern themselves accordingly. Do what you like on Sunday – order elections on Sunday, as the Poles sometimes do – but not on Saturday; it is the Jews’ Sabbath!

“Article 11 – Jews shall not be compelled to perform *any act which constitutes a violation of their Sabbath*, nor shall they be placed under any disability by reason of their refusal to attend courts of law or to perform any legal business on their Sabbath ...Poland declares her intention to refrain from ordering or permitting elections, whether general or local, to be held on a *Saturday*, nor will registration for electoral or other purposes be compelled to be performed on a *Saturday*.”

What the Bolsheviki did in Russia, the Peace Conference did in Poland – established the Jewish Sabbath.

The people who saw this strange setting up of Jewish customs as a part of the law of the land, one of the authorities for such action being the President of the United States, are now flocking to the United States in large numbers. Is it unreasonable for them to believe that if the President of the United States could bind Poland to Jewish custom, it is all right to bind the United States too?

Moreover, the Jewish separate schools were established by law in Poland. Poland’s great trouble has resulted from her lack of schools in which all the population could imbibe Polish ideals expressed in the Polish language. The Peace Conference authorizes the continuance of that source of trouble.

In Article 11, “the Jews” were mentioned. In Article 9, the term used is “Polish nationals.” The reader will save himself a great deal of misunderstanding in the perusal of European news if he will translate the clause “racial, religious and linguistic minorities” to mean simply Jews. They are the “minority” that is at the bottom of most of the difficulty, and they are the minority that is most heard of. It was this minority that dominated the Peace Conference.

“Article 9 – Poland will provide in the educational system in towns and districts in which a considerable proportion of Polish nationals of other than Polish speech are residents, adequate facilities for insuring that in the primary schools instruction shall be given to the children of such Polish nationals through the medium of their own language...”

“In towns and districts where there is a

One way integration is now the standard

With tongue in cheek, a formerly imprisoned Holo denier wonders what would happen if Israel had a Central German Committee.

By Günter Deckert

guenter.deckert@gmx.de

Translator: James Damon <jamesmdamon@yahoo.com>



Imagine a “Central German Committee” demanding the Jews in Israel include more *Maultaschen*, *Weißwürsten* and *Spätzle* in their diet, and urging them to

build stands selling *Wurstsalat* and pretzels every few meters.

Imagine German women in Israel running around in *Dirndls* and German men in *Lederhosen*.

Imagine a Central German Committee protesting to the bosses in Tel Aviv that too little integration is taking place and demanding more financial backing, plus German language newspapers and official documents written in German.

Imagine this Committee demanding cradle-to-grave social services and free medical care for Germans in Israel who prefer not to work, while the Germans live according to their own laws and customs,

thumb their noses at the local police, hang German banners from their windows and construct Christian churches throughout the land.

Imagine that a few Israeli Jews should become indignant and observe that it might not be absolutely obligatory to supply the Germans with all those necessities of life; that their *dirndls* are too short, German men drink too much beer, and, in general, the Jewish citizens of Israel could get on without people of radically different habits and beliefs living in their midst on the cuff.

Then imagine that this Central German Committee acts outraged, vehemently protests the Israelis’ criticism, and slanders all Jews as “unspeakable racists.”

Imagine the Israeli government forcing its vociferous citizens into silence, purging all Jewish sympathizers from its ranks.

Imagine that the Israeli government then invites the German Central Committee to sit with them at a round table and discuss the situation.

Imagine this discussion brings Israel to hire more German schoolteachers who will instruct exotic religions, educate German priests in Israeli universities and install more Germans in the Israeli public service.

Imagine the Germans in Israel sitting about in their own pubs eating *Hax’n* and playing *Skat*, driving about with German

considerable proportion of Polish nationals belonging to racial, linguistic or religious minorities, these minorities shall be assured an equitable share in the enjoyment and application of the sums which may be provided out of public funds under the state, municipal or other budgets for education, religious or charitable purposes.”

But even that is not all. The Polish State is to hand over the money, but the Jews will distribute it:

“Educational committees appointed locally by the Jewish communities of Poland will, subject to the general control of the state, provide for the distribution of the proportional share of the funds allocated to Jewish schools in accordance with Article 9...”

It is most amazing how “racial minorities” are dropped the moment money comes into view, and the definite term “Jew” is substituted.

More than all this, “the United States of America, the British Empire, France, Italy, and Japan, the principal allied and associated powers, on the one hand; and Poland, on the other hand,” (so the text of the treaty begins) together make of all these special privileges, not a national agreement on the part of hard-pressed Poland, but an international demand on the part of the League of Nations. Article 12 stipulates that all the agreements affecting “racial, linguistic and religious minorities,” which is mere diplomatic camouflage for “Jews,” shall be placed under the guaranty of the League of Nations. This lifts the Jews in Poland completely out of Polish obligation. All they will have to do is complain to the League of Nations – and International Jewry will do the rest.

The United States was a party toward the writing of these stipulations into the treaty. The American people are not yet a party to their enforcement.

There are a quarter of a million of these Jews coming to the United States from Poland. You have read their demands in Poland. You have read their achievements in the Peace Conference.

Do you say, as an American citizen, that you are ready to take for the United States the dose of Jewish medicine, which the Peace Conference gave to Poland?

Do you say, in view of what has been said about the whole situation, that the Jews are showing anything besides a wicked and gloating spirit of revenge in the way they have propagandized against Poland after humiliating her in the Peace Conference?

folk music blaring incredibly loud from cars with German stickers on windows and rearview mirrors. Imagine every Saturday at 6 PM they gather to watch soccer games on their German TV sports stations.

Imagine Germans loitering on the streets and molesting Israeli women, mobbing and severely beating an Israeli man and being arrested yet quickly released but, sentenced several months later to a few hours’ public service, refusing to perform.

Imagine the Germans declining to speak anything but German to Israelis, laughing wildly anytime addressed in Hebrew, and, when admonished, saying nothing except “*nix vastehn!*”

Imagine the Central German Committee intervening and interfering with authorities in every conceivable Israeli domestic affair.

Imagine this Committee vehemently rejecting the idea that Germans had created a parallel society and, in the same breath, demanding additional privileges.

Now imagine the Israeli government’s response to this is to accuse only the Israelis of racism and demand greater assimilation for the Germans, while resolving to invite more of them into that country...

Needless to say, all this is a fairy tale!

Transferred to Germany, however, you have the reality of Jews and the Federal Republic in the year 2010!

The sinking of the South African Navy

Here the South African tabloid Impact regrets having gone where the Jewnighted States are headed.

By Stephen Goodson

The South African Navy was formed on 1 April 1922 after the amalgamation of seven Royal Naval Voluntary Reserve units. In World War II it was first known as the Seaward Defence Force, and on 1 August 1942 evolved into the South African Naval Forces (SANF). The SANF was responsible for operating minesweepers, anti-submarine services, patrolling of port entrances and the escorting of convoys. South Africa’s sailors were as good as the finest in the world and established a proud fighting tradition. In 1951 the SANF became the South African Navy (SAN), and on 1 April 1957 acquired the naval dockyard at Simonstown from the Royal Navy (RN). It continued to maintain links through joint maneuvers with the RN and the very high standards it had inherited from the latter navy.

High standards

Although hindered by sanctions from 1975 onwards, it remained one of the elite small navies of the world, and was able to compete with the best in terms of advanced weapons systems. In 1994, after the White government had capitulated to the African National Congress (ANC), a decision was made to replace the ageing fleet with four patrol

The African Union has been holding a security summit in Uganda. The Somali Foreign Minister pleaded on camera for SA to help patrol the Indian Ocean along the East Coast of Africa to combat the ongoing piracy there. There was an awkward diplomatic silence from SA’s leaders, knowing that SA’s vessels are incapable of putting out to sea; and fearing that their untrained crews might land up as further trophies of the Somali pirates, like the other 20 ships (plus 400 men) held captive in secret coves along the wild Somali coast.

corvettes and three Class 209 submarines. Although a Spanish consortium had tendered to supply more versatile corvettes at a lower price than the R6-billion asked by the German shipbuilding firm of Blohm & Voss for each corvette. the Germans were awarded the contract. It later transpired that this higher, inflated price had been needed in order to accommodate the bribes and commissions paid to ANC cabinet ministers and their cohorts. The ships were delivered, after having followed all standard operating procedures, from October 2005 onwards.

Affirmative action

However, the following year a transformation/affirmative action program was introduced, which in the first phase resulted in the forced retirement, with the aid of financial packages, of 384 highly skilled artisans and technicians. Many of these servicemen had over 20 years of experience, and the transfer of on-the-job skills came to a halt. New recruits were sent to Kiel, Germany, in order to obtain diving certificates for the submarines, but they were found not to have the capacity to complete the course.



Vice Admiral J. Mudimu

East St. Louis finally admits White people weren’t so bad

cofcc.org

Since 1950 East St. Louis, Missouri, has lost almost two thirds of its population and is currently 98% Black, making it one of the blackest (and most dangerous) cities in the United States. After race riots in 1967, enough White people fled that East St. Louis elected its first Black mayor in 1971. Since then it has been a Black ruled city with a long line of corrupt mayors and officials. Very large sections of the city have been bulldozed as sewers failed and abandoned buildings were knocked down by locals to collect the old bricks. The St. Louis metro area has the largest used brick market in the world due to White flight. In 2001, East St. Louis began receiving huge amounts of Federal and State funds to rebuild, providing the city with a shiny new city hall, library and other buildings. These expensive developments have done nothing to reduce crime there. Extending the St. Louis MetroLink into East St. Louis in 2006 was supposed to bring new prosperity, as that expensive project would allow residents to seek jobs outside of the city. Instead, there was an explosion of crime in St. Louis’ Western suburbs as East St. Louis gangbangers took the MetroLink to commit crimes in more prosperous areas. From the *Riverfront Times*... “ ‘I thought for sure it would pass, and then someone on the MetroLink campaign made the decision to advertise that the train would connect Mid Rivers Mall with East St. Louis,’ Aytes recalls. ‘That pretty much

killed it right there. Soon you had people saying MetroLink riders would come to St. Charles by train and leave by car – stolen car.’ ” Ten years later and a growing number of Saint Louis Galleria and Delmar Loop merchants worry that St. Charles voters may have been right: that maybe Metro-Link actually enables criminals, especially teenage lawbreakers. Ask virtually any store manager at the Saint Louis Galleria about shoplifting, and you’ll invariably get two responses: One, Honor student shot by Black thugs

By Kyle Rogers

St. Louis, a city over half Black because of “White flight,” having imploded from 850,000 in the 50s to 350,000 today, is one of the top ten most violent cities in America with over 100,000 people. East St. Louis has plummeted from 80,000 to 30,000 and is now 98% Black. The city easily has one of the highest rape and murder rates in the Western Hemisphere! East St. Louis and Opa-locka, Florida are considered the two most dangerous high crime cities in the United States, but do not appear on most violent crime lists because they are so small. Opa-locka has only about 15,000 people. Both cities are almost 100% non-White, with Opa-locka being about 70% Black and 27% Mestizo/Hispanic. Largely aided by public transportation, Black thugs have been committing violent attacks ever further outside of their usual territory. Their victims are increasingly

Later they were sent to India in the hope that, being a Third World country, it might be more lenient. The Indian Navy, to its credit, also found them to be incapable of completing the course. Thus the submarines, which have highly advanced, sophisticated systems on board, can only be used on the surface and not for the purpose intended.

Blow-out of a submarine

Nine months ago, disaster struck when an unsupervised, unskilled seaman connected one of the submarines to a 440-volt power supply. As the submarines use 110 volts, the computers and the entire internal circuitry, which consists of tens of kilometers of wiring, were blown up. Due to budgetary constraints, it has still not been decided whether to tow the submarine back to Germany or bring in outside experts. It may well be more prudent to leave the hulk as it is, because it is unlikely ever to operate below the sea surface as a submarine.

One of the key aspects of the navy is maintenance; notwithstanding the fact that none of the SAN’s ships is operational. According to an engineering firm from Paarden Eiland, Cape Town, the only maintenance taking place is on the generators, providing power for the galleys of the corvettes, so that the officers can enjoy a warm midday meal.

In November 2009, the United States Navy (USN) requested that a joint exercise take place with the SAN. This was not a typical exercise in which live ammunition was used, but simply a sailing trip. In order to get the SAN’s ships to sea, it was necessary to call up a large number of retired naval reserve officers and engineers. After the “exercise,” the USN set up a register in San Diego, California, so that in the event of an international emergency occurring in the South Atlantic, these retired officers and engineers could be

White people weren’t so bad

it’s out of control; and two, it’s gotten exceedingly worse since August 2006, when MetroLink opened a stop just 500 yards from the high-end shopping center. In the first six months of this year, Richmond Heights police made 345 arrests at the mall. That’s nearly double the number of arrests made in all of 2005, before MetroLink opened its Shrewsbury line. As we have reported before, there are a lot of African leaders who today say that they wish White people would come back.

Honor student shot by Black thugs

White. A beautiful 15-year-old honor student was shot by two Blacks on a crime spree in Florissant, Missouri, those thugs suspected of having committed other crimes the same night. However, they decided to shoot the young girl in the chest, most likely because they simply wanted to kill a White person. She remains in the hospital in critical condition. Florissant is near St. Louis. The St. Louis Metro CofCC chapter has been reporting a huge increase in aggressive and violent behavior directed at White people by Blacks over the past year.

Wanted Thinkers Survivors

Use coupon on page 24 to apply for the job



The sale of corvettes was South Africa’s largest arms deal.

EDITOR’S NOTE

When will we ever learn? A recent *National Geographic*s story on front man Obama’s investing of our debt notes in solar energy informs us that Roosevelt’s similar projects ended an earlier depression. Did no such thing. FDR’s job, same as the Kenyan’s, was to put ever more Americans on public welfare, in uniform and saluting each time another of Wilson’s 14 Points or Marx’s Ten Planks ran up the pole. Yes! We do need to loose the genius of Whites again and stop burning oil.

called upon again.

A “Comrade” running the SAN

Currently, morale in the SAN is quite low. There is no discipline and the few remaining White officers are frequently absent on “stress” leave. Although 20% of the annual recruits may be White, not many apply because of very low training standards. The Chief of the Navy is Vice Admiral J. Mudimu, a former member of the liberation army, *Umkhonto We Sizwe*. He boasts an impressive array of qualifications, but they do not include a certificate of seamanship or a navigation certificate. The official website of the SAN, which contains dated information and links to several blank pages, claims that the core business and mission of the SAN is to fight and win at sea. With its ships being almost permanently moored, and with the lack of any trained crews, this must surely be rated as Mission Impossible.

Older Africans reminiscence about how much safer and more prosperous Africa was under its White colonial powers. In many places over there, Africans have resorted to letting the Chinese take charge. It seems that East St. Louis has finally gotten so bad that residents are open for a return to “White rule.” City Council just voted 4-1 to hire their first White police chief in decades. Bob Jenne is a 60-year-old who spent 2001 to 2006 cleaning up crime in 80% Black Berkely, Missouri.

CEO Gordon Baum, located near St. Louis, believed the Obama campaign was linked to the rise in open hostility against White people, in restaurants and taverns phrases like “When Obama gets in, we is taking over” having been heard. Earlier this year in Kirkwood, near St. Louis, a Black spree killer murdered five Whites and injured two others. The victims included the mayor, city officials and city police. The killer had a history of attending city meetings, becoming hostile and going on anti-White verbal tirades. The NAACP and family members actually defended the vicious killer, blaming “White racism” for causing him to commit five murders. At a meeting in Meacham Park, a majority Black part of Kirkwood, Black residents actually cheered and applauded for that ruthless, cold blooded killer, as a Black man running for alderman threatened more violence against Whites to the media.

Media fail to cover epidemic of attacks in Harrisburg

cofcc.org

A string of vicious, racially-motivated attacks in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, was censored by the so-called “mainstream” media. Whites are being brutally beaten by groups of Black thugs. However, the media call it “a gang initiation” by “youths.” Each of the victims is White and all the perpetrators are Black, but never a mention

Heartless newscasters: no guts to call a spade a spade

LSJ.com – The beating of a 57-year-old man Saturday night in his own back yard has brought residents of a south Lansing neighborhood to demand that the city tear down basketball hoops at a nearby park, which they say attract problems.

At about 6:00 PM Saturday, police say half a dozen men from that basketball court severely beat Larry Ruble in his back yard.

Maureen Ruble said she and her husband were attacked after he asked the men to stop using foul language. She estimated the men were in their 20s. They were not from the neighborhood, she said.

The back yard of the couple’s home on Sunrose Avenue, just south of Jolly Road, is separated from Bluebell Park by a fence. A man standing near the fence was shouting obscenities to the others on the basketball court, Ruble said.

“ ‘My husband just went to the fence and asked him very respectfully, ‘This is a family neighborhood here, can you cut the language?’ she said. ‘He didn’t even get it out of his mouth, and this guy jumped the fence.’ ”

Then, she said, about five others from

of race. Imagine, if it were reversed, this would be the biggest news story in the United States. Since the victims are White and the perps are Black, the attacks have been quarantined to minor local coverage with all mention of race censored in every article

From PennLive.com:

“The 19-year-old Hampden Township

woman thought nothing of the three men standing outside a parked car as she drove her friends out of the River Street Garage in downtown Harrisburg early Saturday.

“But suddenly the car jerked backward, trapping her on the second floor. The men dragged her husband and a friend from the car and began pummeling them, she said.

“ ‘I jumped out and tried to stop it,

thinking, ‘I’m a girl, they’re not going to hit me,’ said the woman, who fears retaliation and didn’t want her name used. ‘Then a fist came at me.’ ”

The next thing the petite, 5-foot 3-inch brunette remembers is waking up face down on the cement, with blood dripping from her eye. She’d find out later she had a concussion and broken nose.

the basketball court jumped the fence into their backyard where, moments before, the couple had been grilling chicken. Ruble said she was pushed to the ground.

As others on the basketball court cheered them on, the men beat and kicked her husband until he was nearly unconscious, she said.

Residents of South Lansing removed the basketball hoops following that racially motivated assault on a 57-year-old man.

Since the initial story broke, with those basketball hoops having been torn down, local Black race hustlers are now accusing White residents of “racism.” Apparently, when someone does not want out-of-town Black thugs screaming profanities and attacking people in their neighborhood it’s “racism.” Yet when Black thugs brutally beat someone up in a racially-motivated group assault, then it *is not* “racism.”

Because this 57-year-old man in his own back yard was brutally beaten in a racially-motivated attack, residents finally

admitted what they had been seeing and hearing – that the public basketball hoops were attracting unwanted outsiders. They had to come down. Residents said that their own children were terrified to even use the basketball hoops because larger numbers of non-resident Blacks were chasing them off. Remember how we were told that young Blacks would start behaving once

Beasts roaming the streets

It’s the second violent act in the past two months at the garage, located off Second Street. On September 3, a 30-year-old woman was mugged and choked until she was unconscious.

And in January at the South Street Garage, a woman and her male friend were severely beaten and mugged as they were leaving on an early Saturday. The woman’s friend had a broken nose and needed 20 stitches to close a large gash between his eyes and one across his forehead.

In the most recent beating, the woman and her 21-year-old husband, newlyweds with a 6-month-old daughter, counted at



least a dozen teens and young men who viciously jumped them and their two friends as they were leaving after a night on Restaurant Row.

Fourth branch respects court, enters there by right

(Continued from page 11)

entirely false. Note 4 *does not* forbid the further use of presentments, although they chose the words carefully to make it appear that’s what the legislative branch intended.

The key word in Note 4, “obsolete,” just means “outmoded” – “not in use anymore.” But it does not indicate “abolished” or “illegal.” And therein lies their big lie. The legislature knew it could not *directly* overrule the Constitution, especially with something so clearly worded as the Fifth Amendment, which grants to the people this power of long and noble purpose in criminal jurisprudence. But those members of the federal beast’s legislative branch sought more power to protect themselves from oversight by “we the people” in their vampire-like thirst for more governmental control, inserting this insidious Note 4 and hoping scholars and judges would play along with their ruse; or, in the alternative, that it would at least appear legally viable.

Let’s listen to some authoritative legal resources discussing Note 4.

Susan Brenner, *The Voice Of The Community: A Case For Grand Jury Independence*:

“Finally, federal grand juries’ subservience to prosecutors was exacerbated when the federal system eliminated the use of presentments, which allowed a grand jury to bring charges on its own initiative. Now, federal grand jurors cannot return charges in the form of an indictment without a prosecutor’s consent. Elimination of the presentment demonstrates the historical trend towards elimination of proactive features in the grand jury system.”

Did Brenner fall for the lie, or cleverly further it by stating, “[T]he federal system eliminated the use of presentments”? *The federal system did no such thing.* Note 4 simply said the use of presentments was “obsolete.” First of all, Note 4 is not a law in itself. It is a Note to a law. This law as written has nothing to say on presentments, whereas the Constitution does. Then the FRCP are enacted and make no mention of presentments, nor could they legally ban presentments. No administrative enactment regarding procedure can overthrow the

Constitution.

Presentments fell into disuse, or became obsolete, when grand jurors neglected their power and let them grow ever more rare; so that, in 1946, the legislative branch seized upon the moment and made this bothersome oversight of its actions appear to vanish by merely waving its magic wand over the Constitution.

Mr. Root got it wrong in the *Creighton Law Review* as well:

“Before the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, which made independently-acting grand juries illegal for all practical purposes, grand juries were understood to have broad powers to operate at direct odds with both judges and prosecutors.”

The FRCP did not make it “illegal for all practical purposes.” That’s patently false.

natvan.com/who-rules-america

I don’t know if Mr. Root, and/or Susan Brenner, were acting as the magician’s assistants, but otherwise how could these educated scholars demonstrate themselves so incredibly ignorant of Constitutional law? If enough people repeat that lie, does that make it the truth?

Luckily, we have it on good authority, the Supreme Court, that the lie has no legal effect. Justice Powell, in *U. S. v. Calandra*, 414 U.S. 338, 343 (1974), stated:

“The institution of the grand jury is deeply rooted in Anglo-American history. In England, the grand jury served for centuries both as a body of accusers sworn to discover and present for trial persons suspected of criminal wrongdoing and as a protector of citizens against arbitrary and oppressive governmental action. In this country, the Founders thought the grand jury so essential to basic liberties that they provided in the Fifth Amendment that federal prosecution for serious crimes can only be instituted by ‘a presentment or indictment of a Grand Jury.’ Cf. *Costello v. U. S.*, 350 U.S. 359, 361-362 (1956).”

Antonin Scalia effectively codified this unique independent power into the hands of all citizens sitting as federal grand jurors. In discussing that power and unique

independence granted to the grand jury, the United States Supreme Court, in *U. S. v. Williams*, 504 U.S. 36 at 48 (1992), Justice Scalia, delivering the majority opinion of the court, laid down the law of the land:

“‘[R]ooted in long centuries of Anglo-American history, *Hannah v. Larche*, 363 U.S. 420, 490 (1960) (Frankfurter, J., concurring in result), the grand jury is mentioned in the Bill of Rights, but not in the body of the Constitution. It has not been textually assigned, therefore, to any of the branches described in the first three Articles. It ‘is a constitutional fixture in its own right.’ [T]he grand jury is an institution separate from the courts, over whose functioning the courts do not preside.” *U. S. v. Chanen*, 549 F.2d 1306, 1312 (CA9 1977) (quoting *Nixon v. Sirica*, 159 U.S. App. D.C. 58, 70 (1977).

I submit to you that this passage sets the stage for a revolutionary new context necessary and Constitutionally mandated to “we the people,” *the fourth branch* of the government of the United States. For, besides the legislative, executive, and judicial branches, there is a fourth branch, *the grand jury*, and “we the people,” when sitting as grand jurors, are, as Scalia quoted in *U. S. v. Williams*, “ a constitutional fixture in [our] own right.” That is exactly what the grand jury is, and has been from the start.

Finally, to seal the deal, Scalia hammered the point home:

“In fact, the whole theory of its function is that it belongs to no branch of the institutional Government, serving as a kind of buffer or referee between the Government and the people. See *Stirone v. U. S.*, 361 U. S. 212, 218 (1960); *Hale v. Henkel*, 201 U. S. 43, 61 (1906); G. Edwards, *The Grand Jury*, p. 28-32 (1906). Although the grand jury normally operates, of course, in the courthouse and under judicial auspices, its institutional relationship with the Judicial Branch has traditionally been, so to speak, at arm’s length. Judges’ direct involvement in the functioning of the grand jury has generally been confined to the constitutive one of calling the grand jurors together and administering their oaths of office. See

U. S. v. Calandra, 414 U.S. 338, 343 (1974); FRCP. 6(a). [504 U.S. 36, 48]”

Thus, the Constitution of the United States, as interpreted by the Supreme Court, gives rise to a *fourth branch* of government, *the grand jury*. We the people have been charged with oversight of the government in our roles as grand jurors. Yet, we have lost this right and the power it grants us. We wring our hands and lament, “What can we do? There is no hope,” when the answer lies right before our eyes.

At this critical time in American history, we must, for the protection of our constitutional republic, take back our power and act as powerful as the other three branches of government.

The law is on our side. We the people have the right and power under the Fifth Amendment of the Constitution to charge this government with crimes by returning presentments – regardless of whether the U. S. Attorneys or the federal judges agree with us. As the U. S. Supreme Court has so brilliantly stated, we are the “buffer between the Government and the people.”

We don’t have to ask the other three government branches’ permission to rein in the government – such will never come anyway – but need merely to exercise our “lost right” of the people’s grand jury, and then *take back our government from its corporate facades* and those *employees*, a.k.a. *agents*, who do their bidding.

All that’s wanting is persistence and determination. The Founders ventured their lives, fortunes and sacred honor to win freedom for themselves and their posterity. Should we risk any less?

Activist Package of DVDs

 Patriots! Receive five each of the twenty most popular DVDs, plus another ten Bonus DVDs. Total: 110 discs for \$99.00. Ron Neil, 1623 S. Chisholm Trail, Granbury, Texas 76048. 817-776-5475

Shortest journey, taking out garbage, starts with first step

By Olaf Childress
firstfreedom@gulfnet.com

We must recognize that a Primal Law – unable even itself to rewrite the history of what has gone before – is universal. Omar poignantly tells us, “The moving finger writes; and, having writ, moves on: nor all thy piety nor wit shall lure it back to cancel half a line, nor all thy tears wash out a word of it.”



Chaos – the mere absence of any order in which to enjoy life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness – is our lot when lies and vulgarity enter houses, emails, school textbooks, amusements and reputations. If we lighten that trashy load before suffocating under its bulk but others do not, the law that damns their negligence outright won’t spare even ourselves; we’ll get a measure of punishment for temporary lapses as well. So let’s kneel each morning in a meditative hour, clean up past thoughts before facing the inevitable provocations this day brings: natural challenges which make or break us. Some claim life is unfair, but that’s just an excuse for not taking out the garbage polluting their minds. As you move among those who habitually ignore nature’s rules, do not join them in singing “Everybody’s Beautiful” – patently a lie – nor accept the copout “compassion” about a “worldwide brotherhood.” All people are *not* equal. Nor is everything fine.

A return, then, to Victorian Puritanism? No, just a “surge” on the battlefield here at home that escapes this Slough of Despond, the cul-de-sac into which ZOG’s guilt-trip train has plunged us. Let’s get up, out and on where we’re bound, though the memory of our dalliance be permanent. We should never again go “spreading democracy” by computer-launched and guided, unmanned drone bombs. “Our” government is guilty of war crimes, and we for failing to remove that mounting rubbish heap filling schools and homes. Such ones shouldn’t preach to other lands, nor invade when their message doesn’t take.

What then? *Use* this self-reproach. Get out of all the world’s business which is not our own; stop executing a Zionist agenda whose unsavory propaganda infects host societies anywhere unopposed and dumps garbage as thanks. We’re forever bound by past errors, reforms, defeats and triumphs; surely no more able to reverse a sea change than the assorted stars can undo their Prime Mover’s archives. But at least today’s lost generation might turn off that stupid TV and conduct hearings on *the biggest threat to every Aryan’s future*, along with that of the other races as well.

The Jewish mediacracy would force us to apologize, flagellate ourselves, confess its sins as our own and again for having entered the world with white skins. While that power rages momentarily, I and a host of other insurgents go around picking on those doctrinaire messages, ridiculing such laughably erroneous ideas and publishing the way things really work to astonished audiences – “recycling” overripe Marxism

as it were.

September 9 and 16, a German-born writer, Hilmar von Campe, had lectured the Robertsdale, Alabama, high school seniors on his personal memories of that country’s rise to National Socialism, saying he sees those same conditions now unfolding in America. I registered a complaint with the school board:

“Perhaps it’s true we’re in deep trouble, yet I differ with him on who, if anybody, was entirely at fault for WWII, as there’s enough blame to go around. But, after seventy years of continuous Nazi-bashing films, books and periodicals on what happened back then, we’re still getting only one side of the story. Isn’t it time some devil’s advocate presented Hitler for once as a human being? Just out of good sportsmanship? Or have we surrendered all sense of justice to the naïveté of expediency?

“Mr. von Campe’s beating again on a very dead horse misses what’s actually threatening our survival in freedom. He has guided those students away from the problem. In all their young lives, they’ve heard no other viewpoint.

“No doubt some better-qualified legal defense team, given sufficient public ‘stimulus’ monies, could belatedly argue a superior case for National Socialism’s preventive strike against global communism as well; but, here in the confines of Baldwin County or the halls of Robertsdale High School, wherever called upon, I’ll do it for free. No Nazi or Socialist of any kind, I’m just a throwback to small-r republicanism, the type that preceded today’s ‘spreading democracy.’ This appeal begs light of day in *The Independent*, and a public response from the Baldwin County School Board.”

Both the local newspaper and school complied as petitioned.

Gifted Education Specialist Cynthia Erickson, Ed. D, the instructor of those classes, called for and received my written biography, but the lady who must approve my bio and talk was “out of town and will not be back till next week.” Seven days go by and I visit Gifted Education Specialist Erickson at RHS before her classes begin.

“I will need an outline of your talk,” she then informs me. “I still don’t understand what it is you’re saying.”

“Your International Studies classes,” I tell her, “get only the ‘One World’ idealism of TV as it is. Today’s political correctness would actually put an end to inter-national commerce: a one-nation planet lacking real competition between ideas and peoples.”

Emailing her a written outline next day of my lecture, she replied: “I will forward to the administration for approval. Thank you.” As this issue went to press, she was still waiting. Here’s my complete message:

Your Robertsdale Golden Bears don’t need any praises from me; they’ve earned enough trophies on their own, playing strictly by the rules. I want to talk about a bunch of murderers who would have their gold without the hard knocks that come with taking it fair and square.

The Golden Rule, say such ones, is he who has the gold makes the rules. But rules speak of order, and this budding American empire’s War on Terror sees nothing but disorder everywhere it looks – in other words, resistance to its dictates. Actually, too many of those possessing the gold spend all their time scheming to get more, while hoping this truth won’t get out.

As you’ve seen more than enough Nazi-bashing films, books and periodicals on what happened back then, let’s consider Hitler for just this once as a human being.

He’s accused of gassing six million Jews to death, of racism and homophobia, plus aspiring for an empire from which a racist National Socialism could rule the world. I’m no Nazi or Socialist of any kind, just a throwback to small-r republicanism, the kind that preceded today’s “spreading democracy.” Our States were once shining examples of what separate governments

might accomplish by delegating limited and numbered powers to a confederation such as the United States, thereby mutually saving themselves from powerful foreign interests. Today they are vassal provinces paying tribute to the servant they created. It has burst all those bonds carefully crafted by the Founders. Having killed Germany’s Adolf Hitler, Serbia’s Milosevic and Iraq’s Hussein, none of whom had attacked us, in defiance of the U. S. Constitution it has set itself upon that ancient course of empire building.

Before we start defending Hitler against all of the unjust accusations hurled at him these seventy intervening years without letup, and that’s not saying he didn’t use brute, dictatorial force to accomplish his aims, let’s review George Washington’s Farewell Address, where he warns against jumping into everybody else’s business. Said the first U. S. President: “The great rule of conduct for us in regard to foreign nations is in extending our commercial relations, to have with them as little political connection as possible. So far as we have already formed engagements, let them be fulfilled with perfect good faith. Here let us stop. Europe has a set of primary interests which to us have none; or a very remote relation. Hence she must be engaged in frequent controversies, the causes of which are essentially foreign to our concerns.”

Did those public servants delegated to the city bearing Washington’s name listen? No. Once the Rothschild loan-sharking family that had long financed both sides of every European war sent its agents over here to set up the same kind of racket, those golden rulers, the national bankers, found themselves managing quite a few members of the U. S. Congress. After capturing that body’s constitutional mandate to coin and name the value of currency, except for two brief periods thereafter they’ve created and loaned this paper we call money back to the government at interest.

Those golden rulers exercise now as then much influence by creating currency, the “news,” economic booms and busts – to keep us confused and obedient to their plans for capturing ever more power unto themselves. And they’re not interested in peace; no money there, that’s for them the pits. They need wars, stimulus packages, emergencies, illusions of change every four years so that we’ll go on voting to turn their well-trained turkey around and curse its other wing awhile.

They outfitted not only the slave trade’s Boston Clippers alongside England’s and Portugal’s ships for that enterprise, those international bankers reaped great profits and powers out of the rapidly-developing Industrial Revolution’s clearing of forests and railroad building, which needed much common labor for a time. But eventually all that ground work was finished and the golden rulers of the industrialized North’s factories had no more use for unskilled and illiterate Africans, so the imported chattels were sold South on the roads and rails they had labored to build. No slave was bought, sold or otherwise traded anywhere under a Confederate flag.

The scattered few entrepreneurs quickly investing in that work force auctioned on easy payment terms set up huge plantations hereabouts. Most Southern White farmers, however, still tilled their own 40 acres, not rich yet happy and free. That, too, would change. No, they didn’t purchase Africans, but found themselves earning less because of the slave labor competition. Those great trainloads of cheaply produced cotton so much in demand by the North and Europe sorely tempted the bankers as you might imagine. Here in this region with its little industry and less influence over a rapidly centralizing government in Washington, D. C., very high tariffs and unfair shipping charges began hitting hard. At the time of

its secession, the South was paying around four-fifths of the federal budget.

As Confederate General Pat Cleburne admonished his troops when all hope was just about gone: “Surrender means that the history of this heroic struggle will be written by the enemy; that our youth will be trained by Northern school teachers; will learn from Northern school books their version of the War; will be impressed by all the influences of history and to regard our gallant dead as traitors, and our maimed veterans as fit subjects for derision.

“...It is said slavery is all we are fighting for, and if we give it up we give up all. Even if this were true, which we deny, slavery is not all our enemies are fighting for. It is merely the pretense to establish sectional superiority and a more centralized form of government, and to deprive us of our rights and liberties.”

Remember this: *The victor* writes each war’s history. We’ll now consider National Socialism’s failure in its time to overthrow those same golden rulers, even though the mainstream media want that subject kept *verboten*. The magazines, newsreels, films and books depicting World War II have spoken: the same kind of propaganda that got us riled up and involved in World War I, namely about German soldiers tossing Belgian babies up in the air and impaling them on their fixed bayonets, or posters portraying the savage “Huns” we must kill in order to save mankind. Their case is closed.

By contrast to that incessant drumbeat, our States keep sentenced murderers alive on death row with taxpayer-funded legal counsel sometimes for more than twenty years because the same war-profiteering, international bankers who helped start both world wars demand “fair hearings for all” – and even today wield more control over what poses as a consensus of news and opinion than they did when dictating

President Wilson’s 14 Points, a travesty handing WWI-defeated Germany’s soil to Poland with the guarantee that England and France would declare another war on Germany if that country tried to reopen the Corridor into its former East Prussia.

The German Volk occupying Central Europe from the Alps to the North Sea and further along

the Baltic, including Austria and all those territories lost creating Czechoslovakia at the close of World War I, had throughout history proved impossible for any outside power to dominate. Here, in the Teutoburg Forest, Varus and three legions met defeat in the year 9 AD, embarrassing the Roman Empire’s world-ruling pride. The British later proved smart enough to leave that hornet’s nest alone, at least until goaded in by the same armaments financiers who, to avoid letting our Southland’s four-fifths of the federal budget go, cleverly hid behind all kinds of smokescreens their plans for first undermining the U. S. Constitution’s true federalism, then loaning into existence their worldwide cattle ranch.

With the money power’s City of London having thoroughly penetrated New York’s publishing houses and financial districts along with the halls of federal government, George Washington’s admonitions fell on deaf ears. For the golden rule-makers saw no profit in peace. Confusion and turmoil between their targeted objectives, while conspiring in secrecy among themselves, would, they devoutly believed, render the whole world helpless to resist. Every prior



The “14th Amendment” wasn’t ratified!
It’s not the law! Get this 32-page booklet



What every cat is discovering lately

into the paws of our kittens for under \$100 per adventure. Read it and you’ll see why we’re copy-cattin’ the enemy’s entreaty, “From each according to his ability...”

10 for \$ 9 ... PPD	100 for \$ 75 ... PPD
50 for \$40... PPD	200 for \$140... PPD

Mention this ad
Order them today:

The First Freedom
P. O. Box 385
Silverhill, AL 36576

attempt at such empire-building, its lines of supply and communication stretched at last to the limits, had failed. This time the Rothschilds, Warburgs and Rockefellers would get all their ducks lined up in a row before calling for that first shot, leaving no nation capable of resisting their designs to escape the great conflagration eventually called World War I.

Money talks, but not everybody listens to it worshipfully; that’s where cue cards or teleprompters for venal academicians and politicians come into play. A false public servant’s betrayal ranks second behind his unprincipled instructor’s teaching that it’s clever to cooperate with whoever delivers the biggest “campaign contributions.”

Could Anglo-Saxon England go against Anglo-Saxon Germany? Queen Victoria and other British crowned heads had come from there. Prior to the Great War, France hadn’t called that seat of empire *Perfidious Albion* without cause when siding with and against the Brits at various times according to the Rothschild family’s current business plan. While those two nations plus Spain and Portugal all facing the Atlantic Ocean colonized most of Africa and Asia, a more landlocked Germany settled for the remote parts they overlooked. The Germans had always fought among themselves as small fiefdoms until the advent of Bismarck, who united those petty States when soundly defeating Austria at Koniggratz in 1866. This and the victorious war against the French that followed brought many Germans under Prussia, with King William I at its head, and on their way to becoming a world power even without the Germans of Austria.

So Bismarck had control over his own people, but not of that race handling their currency and media. Such moneychangers and propagandists were determined never to let escape from this usury Germany’s powerful creativeness and work ethic. Those lenders kept things stirred up across Europe and throughout the United States until finally plunging all into their Great War. How did they do it? By habitually arousing hate and divisiveness, instigating both sides to mobilize, borrow, build giant munitions factories, arm against and fight each other.

Germany was well on the way toward victory, and would have won, but those who secretly made the rules didn’t want such an outcome and had decided to break the willpower of this brilliant, determined and courageous race of fighting men along with their supporting women having once turned back the Roman legionnaires when, like the Spartans of old, refusing to settle for second best.

The Minister of War Production being one of Rothschild’s golden-rule-makers, and with the entire German bureaucracy infiltrated by such agents, in the spring of 1918 as Germany was closing in on victory those subversives created a devastating munitions strike, sabotaging the heroic German armies at the front and stirring up a communist revolution at home. Later that same summer elsewhere, their henchmen would assassinate the Czar and set up a 70-year reign of Russian communist terror.

When the armistice was announced, among those betrayed soldiers just back from the front stretched out in a hospital because temporarily blinded by a poison gas attack was a young corporal named Adolf Hitler. He would later write: “Since the day when I stood at my mother’s grave, I had not wept. When in my youth fate seized me with merciless hardness, my defiance mounted. When in the long war years death snatched so many a dear comrade and friend from our ranks, it would have seemed to me almost a sin to complain. After all, were they not dying for Germany? And when at length the creeping gas, in the last days of the dreadful struggle, attacked me too, and began to gnaw at my eyes, and beneath the fear of going blind forever, I nearly lost heart for a moment, the voice of my

conscience thundered at me: miserable wretch! Are you going to cry when thousands are a hundred times worse off than you? And so I bore my lot in dull silence. But now I could not help it. Only now did I see how all personal suffering vanishes in comparison with the misfortune of the Fatherland.”

He broke down and cried.

The worst of that surrender was yet to come. But here was the man, of humble beginnings, who would lead his country back to greatness. Born just inside Austria along the German border on April 20, 1889, when still quite young he wanted to become an artist. His father, who had objected to this desire, died when the boy was but 13 years old. His mother, though of poor means, sacrificed and sent him to a technical school in Linz where his talents for drawing and architecture developed.

Hitler wrote of this period: “The habit of historical thinking which I thus learned in school has never left me in the intervening years. To an ever increasing extent world history became for me an inexhaustible source of understanding for the historical events of the present; in other words, for politics. I do not want to ‘learn’ it, I want it to instruct me.”

At age 18 Hitler left home searching for employment in his chosen vocation, taking examinations at the Vienna Academy of Fine Arts but failing to pass. A professor doing the examining advised him his talent lay not in painting but architecture. He was keenly interested in that field, yet couldn’t afford the further schooling required.

Back at home his mother soon died, and, as he remained unemployed, things didn’t look good. He tells us: “With a suitcase full of clothes and underwear in my hand, and an indomitable will in my heart, I set out for Vienna. I, too, hoped to wrest from fate what my father had accomplished 50 years before; I, too, hoped to become ‘something,’ but in no case a civil servant.”

Four years spent in this big city gave

natvan.com/who-rules-america

him an education of a different kind, its ten percent Jewish population dominating the German metropolis of Vienna. A close-knit ethnic tribe called by American Professor Kevin MacDonald in his book by the same name a *Culture of Critique* because always criticizing everybody else’s habits and then getting shrill when someone fires a return volley of criticism, controlling the press is their forté; it means they need to duck less often. Here Hitler detected what the Jewish program of Marxism portended for the German people, and grew miserable with that realization.

He relates: “I understood the infamous spiritual terror which this movement exerts, particularly on the bourgeoisie, which is neither morally nor mentally equal to such attacks; at a given sign it unleashes a veritable barrage of lies and slanders against whatever adversary seems most dangerous, until the nerves of the attacked persons break down... this is a tactic based on precise calculation of all human weaknesses, and its results will lead to success with almost mathematical certainty...”

Hitler moved to Munich in the spring of 1913, happy to leave Vienna, according to him a cosmopolitan “racial Babylon.” And when finally that Great War long planned behind the scenes began in August 1914, Hitler joined a Bavarian regiment. As he explains: “To me those hours seemed like a release from the painful feelings of my youth. Even today I am not ashamed to say that, overpowered by a stormy enthusiasm, I fell down on my knees and thanked heaven from an overflowing heart for granting me the good fortune of being permitted to live at this time.”

He further stated: “A fight for freedom had begun... this time not the fate of Serbia or Austria was involved, but whether the German nation was to be or not to be.”

In his four-and-one-half years with the infantry he was wounded twice, initially receiving the Iron Cross second class and later the Iron Cross first class. At war’s end gassed and blinded, upon hearing about his Fatherland’s defeat and what that sizable brigade of Jewish advisers accompanying U. S. President Woodrow Wilson had put into the ruthless Treaty of Versailles, he grew quite bitter, seeing its undisguised purpose as to lay low Germany, the only country Hitler thought capable of stopping their plans to rule the world.

The Versailles Treaty imposed cruel and tyrannical peace terms. Germany’s entire wealth, colonies and territories were just handed over to other nations, Great Britain gaining the biggest share, *i.e.*, Rothschild’s City of London, separately governed from the mayor’s adjacent districts of London proper.

A few traitors sitting in for Germany at the peace conference went along with that cruel joke, accepting full responsibility for having started the war. As we’ve noted, the victors write each war’s history. But, ever since the Versailles brokered so-called peace, while making a big show of regret for each new conflict’s losses of lives and limbs, those doing the writing have lied to even the winning side’s returning veterans. Who are these people composing so many sitcoms, movies, mainstream daily papers and the teleprompts directing each of our moves on their chessboard against today’s holdouts fighting worldwide communism? If you’re ready for irrefutably documented facts, we’ll pass out these slips containing two web sites that can start you on the way toward discovering and exposing them:

www.natvan.com/who-rules-america
www.14thfraud.com

With full responsibility for their Great War laid on the German people, the sum of “reparations” named came to around three times the net worth of the whole country, which meant that, including interest, they would pay forever.

This endless extortion, the loss of their colonies and adjacent territories and the war guilt were just a beginning of what was coming: years of hopelessness, hunger and desperation. It was a replay of our South’s Reconstruction years, puppet governors going along with the prompting, outsiders swarming in with carpetbags full of money buying up real estate for almost nothing from a starving population. And the same Jewish revolutionaries and traitors having seized control of Russia would now direct Germany’s provisional government. This was their moment – the ripening conditions under which communism is more easily implemented and toward which the U. S. is headed at this moment of hyperinflationary federal government money borrowing and spending.

The German population simply didn’t know how to cope with all that. Not only had those golden rule-makers grabbed up most of the profitable businesses, even the courts and police found themselves under their control. Dissenters could expect no justice. Scalawags playing along with the occupying overlords’ humiliating agenda got jobs; thousands of others starved to death.

Mind-numbing worldwide brotherhood literature poured forth from the captive media. Pornography filled the newsstands. Children were tempted thereby to disown and deviate from the moral strictures of their parents. Movies glamorized, without acknowledging, communism’s ten planks. Schools and colleges replaced impartial teachers and professors with others toeing the no-longer-secret line of those actually in charge. Does any of this sound like what’s happening in the here and now?

These conditions continued for years, the so-called democratic elections proving merely a great farce. With all hope gone that anything good would ever come out of further acquiescence, the German people began praying for a leader to deliver them from their golden rulers.

Various militant groups began forming, some of them perhaps roughly comparable to the Klan movement led by Confederate General Forrest for restoring order when the American South’s occupation forces proved either incompetent or unwilling to do so. Following the November 11, 1918, armistice and knowing that the temporary communist takeover had in mind anything except law and order, six young men set themselves up at Munich as the German Workers Party. But that cadre got nowhere until, in 1919, a new member joined and took over the organization. A spellbinding public speaker bringing military discipline to bear, this man was Adolf Hitler.

Renamed in 1920 the National Socialist German Workers Party, Nazi for short, that small band for the next three years staged public gatherings exhorting the German people to deal more energetically with their oppressors. Everywhere Hitler spoke, he aroused resistance against communist revolutionaries, Bolsheviks and assorted stooges themselves interested in planting the usual confusion, anger and sabotage as required for the money/media combine’s subversion from Siberia on the Pacific to every European State facing the Atlantic and Mediterranean.

Under such foreign occupation, it’s not hard to become convinced that only a leader from among one’s own people will bring about any real change for the better. But, who would actually stand up against those well paid thugs sent by their golden rulers to break up any counter-movement that began looking like it was gaining more than a few followers? From among the hungry and oppressed masses, not many. So this meant that, just to meet together in public, Hitler and his boys were on their own. But the people did respond, once his message started getting attention and new recruits joined those imperturbable patriots in defending their leader’s right to stand before a crowd and speak. Unarmed except for bare knuckles, they earned the name of Storm Troopers. Scores of them would die defending Hitler before he finally came to power as the Third Reich’s Führer.

By 1923 the money men in charge had totally destroyed Germany’s currency. The worst inflation in world history, excepting only today’s Zimbabwe, caused a loaf of bread to cost billions of marks. Those golden rulers seized control of whatever their lecherous eyes fell on. From America came more of them, taking advantage of that fiscal failure, buying up apartments, houses, jewels, farms, simply pillaging anything of value. The Nazi Party felt it could wait no longer, as Germany and all of Europe would fall before Bolshevik communism at the rate things were going. In November 1923 they made their move at Munich to seize control of the Bavarian government, but failed because the money men having near complete influence over the German army and militia quickly put down this attempt while killing sixteen of Hitler’s Storm Troopers.

Standing trial, the Nazi leader delivered some of his greatest speeches on behalf of this party, whose cause now increasingly aroused the German people to demand his freedom. However, the golden rule-makers prevailed, and he received a five year prison sentence. During his stay at the Landsberg Penitentiary, Hitler wrote a two-volume work called *Mein Kampf*, in which he had leisure, his guards proving sympathetic, to put it all down on paper: the sacrifices and effort expected of every German if that noble race meant to come

(See “Real money,” page 22)

American Free Press
is the weekly newspaper out of Washington, DC, that rose from its ashes when they shut down **The Spotlight**. Tired of waiting a whole month for TFF to arrive? Subscribe to this also-truthful tabloid, 52 issues crammed into 47 weeks of the year plus six free issues of **Whole Body Health** for \$59.



American Free Press, Ste 100
645 Pennsylvania Ave SE
Washington DC 20003

Mentally/physically handicapped India can’t overtake China

The Economist predicts India’s bullock cart will overtake China’s supersonic jet.

By V. T. Rajshekar

Editor, Dalit Voice
vtr@del6.vsnl.net.in



On October 2, 2010, the world famous *Economist*, an all-out, pro-Jew (capitalist), anti-China, anti-Muslim but extremely pro-Brahminic – very often cited in *DV* – had a cover story on “How India’s growth will outpace China’s.”

That even a scholarly journal (the 400-year-old English weekly from London) can go mad with jaundice when it comes to Jews and the “Jews of India,” this editorial is yet another proof.

Credibility lost

The Economist pays tribute to “India’s surprising economic miracles” but quickly bites dust, concluding, “It is still far behind China.” It also applies tar on the faces of our Indian rulers, saying they are “infected with corruption,” and finally spits at them by adding, “China has done a better job than India of curbing corruption.” The pro-Big Business *Economist* concludes with, “Most foreign investors would probably pick China” as the place to do business.

The Economist loses credibility in this mad love to defend its fellow Jews who are not even 3% of India’s 1,300 million population.

Beware of false friends

The Economist, however, concludes its editorial with a powerful kick on the Brahminic bulging belly.

Our question to *The Economist*, to those who have written such an editorial under pressure from the “Jews of India,” is this: Can a physically and mentally handicapped country beat a super power like China?

The Economist makes a laughing stock of itself by succumbing to the pressure of its false friends.

The entire Western media controlled by the Jews is infested with their Brahminical cousins. Since the “Jews of India” have emerged as the unquestioned political and business leaders in the past 65 years after “independence” by pushing the over 85% indigenous owners of India to the depths of hell, it is but natural that the real owners of India become invisible.

The Kalmadis, Lalit Modis and Ambanis have become the real face of India; thus innocent foreigners can neither see us, hear nor touch us. We are today a non-people: voiceless, breathless and penniless.

DV’s lone voice

Except for the single, feeble *Dalit Voice*, India has no other forum for the Bahujans to announce the justice and truth of those many warnings given to us by the “Father of India,” Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar.

So, what *The Economist* says will alone be believed – not the *DV*. It is said that “in the long run” justice and truth shall alone triumph. But except for the 1,000-year-long Golden Period of Buddhist rule, this “long run” has not come. When it will arrive, we don’t know.

Coming back to the highly prejudiced and misleading *Economist* editorial, we want to give a common example which even a child can understand.

A country is just like any young man or woman. To grow, either must stand on high and pure values. But Brahminical India’s core lessons are how to cheat, to defraud, how to manipulate or destroy. All these tricks are very simple. Destruction is the easiest and the quickest. But *construction* is difficult and time consuming.

Jews of India joined Hitler

When the Jews of the entire world, led by their own creature the Soviet Communist

super power, aligned with the UK, USA and other Western powers to destroy the lone but mighty Christian country of Germany, the “Jews of India” were on the opposite side. This was because they were angry at their British colonial rulers, and that is why they joined hands with Hitler’s Germany.

The moment they scented Hitler’s defeat they quickly changed sides, joining the British, singing the song of *Jana Gana Mana Adhi Nayaka Jayahe*.

That treacherous role played by the “Jews of India” was very effectively exposed in a book by a famous Jewish historian, Leon Poliakov, in *The Aryan Myth*, New American Library, 1974, a photocopy of which is available from *DV*.

Wikipedia attacks editor

The Jews tutored by the “Jews of India” have very bitterly attacked us for “falsifying history” in the Wikipedia story on us *in re* our October 16, 2010 *DV* editorial. Leon Poliakov’s book, published by a famous British Jewish Trust, reveals who speaks the truth – this editor or the “Jews of India.”

The Brahminists honestly joined Hitler thinking he would defeat England, that Germany would win. In fact Germany had all the chances of winning. But America’s Jews then blackmailed the U. S. President into joining the British and declaring a war on Germany.

The moment the Brahminists scented danger they quickly switched sides.

Singing, dancing with Hitler

During our recent visits to London and discussions with honest Jewish intellectuals we could make out their distrust of the Brahminists, because they said they had learned about that Brahminical treachery from the London journal *Jewish Chronicle*.

Those who were once singing and dancing with Hitler, fooling the whole lot of German scholars while selling their “Aryan myth,” quickly joined the Western

Real money returns at last

(Continued from page 21)

out from under those parasites and restore the Reich. Behind bars, Hitler gained an ever growing following. People agitated against the authorities without letup, until their Führer was released after serving only nine months of his sentence. During that time, his party was constantly harassed by the authorities and forbidden to hold public meetings in several German States. Its assets were seized by the courts. It was totally disorganized.

But Hitler hung in there until the court order banning his public appearances was finally lifted, whereupon he immediately set about rebuilding the National Socialist movement from the ground up. Soon the German people were no longer taking their dosages of international brotherhood and war guilt propaganda as dispensed daily by the totally compromised media. Thousands had started showing up at each of his huge public rallies. This returning national pride continued and, on January 30, 1933, Hitler became Chancellor of the German Reich. A daunting task faced him, the outgoing so-called Weimar Republic having had not a clue for dealing with all those problems this new Chancellor would quickly set about resolving. Germany’s fiat currency purchased little, poverty among his people



The First Freedom is spreading “hate!” whine those who misapprehend us survivors. It’s really out of **pity** that we try so hard to de-program the brainwashed. Order a box of 100 extra copies for \$25 or \$30 on page 24 and help distribute the truth.

camp, prostrated themselves before the British and started cultivating the Jews who had produced any number of honest intellectuals.

Economist’s reputation gone

But what worries us is that the reputed *Economist* is being fooled and taken for a ride. For a couple of expensive, full-page advertisements, the world famous weekly has sold its reputation to the untrustworthy “Jews of India.”

The Economist has written about that “Commonfilth” created by the Karnataka Brahmin Kalmadi (*Dalit Voice*, Oct. 16, 2010). It also knows how China staged the flawless Olympic Games in 2008 and the current Shanghai World Expo which the corrupt and crooked rulers of India can never, ever equal.

The Economist knows fully that the Brahminists are top to bottom corrupt. It admits India “will always be a second-rate power.” No. We disagree. Brahminist India can only remain a third-rate racist racketeer.

The Economist also admits that “China’s economy is four times bigger” than India’s, then quickly adds a “but,” saying India’s “growth would overtake China by 2013.” Unable to understand such an authoritative journal on economic issues arriving at such an idiotic conclusion, we want to know how. This makes us suspect the *bonafides* of *The Economist*.

The entire wealth of India is owned by less than 15% of the alien upper castes who do not love this country. The rest of us, 85% of the indigenous people, are pushed to the bottom, starving, illiterate, physically weak – sweat laborers.

A country is exactly like a human being. Imagine a young boy or girl whose head alone has grown while the remaining body stays the same. How can such a person be called a normal human being? India is, therefore, an abnormal creature – physically and mentally handicapped. That is how, in all its thinking and acting, Brahminical

was almost total. Those dictating the terms at Versailles had disarmed, disenfranchised and indebted the country supposedly for all time. While Hitler’s support was colossal, his detractors remained firmly entrenched in the businesses, the media and the bogus money they had grabbed control of during those years following their Great War.

The German people’s durable industry, inventiveness and creativity immediately sprang back to life, an electric impulse flashing across their land as the eternal struggle between principles and powers moved again to the fore. This, you should recall, was when the Great Depression had descended upon all of the other Western nations with precisely such conditions as National Socialism must now pull out of, a time of great languishing in the 1930s, farmers losing their properties to usurious central bankers, unemployment remaining high until propagandists shaped the people up just as their cue card holders wanted them: men preferring military paychecks to bread lines and venal politicians taking the bait that only another war could break those doldrums.

Wall Street and the City of London weren’t about to let Hitler get away with printing his own currency and bypassing the international bankers’ monopoly which loaned every State’s money into existence then charged its government interest for that dubious service. So the London *Daily Express* signaled what was desired by way of a banner headline on March 24, 1933: “Judea Declares War On Germany,” below which, under the subheading “Jews Of All The World Unite In Action,” BOYCOTT OF GERMAN GOODS was in boldface, this story calling for “mass demonstrations in many districts.”

(See “History,” page 24)

India is abnormal or sub-normal.

Private sector corruption

The Economist’s prediction would have this Indian bullock cart overtake China’s supersonic jet.

The only point on which they build that argument is “India’s private sector boom.” “Indian capitalism is driven by millions of entrepreneurs all furiously doing their own thing.” Bunkum.

We differ. With minor exceptions such as the Tatas and Godrej, both non-Hindu Parsees, the remainder of our private sector industries are mostly built by upper caste family ventures making money through all sorts of corruption.

The Ambanis are the standing example of private sector corruption. *The Economist* cites the \$2,000 Nano car of the Tatas as the great invention of the private sector. Fact is, however, the Nano has no buyers, so that’s misleading the world to please this vehicle’s Brahminical bluffers.

India’s leaders – of which every section from politicians to *pudaris* – are all frauds. The entire 15% ruling upper caste has built its empire on falsehood, by cheating the overwhelming majority natives – without implementing a single article in India’s Constitution. That is why every city, the very Brahminist fortress, in India is crumbling and collapsing. The government of India is not functioning. We have a PM who has never spoken the truth. The whole thing is corrupt, intellectually dishonest.

The so-called Indian democracy is a reflection of the “million mutinees,” so beautifully portrayed by the Brahmin, V. S. Naipaul. India is not a democracy but a ruthless dictatorship of the Brahminical racists, in which their own women are being suffocated to death.

Every section of the society is collapsing. Kalmadi’s Commonwealth Game is the latest proof. No country can come up by deceiving, gagging, starving and killing its own people.

War with China

Forget about surpassing China. We will not be surprised if the Indian rulers, in their mad frenzy to further squeeze the slaves of India, will by accident get into a bloody war with China and subject India to greater calamity than the 200-year-old British rule.

Already a third of India is in the grip of the so-called Maoist armed rebellion of the oppressed Dalits and Tribals. The rulers are tolerating this because its leadership is with their own *Jatwalas*. On the other side is the bigger threat of Kashmir on the North and nuclear Pakistan on the West. China has already encircled the whole of India.

The Indian army itself is mired in corruption, its leadership entirely upper caste – totally cowardly and hated by the *Jawans* who do the actual fighting.

Will the *Idli-sambarwala* vegetarian Brahminists, who know nothing but bluffing and bragging, be able to face two external enemies like the beef-eating Pakistani Muslims, and China, already a super power – plus many furious internal enemies like the Dalits, Muslims, OBCs, Sikhs and Mongoloid North-Eastern tribals?

When a country is so weak and hostile internally, how can it face powerful external enemies?

Lately, the international Jews have also come to know the true character of their cousins, the untrustworthy “Jews of India.”

Yet the “Jews of India” are not at all bothered. What do they care if India is defeated and destroyed? After all, they don’t belong here. They are Eurasians and foreigners; hence they don’t love India. There is no point in blaming the alien “Jews of India”; they have oppressed us for centuries, because we have allowed it. Will our own Bahujans wake up even at this late stage and know the truth – then start getting angry?

KENTUCKY BLOODLETTING carries you on a tour of the killing fields across that State to where innocent Confederate POWs were simply hauled from their cells and marched before Yankee firing squads, four “rebels” murdered in cold blood for each invader killed by the angry citizenry. It’s a 2-1/2 hour DVD, yours PPD anywhere in U. S. or C. S. A. for \$15. Order directly from narrator/cinematographer and roving reporter Nancy Hitt, 6214 Apex Drive, Louisville, KY 40219.

EUSTACE MULLINS book in hardback
Murder by Injection – 372 pp
\$16 PPD in CSA
The First Freedom
P. O. Box 385
Silverhill, Alabama 36576

100 DVDs \$1 each. Ron Paul, the Fed, our Foreign Policy, North American Union, immigration, JFK, treason, 911, firearms ownership, Iraq war, media/governmental collaboration. Ron Neil, 817-219-2798.

ADVERTISE on this bulletin board. Just \$10 per insertion, maximum 50 words, 10¢ each additional word.

Letters...

Your signed letter with phone number and address is welcome, but will be edited as necessary to fit available space. Send to The First Freedom, P.O. Box 385, Silverhill, Alabama 36576 or email firstfreedom@gulftel.com

T.S.S.R.A.

Never knew Barrett was killed until now. That must have been not long after I corresponded with him. We patriots are clearly under the gun. J. TOMASKI
proftomaski@yahoo.com
That news didn’t fit into the lullaby.

QUIXOTE

Your October edition stands exemplary – with intelligence, insight and vocabulary unexcelled. While there are others whose efforts I respect and admire, in my opinion nobody is doing more to right the wrongs of the Marxist subversives among us than **The First Freedom.**

WARREN WILSON
Kirkland, WA

Such humble tabloids require greater outreach. Given sufficient leverage, our published facts could move the world.

REALITY

I’m glad that you tell it like it is about the *fake* Jews, and keep the info going on what happened to Mary Phagan. My heart cries out for her. Thank you! I don’t know of any other source for this *truth*.

MARY ANN MÜCK
Dillsburg, PA

HOMELAND SECURITY

The “Jewish right to exist” is justified, provided they voluntarily migrate to the “Jewish Autonomous Region” (called Birobidjan), the size of Switzerland and located on Russia’s SE border with China. It’s virtually a *Jewish Republic*, with Yiddish as its official language, and was formalized as a Jewish sanctuary in 1934.

Jews do not have the right to exist when they create perpetual violence by homesteading on Arab lands. Jews do not have the right to exist when they provoke violence throughout the world.

F. J. “DINTY” MOORE
Cocolalla, Idaho

INNOCENTS

Why do we Southerners continue to think that caving in to the enemy will make them like us more? Oh foolish, naïve people we are. BILL GILMORE
dixieland1861@charter.net

FOR TRUTH

Again, comrades, I extend my utmost racial socialist regards to you in the fullest! As always, it’s nice to get a newspaper that makes sense. However, the Hebrew band of Asiatic parasites has struck again. CNN (Commie News Network) anchorman Rick Sanchez was fired from his job for calling a Jew talk show host, John Stewart, get this – *a bigot*. Not only did he call him a bigot but he went on to say that “it’s impossible that the people who control the media, the Jews, are an oppressed people.” Needless to say, Sanchez was fired. JEFF LODOR
Corcoran, CA
Yes, we’ve mentioned that on page 2.

ARMINIUS THE LIBERATOR by Ingrid Zündel is the most detailed book about the life and struggles of this young German Cheruskan ever compiled. Overthrowing his country’s Roman occupiers, here’s the true story of an empire taking its crusade to “make the world safe for mediacracy” a bridge too far. For your 8.5 x 11, 324-page millennium softcover edition, send \$50 to Soaring Eagles Studios and Gallery, 2662 Wears Valley Road, Sevierville, TN 37862.

GREGORY KAY’s *The Third Revolution* series (fiction – or *prediction*? – of a rising free South) is available in three 400+page paperback books that you can order online at www.geocities.com/thethirdrevolution or from the author at RR2 Box 12K, Letart, WV 25253. These titles are \$23.45 each PPD in U. S. or occupied C. S. A:

The Third Revolution
The Long Knives
The Black Flag

Please save us postage by watching your subscription’s expiration on address label.

To join the Sons of Confederate Veterans, call SCV Headquarters at 1-800-MY-SOUTH

STAGING

I think we met a few years ago at the Nathan Bedford Forrest Park in Memphis. I had just gotten off work at The Med and you and a chaplain were there as well as several other folks paying their respects to a fine gentleman, military genius and great symbol of the Confederacy! I remember you were driving a hearse, a casket in the bay with the 14th amendment inside, and plans to bury the illegal, unconstitutional and downright scandalous 14th amendment. Several of y’all were going to Collierville to march as well. You gave me one of your newsletters and I am a dedicated reader of your website. If as many would but get off their blessed assurance and begin reading and studying your site and many others, surely all Southern Christian men and women would rise to the table and restore our Southern Confederacy to it’s rightful place among the nations of God’s good earth, amen.

For God, family and the Confederacy,
GERALD WOODS
confederatecolonel@yahoo.com

At Fall Muster in another vehicle but with battleflagged doors, Deacon asked about the hearse. We’re still recruiting volunteers for that long caravan to bury the fraudulent 14th on the Potomac.

ORGANIZING

I received Bill Ivy’s latest – *Citizenship, States Rights, American Socialization*. It’s all here! I do not believe there’s a better or more complete summary. This piece can be understood by the Tea Party crowd. The “smoking gun” is on page one, radical Senators John (Insane) McCain and John Kyle holding that pistol in their hands. On Mike Gallagher’s radio program, first spake McCain: “With the passage of the 14th Amendment, citizens changed from being citizens of the States to citizens of the federal government.” Then John Kyle: “The federal government has supreme power over the States, and any legislation of the State is superceded by the federal government, and is therefore subject to abolishment by the feds.”

What about all those State Sovereignty Resolutions, Firearms Freedom Acts and Obamacare refusals? Ask radical Senators John Kyle and Insane McCain.

JOHN PEEPLES
Talladega, AL

Page 10 notes a bit of Bill Ivy’s work.

NANNY STATE

In the December 2007 and January 2008 issues you published David Lane’s work. I hear it has appeared again more recently. The Florida Corrections Department does not allow inmates who believe in his ideas to receive such materials unless published in a periodical. Therefore our only access (guaranteed by the First Amendment) means subscribing to a newspaper such as yours. KEVIN WALSH
Bonifay, FL

DISPLAY ADVERTISING for pages 6-22:

www.gulftel.com/firstfreedom/adv.rates.htm
YOUR NAME in **TFF** makes a difference.

American Free Press

Here’s a weekly national newspaper with stories the controlled press can’t touch. *The Spotlight* was shut down by ZOG, but this one came back fighting. Send \$17.76 for a one time 16-week “Get Acquainted” subscription to 645 Pennsylvania Avenue SE, Suite 100, Washington, DC 30003.

Last chance

The Southern National Conference meets November 12-14, 2010
Montgomery Bell State Park
Dickson, Tennessee
www.southernnationalcongress.org

SOUTHERN SOLUTION. Yes, America is in Crisis. Yes – there is a solution. This documentary is expected to be completed and released during the summer. We have included a brief introduction online at <http://southernnationalcongress.org/video1.shtml> and hope you will take a few minutes to watch it.

BOOKS & LITERATURE plus “White Voice” newsletter. Send \$5 m. o. for a list.
Racial Nationalist Party of America
P. O. Box 1281, Lockport, NY 14095

BACK ISSUES of **The First Freedom** available at \$3 each PPD in C.S.A. or U.S:
#510 The Ten Commandments rally
#708 Who rules America?
#711 Begins series by “Cat Man” Joseph Rorie on “14th Amendment,” thru to #805; the entire set of which is yours for \$20 PPD.

ORDER A COPY OF EVERY ISSUE, 139 in all including this one, for \$139. Send check or m.o. to The First Freedom, P.O. Box 385, Silverhill, Alabama 36576. FACTS ARE FACTS is a book containing conclusive evidence that history and other media have lied for more than a hundred years. For your copy send \$11.95 to Pat Revere, P.O. Box 1947, Payson, AZ 85547
BE ON THE cutting edge of the battle to liberate America and find out why this monthly newspaper has thousands of loyal readers. Subscribe today by sending \$19.00 for one year, or \$37.00 for two years to The Nationalist Times, 10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150, Las Vegas, NV 89145.

LIGHT

In re my article on Obama in the Sept. issue of **The First Freedom**, I appreciate your noting eyewitnesses and proofs of his birth in Kenya, and hope this establishes the truth of the matter, also that someone with sufficient resources will bring these witnesses and their evidence to America to be laid before an appropriate tribunal.

I have enclosed an old letter sent to the *Birmingham Post-Herald* in 1997, which, unfortunately, was rejected by that paper. Readers of **The First Freedom** may find it of interest. If anything in this country needs a thorough house cleaning, it is the educational system, which is responsible for much of our nation’s decline in the 20th century.

P.S. If Sarah Palin is indeed in favor of amnesty for illegal aliens, why isn’t this made known by either the mainstream or conservative media? I need to know.
Editor, the Post-Herald:

The neo-carpetbagger and scalawag trash that now controls the University of Mississippi, as well as other Southern schools, would be tickled pink if the student body and other spectators would stop bringing Confederate flags to their football games. The playing of “Dixie,” I understand, has already been interdicted.

It all began when the Negro James Meredith forced his unwanted presence on the school in 1962 and returned 20 years later telling a commemoration celebrating his admission that the Southern battle flag, Colonel Rebel and “Dixie” “must be removed as school symbols and songs.”

Founded in 1848 in the small town of Oxford, Ole Miss, at the outset, succeeded admirably. A few weeks before the South seceded, a student group called the “University Greys” began military training. A month later with the commencement of hostilities, the school was forced to close, since all but five students had volunteered to serve the Confederacy. By 1865, 111 out of the 135 University Greys were dead. A monument erected to their memory still stands on the campus [photo at right].

As the battle for Ole Miss raged in 1962, an old gentleman in Oxford was overheard to say that if the University did integrate, three things could be expected to happen: (1) Southerners would be called upon to reject the heritage of their ancestors; (2) integration would lead to crime, taxation, and a breakdown of the social order; and (3) miscegenation would lead to the end of the White race. The first two predictions we are witnessing now; the third may ultimately depend on non-Whites who have too much sense and racial pride to mingle with White trash.

When Ole Miss plays Bama on Oct. 25, let’s show the South-hating officials of both schools and the world that we Alabamians are second to none in our devotion to our Confederate heritage and flag.

JOHN ALTMAN
Tuscaloosa, AL

KKK – Rev. R. D. Loy, P. O. Box 4731, South Bend, Indiana 46624
America: Born July 4, 1776
Died November 4, 2008

Judeo-Christian = False Church
True Christian not Jew-Christian
www.DEBUNKINGtfc.info
Debunking the False Church

REALITY CHECK – 217 pgs paperback. You are a history sleuth right alongside German-born author Christine Miller as she plays Zionist contradictions in their own words against themselves. Nothing is closer to fresh air and light than *Reality Check*. \$20 PPD in the U.S., \$24 foreign. TFF, P. O. Box 385, Silverhill, AL 36576.

THE POLITICAL CESSPOOL – “The South’s foremost populist radio program.”
www.thepoliticalcesspool.org

JOIN GIDEON’S ELITE, PREPARE FOR KINGDOM SERVICE! Hear Pastor Peter J. Peters daily on WWCR shortwave radio. For a FREE newsletter with complete broadcast schedule: Scriptures For America,. POB 766, LaPorte CO 80535. 24 hrs daily internet streaming @ www.scriptsuresforamerica.org

DOUBLE FEATURE

On August 28, 2010, C-Span presented two dangerous rallies in Washington D.C., starring Glenn Beck and Al Sharpton, a sad day for America.

Beck’s theme, “Restoring Honor,” at the Lincoln Memorial on the 47th anniversary of Martin Luther King, Jr.’s “I have a dream” speech, praised its words written by a Communist. His heroes are King and Rosa Parks, both dedicated to destroying the U. S. King’s dream was to make this a Communist country. Parks’ bus ride was planned.

The Lincoln Memorial recalls one of the top five worst presidents in U. S. history. There Beck praised the so-called “Civil Rights Movement,” a Communist plot. One of the main speakers was Alveda King, a niece of King; another was Sarah Palin, a Trojan Horse in the “Tea Party Movement” who, like Beck, is a Zionist and working against America.

Beck gave out three of his medals. Two went to Negroes: Angelica Tucker singing “Rebuild” and another moaning “Unity.”

Beck praised and honored soldiers who have not fought for the U. S. but Israel; just wasted, having perished in vain, sacrificing their lives for that little apartheid State.

Beck is nothing but a TV evangelical preacher. He is a con artist and hypocrite.

RAY DIVELY
Baden, PA



The University Greys were a Confederate unit raised from among students at the University of Mississippi. At Gettysburg, this unit had a 100% casualty rate, with every single cadet either killed or wounded. The legendary University Greys are still the base of many heart-touching stories told by Mississippians. – John Killian

WAKE UP!

Here in an America asleep under Zionist anesthesia the bearer of bad tidings is not wanted; only optimism is acceptable. The population lives in a dream world of media and government produced crap unrelated to reality, and in any political, social, and financial thought, the majority in most cases is dead wrong. The number one issue is illegal immigration! The principal New York trading houses and large New York banks, the cusp of this calamity, are almost exclusively run or owned, or both, by Jews. Our monetary system today is controlled by the Federal Reserve System, a Marxist principle slipped into our law in 1913 at the international Jewish bankers’ instigation. WINSTON HENDRICKS
Mesa, AZ

History is as published by those who run the presses

(Continued from page 22)

But it didn’t work; nothing would stop the Third Reich from breaking its fetters short of another so-called Great War To End All Wars. One thing that this “devil’s advocacy” for the defense of Adolf Hitler must grant the prosecution is *chutzpah*, the unmitigated gall to take total advantage of our gullibility.

So there stood this new regime, ready and willing to implement its Führer’s plans despite the City of London’s mounting boycott and determination to totally isolate Germany. Remember, those bankers were not only capable of extending their Great Depression indefinitely by recalling loans at will, but wherever a goon squad might be desired they could also renew or forgive debts, loosen new money and “stimulate” exotic “change.” Does this sound familiar?

Propaganda was now working on both sides. The German people saw themselves coming back out of it; their pride mounted, they built the great super-highways called Autobahns, employment for every worker returning as this new regime bypassed the bankers’ money boycott by bartering with several nations in South America and Asia for the raw materials needed.

The Third Reich seized industries back into German ownership and control no less ruthlessly than when stolen by usurpers ten years earlier. These soon began producing bicycles, homes and Volkswagens anyone could afford. Hitler scrapped the Versailles mortgage’s taboos; like any other modern country this one, too, would again require military service of able-bodied young men.

The Nazi regime began removing from all government offices the Jews that Hitler mainly blamed for having instigated that Great War in the first place, then swarming in on his defeated nation picking its bones clean. Those lost licenses to operate banks, to continue their professorships in the great universities or create propaganda, actions he hoped would cause a complete reversal and send them packing by rendering any further stay in Germany so uncomfortable that they would leave voluntarily, and most who could afford to do so eventually did. However, except for those who committed crimes, hysterical warmongering diatribes notwithstanding, this government did not physically mistreat the Jews. Naturally the first choice destination for the ones leaving was America, an innocently sleeping giant they hoped to agitate into helping the Jews of all the world unite in action.

The international banking cartel could not allow Hitler to escape its clutches that easily. This meant instigating those States surrounding Germany and beyond, lending and recalling money selectively, causing a boycott of German goods while directing “mass demonstrations in many districts.” And we more distant Americans, ignoring again President Washington’s warnings of foreign imbroglios, fell into lockstep with such world coveting Jews united in action; they led us by the elbows off to war with less effort than first expended inciting the hard-nosed, often manipulated Europeans.

Seeing what they were up to, the Third Reich began preparing for war. The Jewish invention of Bolshevism at that time had brought the much larger nation of Russia under its power and was arming Stalin to

overrun all of Europe before the Germans could fully mobilize against their scheme. Hitler needed his whole people foursquare behind a single military force, and quickly, or the golden rule-makers would have time to snuff out this singular obstacle to their New World Order. So the Führer set about recovering all of those outlying territories settled by Germans for centuries but lost to other redrawn and newly-created States at Versailles. The Austro-Hungarian Empire having ended, he also liberated the German State of Austria from its ten percent Jewish rulers on March 14, 1938.

This *Anschluss* – the German/Austrian union that evicted those moneychangers having levied unjust tributes and penalties for ages – was something the peoples on both sides had looked forward to for many generations. The metropolis having caused him so much grief as a young man wildly greeted Hitler’s triumphal entry into the



capitol city of Vienna, thousands lining its streets with their arms uplifted in loud and boisterous salutes.

Still to come was the liberation of over three million of his people just inside the Versailles-stitched-together artificial State of Czechoslovakia, including that territory called the Sudetenland, where the Jews of all the world united in action had started calling for “mass demonstrations in many districts,” to stop Hitler’s reversals of their handiwork; which incited Czechs to taunt, humiliate and murder Germans.

On one side, the golden rule-makers had to deny Germany sufficient leisure and means for fully mobilizing ahead of their next Great War’s beginning. They already possessed the power to bring that upstart regime before the prostituted media’s court of world opinion, which inquisitional trials for the next seventy years would find any effective defenses against Jewish doctrine guilty of “hate speech.” On the other side, Hitler, discovering their plans for arming Russia to the maximum and readying more divisions than one could imagine near the Polish border to strike at a moment’s notice across Germany then on to the Atlantic and Mediterranean, the *Wehrmacht* could not wait. So a *Blitzkrieg* – his lightening-quick preventive strike – must move faster. Only by pitting German resourcefulness against those East European and Asiatic hordes could the Third Reich’s inferior numbers succeed against Stalin’s armies backed by New York’s money and the newly-elected Roosevelt’s predilections for war.

At Munich on September 29, 1938, the British, French and Italian heads of State heard Hitler’s case for the Sudetenland’s return to majority rule, following which, by the middle of October his troops took control of this area adding another three million Germans to the Reich, and rolled further on March 15, 1939, annexing all of Czechoslovakia – that artificially-created

part of the former Austrian Empire hacked into multilingual districts at the deceitful Versailles conference.

Meanwhile the City of London, having incited all the other races in that contested region to provoke those Sudeten Germans into calling upon the Reich to intervene on their behalf, saw its ducks slowly lining up in a row. Yet the bankers wanted to draw Hitler further into their trap, whether or not he was onto the game. Having control of all those governments surrounding Germany, the next blazing headlines seeking “mass demonstrations in many districts” aroused slaughter, torment and persecution against Germans having resided for centuries in a small area that belonged to Germany until Versailles split East Prussia away from its Fatherland by creating this Polish Corridor on the Baltic Sea.

France and England had both done as instructed, putting it in writing: they would

declare war on Germany if that State came to the defense of its nationals there. This in turn and according to plan “stimulated” the Poles as lesser dupes into performing their own aggressive actions, choreographed by the rule-makers naturally.

Here again, realizing it was a setup or not, on September 1, 1939, the *Wehrmacht* came in and rescued its people. The Polish authorities having ignored Hitler’s efforts to settle that transfer peacefully now saw their forces utterly crushed inside of three weeks, with neither the British nor French rushing in as promised, yet both declared war on Germany – six-and-one-half years after the March 24, 1933, London headline in the big *Daily Express*, “Judea Declares War On Germany.”

The bankrollers of France and England had no interest in stopping Hitler before he had served their purposes; that was just to light the fuse. They were rather fixed upon destroying Germany completely this time, so that no government would ever again think of deporting its Jews as many kings and princes all across Europe had done in other centuries repeatedly.

Reelected in 1940 to an unprecedented third term by promising to “keep our boys out of that conflict,” Jewish U. S. President Roosevelt quickly thereafter showed his true colors, siding with and shipping arms to Russia and England on his “lend/lease” program, then declaring war on Germany. Many other Western States, whether just wanting in on credit for the kill or actually believing the propaganda, did likewise. So it was only a matter of time, engagement and bloodshed until the Third Reich, like Saddam Hussein’s Sunni Iraq, would fall to vastly superior forces.

It’s repeatedly charged by the media that the Nazis gassed six million Jews. Who knows whether or not this is a fact? We’re given much testimony by the prosecution’s so-called eyewitnesses, yet little coverage

of their retractions on cross-examination when admitting they fabricated such tales out of whole cloth, for whatever gain or fame. And the myth-makers simply ignore those former inmates who relate nothing more useful than that many great wartime factories kept prison laborers busy around the clock right up until the end, cutting and sewing uniforms, manufacturing guns and bullets, shoes, blankets, everything needed at the front.

Born in Germany and emigrating to Canada after the war, Ernst Zündel found himself hauled into court there for having published a book called *Did Six Million Really Die?* The Jews accusing him took that case to the Supreme Court, where they lost, several of their so-called eyewitnesses exposed as wildly imaginative phonies.

Refusing to roll over and play dead, Mr. Zündel continued his investigations into that forbidden realm, though often dodging brickbats of the real kind, also finding his offices and collected works firebombed. He received several pipe bombs as well, but escaped death or serious injury thereby.

Interviewed regularly on radio and TV while sending teams to investigate forensic evidence at supposed Nazi extermination sites, his assistants determined that those were merely large shower rooms, clothing delousing tubs or chambers, by no stretch of the imagination capable of killing and cremating such magnitudes of bodies as claimed. And the mass graves discovered at Katyn Forest, later-opened archives at Moscow revealed, contained the remains of Polish officers murdered by Russians, not Germans as charged for so many years.

Leaving all those attempts on his life and properties behind him, finally Ernst Zündel and his American wife Ingrid Rimland settled down near Pigeon Forge, Tennessee, to relax, write, paint (Ernst is an artist) and enjoy the mountain scenery. If he had been a Mexican, Somali or an Urdu, American wife or not, the golden rule-makers would likelier have caused the government to send this pair a welcoming basket than what came next.

Federal agents arrived, shackled him in handcuffs and leg irons, then hauled Mr. Zündel off to spend several weeks in jail while his local tormentors awaited further instructions. Nominally accused of having missed an appointment with immigration officials, actually this gave the would-be world rulers who couldn’t shut him up by any legal means another way to prosecute occupied Germany’s draconian law against “doubting the holocaust.” Yes, here in this land of the First Amendment’s free speech rights; thereby sending a message to those among us who know what’s going on but aren’t susceptible to bribery or blackmail. They later transferred Mr. Zündel to a New York prison near the Canadian line, then handed back over to that country to sit for two years in solitary confinement without formal charges, just a few media hints that he posed “a national security threat.”

Following those two years behind bars, the golden rulers had Mr. Zündel loaded aboard some kind of aircraft and secretly flown to Germany, where he at last stood before an inquisitional panel charging him with “doubting the holocaust.” The guilty verdict, rubber-stamped as in hundreds of other cases having sent German citizens to prison since World War II just for asking similar questions, gave him another five years imprisonment over there. That same court sentenced even his attorney, Sylvia Stolz, to three-and-one-half years charged with having spoken too graphically in the vindication of her client.

So, with our big daily newspapers going along with all this and even the internet’s promise diluted by disinformation, should we not look for the truth in that corner the Wizard of Oz tells us to disregard, namely National Socialism’s preventive strike as a *defense* against Global Communism?

It’s time to take out the garbage.

The First Freedom

P.O. Box 385, Silverhill, Alabama 36576

FULL NAME

MAIL ADDRESS

CITY

STATE

ZIP

REMARKS

☐ Here’s \$25 for a one-year subscription

☐ \$35 for same, but in 1st class envelope

☐ \$30 for 100 extra copies of current issue

☐ \$25 for 100 back issues; prefer _____

☐ \$15 for 22 extra copies of current issue

☐ \$10 for 10 extra copies of current issue

☐ \$_____ as a patron. Keep it going!